Kafer, Alison. "Introduction." Feminist, Queer, Crip. Indiana University Press, 2013. Print.

FEMINIST, QUEER, CRIP

Alison Kafer

Indiana University Press

Bloomington and Indianapolis

Introduction

Imagined Futures

I dream of more inclusive spaces.

—Kavitha Koshy, "Feels Like Carving Bone"

I HAVE NEVER CONSULTED a seer or psychic; I have never asked a fortune-teller for her crystal ball. No one has searched my tea leaves for answers or my stars for omens, and my palms remain unread. But people have been telling my future for years. Of fortune cookies and tarot cards they have no need: my wheelchair, burn scars, and gnarled hands apparently tell them all they need to know. My future is written on my body.

In 1995, six months after the fire, my doctor suggested that my thoughts of graduate school were premature, if not misguided. He felt that I would need to spend the next three or four years living at home, under my parents' care, and only then would it be appropriate to think about starting school. His tone made it clear, however, that he thought graduate school would remain out of reach; it was simply not in my future. What my future did hold, according to my rehabilitation psychologist and my recreation therapist, was long-term psychological therapy. My friends were likely to abandon me, alcoholism and drug addiction loomed on my horizon, and I needed to prepare myself for the futures of pain and isolation brought on by disability. Fellow rehab patients, most of whom were elderly people recovering from strokes or broken hips, saw equally bleak horizons before me. One stopped me in the hallway to recommend suicide, explaining that life in a wheelchair was not a life worth living (his son, he noted offhandedly, knew to "let him go" if he was eventually unable to walk).

My future prospects did not improve much after leaving the rehabilitation facility, at least not according to strangers I encountered, and continue to encounter, out in the world. A common response is for people to assume they know my needs better

Introduction

w

specific tasks and predicting the accidents and additional injuries that will result. Or, taking a longer view, they imagine a future that is both banal and pathetic: rather than They can apparently see into my immediate future, forecasting an inability to perform than I do, going so far as to question my judgment when I refuse their offers of help. pain, isolation, and bitterness, a representation that leads them to bless me, pity me, or involving dramatic falls from my wheelchair, their visions assume a future of relentless ity; she cast the topic as inappropriate because insufficiently academic. As I prepared to school, I had a professor reject a paper proposal about cultural approaches to disabilprotected me from these dismal projections of my future: once I made it to graduate in sight, my future cannot be anything but bleak. Not even the ivory tower of academia isfying life, they can see clearly the grim future that awaits me: with no hope of a cure refuse to see me altogether. Although I may believe I am leading an engaging and satability but overcoming it. need for therapy and recovery. My future, she felt, should be spent not researching disdesire to study disability resulted not from intellectual curiosity but from a displaced leave her office, she patted me on the arm and urged me to "heal," suggesting that my

These grim imagined futures, these suggestions that a better life would of necessity require the absence of impairment, have not gone unchallenged. My friends, family, and colleagues have consistently conjured other futures for me, refusing to accept ableist suggestions that disability is a fate worse than death or that disability prohibits a full life. Those who have been most vocal in imagining my future as ripe with opportunities have been other disabled people, who are themselves resisting negative interpretations of their futures. They tell stories of lives lived fully, and my future, according to them, involves not isolation and pathos but community and possibility: I could write books, teach, travel, love and be loved; I might raise children or become a community organizer or make art; I could engage in activist struggles for the rights of disabled people or get involved in other movements for social justice.

At first glance, these contradictory imagined futures have nothing in common: the first casts disability as pitiable misfortune, a tragedy that effectively prevents one from leading a good life, while the second refuses such inevitability, positioning able-ism—not disability—as the obstacle to a good life. What these two representations of the future share, however, is a strong link to the present. How one understands disability in the present determines how one imagines disability in the future; one's assumptions about the experience of disability create one's conception of a better future.

If disability is conceptualized as a terrible unending tragedy, then any future that includes disability can only be a future to avoid. A better future, in other words, is one that excludes disability and disabled bodies; indeed, it is the very *absence* of disability that signals this better future. The *presence* of disability, then, signals something else: a future that bears too many traces of the ills of the present to be desirable. In this framework, a future with disability is a future no one wants, and the figure of the disabled person, especially the disabled fetus or child, becomes the symbol of this undesired

future. As James Watson—a geneticist involved in the discovery of DNA and the development of the Human Genome Project—puts it, "We already accept that most couples don't want a Down child. You would have to be crazy to say you wanted one, because that child has no future." Although Watson is infamous for making claims about who should and shouldn't inhabit the world, he's not alone in expressing this kind of sentiment. Watson's version simply makes clear some of the assumptions underlying this discourse, and they are assumptions that cut to the heart of this project. The first is that disability is seen as the sign of no future, or at least of no good future. The second, and related, assumption is that we all agree; not only do we accept that couples don't want a child with Down syndrome, we know that anyone who feels otherwise is "crazy." To want a disabled child, to desire or even to accept disability in this way, is to be disordered, unbalanced, sick. "We" all know this, and there is no room for "you" to think differently.

and "corporeal presence and absence." I argue that disability is disavowed in these the work of disability activists and theorists. two failures of recognition—the disavowal of disability from "our" futures—I imagine tive as colored by histories of ableism and disability oppression. Thus, in tracing these obviously depends upon the eradication of disability, we must recognize this perspecrecognized and treated as such. Rather than assume that a "good" future naturally and about the future of disability and disabled people are political decisions and should be of naturalness and inevitability that underlies these discussions, I argue that decisions sible to imagine disability and disability futures differently. Challenging the rhetoric of the political and therefore beyond the realm of debate or dissent, makes it impospossible the first; casting disability as monolithic fact of the body, as beyond the realm tested and debated, goes unacknowledged. The second failure of recognition makes second, the political nature of disability, namely its position as a category to be conunrecognized, while the value of a disability-free future is seen as self-evident; and futures in two ways: first, the value of a future that includes disabled people goes disabled body is put to use in these future visions, attending to both metaphorical that I take up in this book. I am particularly interested in uncovering the ways the futures otherwise, arguing for a cripped politics of access and engagement based on It is this presumption of agreement, this belief that we all desire the same futures.

What Feminist, Queer, Crip offers is a politics of crip futurity, an insistence on thinking these imagined futures—and hence, these lived presents—differently. Throughout the course of the book, I hold on to an idea of politics as a framework for thinking through how to get "elsewhere," to other ways of being that might be more just and sustainable. In imagining more accessible futures, I am yearning for an elsewhere—and, perhaps, an "elsewhen"—in which disability is understood otherwise: as political, as valuable, as integral.

Before going any further, I admit to treading tricky ground here. "A future with disability is a future no one wants": while I find it absolutely essential to dismantle

myself hold. As much joy as I find in communities of disabled people, and as much as I only is there abstract truth to it, there's personal, embodied truth: it is a sentiment I majority of people continue to lack access to such basic needs are not futures I want.5 health initiatives aimed at preventing illness and impairment, and futures in which the imagination, but I can't deny holding it. Nor am I opposed to prenatal care and public abled than I already am. I realize that position is itself marked by an ableist failure of value my experiences as a disabled person, I am not interested in becoming more disthe purported self-evidence of that claim, I can't deny that there is truth to it. Not differences is exactly the work we need to be doing. would argue, neither fully possible nor desirable—sketching out some of the potential While definitively mapping that difference is beyond the scope of this book—and, I impairment) and recognizing illness and disability as part of what makes us human. working conditions, or ignoring public health concerns (thereby causing illness and But there is a difference between denying necessary health care, condoning dangerous

Defining Disability: A Political/Relational Model

a useful lens for examining the assumptions and implications of these frameworks. thinking about the kinds of futures imagined or implicit in each definition provides cern with imagining disability futures differently frames my overview of each model; frameworks but reads them through feminist and queer critiques of identity. My conpolitical/relational model of disability, one that builds on social and minority model of a complete rejection of medical intervention. In the pages that follow, I offer a hybrid studies scholars, I am critical of the medical model of disability, but I am equally wary so fixed or monolithic; multiple understandings of disability exist. Like other disability we all know it when we see it. But the meanings of illness and disability are not nearly The meaning of disability, like the meaning of illness, is presumed to be self-evident;

shifts over time or by context, or how the nature of one's impairment changes, or, espeare asked to spend a few hours using a wheelchair or wearing a blindfold so that they cially, how one's experience of disability is affected by one's culture and environment the body. There is no accounting for how a disabled person's response to impairment kinds of exercises focus on the alleged failures and hardships of disabled bodies (an can "understand" what it means to be blind or mobility-impaired. Not only do these ing individual people, a problem best solved through strength of character and resolve. rights activism, disability continues to be seen primarily as a personal problem afflictinability to see, an inability to walk), they also present disability as a knowable fact of lege campuses (including, in years past, my own). For these kinds of events, students that are a favored activity during "disability awareness" and diversity events on col-This individual model of disability is embodied in the disability simulation exercises about ableism, for example, and suggests that the only thing there is to learn about Wearing a blindfold to "experience blindness" is going to do little to teach someone Despite the rise of disability studies in the United States, and decades of disability

> imagination," and a limited imagination at that.8 social justice; disability is depoliticized, presented more as nature than culture. As of disabled people are absent. Absent also are discussions about disability rights and to reduce fears and misperceptions about disabled people, the voices and experiences there is simply nothing else to discuss. Although these kinds of exercises are intended in other words, is completely encapsulated in the experience of wearing a blindfold; blindness is what it feels like to move around in the dark. The meaning of blindness Tobin Siebers notes, these are exercises in "personal imagination" rather than "cultural

as both objective fact and common sense.10 exclusively medical problem and, especially, the conceptualization of such positioning position of the person (or institution) using it, but the positioning of disability as an to doctors and other service providers; what characterizes the medical model isn't the disability is called the "medical" model, it's important to note that its use isn't limited processes and policies that constrict disabled people's lives." Although this framing of "treat' the condition and the person with the condition rather than 'treating' the social addressed in medical terms. In this framework, the proper approach to disability is to atypical bodies and minds as deviant, pathological, and defective, best understood and standings of disability and disabled people. The medical model of disability frames termed the medical model of disability; both form the framework for dominant under-This individual model of disability is very closely aligned with what is commonly

for example, are not available for debate or dissent. medical phenomenon, and the meanings, histories, and implications of "cretinism. merely facts of life.12 For Dutton, disability is a self-evident, unchanging, and purely wheelchairs, polio, and cretinism" do not require or merit critical attention for they are able-bodiedness, healthiness, and the normal body, or conditions such as "blindness, ton finds no need to engage with disability as a category of analysis; concepts such as not the words that describe it." Because disability is a purely medical problem, Dutdisability language, countering that "it is the medical condition that is the problem, ity in social rather than medical terms. Dutton refutes the need for such attention to pattern of thought, condemning a writing manual for its attempt to describe disability occur outside the hospital or clinic. Literary critic Denis Dutton exemplifies this Indeed, some of the most passionate defenses of the medical model of disabil-

assistance than increased social supports or widespread social change. ity is understood more in terms of medical research, individual treatments, and familial ing a medical approach to disability the only appropriate approach. The future of disabilthen, means correcting, normalizing, or eliminating the pathological individual, rendercharacteristic inherent in particular bodies and minds. Solving the problem of disability, Thus, in both the individual and medical models, disability is cast as a problematic

dent sign of pathology, we recast disability in social terms. The category of "disabled" the medical/individual framework. Rather than casting disability as a natural, self-evi-Disability studies scholars and disability activists, however, refute the premises of

Introduction | 7

unequal distribution of resources, status, and power within a biased social and archiexplains, this hierarchical division of bodies and minds is then used to "legitimat[e] an which each term forms the borders of the other. As Rosemarie Garland-Thomson can only be understood in relation to "able-bodied" or "able-minded," a binary in of the body or mind and more as a product of social relations. tectural environment." In this construction, disability is seen less as an objective fact

of being. For example, under the medical/individual model, wheelchair users suffer social patterns that exclude or stigmatize particular kinds of bodies, minds, and ways no longer resides in the minds or bodies of individuals but in built environments and tive perspective, which I call the political/relational model, the problem of disability for new understandings of how best to solve the "problem" of disability. In the alternaof disability is located in inaccessible buildings, discriminatory attitudes, and ideospaces for them. Under a political/relational model of disability, however, the problem of a bad situation, relying on friends and family members to negotiate inaccessible through medical interventions and cures; failing that, individuals must make the best from impairments that restrict their mobility. These impairments are best addressed malization but through social change and political transformation. The problem of disability is solved not through medical intervention or surgical norlogical systems that attribute normalcy and deviance to particular minds and bodies. Thus, the definitional shift away from the medical/individual model makes room

also acknowledging that such changes cannot be understood apart from the context of chronic pain and to be identified and allied with disabled people.¹⁴ I want to make ance. In so doing, it recognizes the possibility of simultaneously desiring to be cured nizes instead that medical representations, diagnoses, and treatments of bodily variamedical intervention; rather than simply take such intervention for granted, it recogmodel. By my reckoning, the political/relational model neither opposes nor valorizes in which they occur. room for people to acknowledge—even mourn—a change in form or function while tion are imbued with ideological biases about what constitutes normalcy and devi-This is not to say that medical intervention has no place in my political/relational

lic health continue to make clear, medical beliefs and practices are not immune to or tions. Moreover, as scholars of feminist science studies, reproductive justice, and pubcurrent furor over health care reform underscores the political nature of these quescal framings of disability are embedded in economic realities and relations, and the long? Do they have choices? Who pays for it?"5 Swan's questions remind us that medihealth care and social justice: "How good is the care? Who has access to it? For how recognizing that a medical model is political allows for important questions about ognition of the political nature of a medical framing of disability. As Jim Swan argues, medical model is not itself political. On the contrary, I am arguing for increased recseparate from cultural practices and ideologies. Thus, in offering a political/relational In juxtaposing a medical model with a political one, I am not suggesting that the

> sion of disability includes thinking through the assumptions of medical/individual disability as for a renewed interrogation of them. Insisting upon the political dimenmodel of disability, I am arguing not so much for a rejection of medical approaches to models, seeing the whole terrain of "disability" as up for debate.16

ability that I don't find useful. In that framework, impairment refers to any physical addressed.19 What we understand as impairing conditions—socially, physically, menand understandings. Susan Wendell illustrates this problem when she queries how far impairment makes clear that impairment doesn't exist apart from social meanings asserting a sharp divide between impairment and disability fails to recognize that and architectural barriers are. Although I agree that we need to attend to the social by their environments; or, to put it differently, impairments aren't disabling, social meanings attributed to, that impairment.18 People with impairments are disabled or mental limitation, while disability signals the social exclusions based on, and social cal model, the social model often relies on a distinction between impairment and dis-Although both the social and political/relational models share a critique of the mediof which it is bound up" and to pluralize the ways we understand bodily instability.17 Price, my intent is to "demand an unsettling of its certainties, of the fixed identities from the more common social model of disability. Like Margrit Shildrick and Janet is no mention of "the" body that is not a further articulation of a very particular body.20 physical obscures the effects of such shifts. As feminist theorists have long noted, there tally, or otherwise—shifts across time and place, and presenting impairment as purely she explains, has much to do with the economic and geographic context in which it is one must be able to walk to be considered able-bodied; the answer to that question, both impairment and disability are social; simply trying to determine what constitutes My framing of disability as political/relational is intended as a friendly departure

one's joints stop aching or to alleviate back pain. Nor will changes in architecture and of disability politics.21 as a strict social model seems to do, renders pain and fatigue irrelevant to the project attitude heal diabetes or cancer or fatigue. Focusing exclusively on disabling barriers, the social model, rightly noting that social and structural changes will do little to make chronic illness, pain, and fatigue have been among the most critical of this aspect of effects of society, it overlooks the often-disabling effects of our bodies. People with erases the lived realities of impairment; in its well-intentioned focus on the disabling At the same time, the social model with its impairment/disability distinction

or as a permanent drag on one's quality of life, disability rights activists and scholars we are so often confronted with the medical framing of disability as unending burden. future no self-respecting disability activist or scholar wants. In other words, because social model completely casts cure out of our imagined futures; cure becomes the cal model, which imagines individual cure as the desired future for disability, a strict ested in medical interventions or cures. In a complete reversal of the individual/medi-As a result, the social model can marginalize those disabled people who are inter-

Introduction | 9

not disable is diminished."22 or depression, "our collective ability to conceive of, and achieve, a world which does courses at our disposal. As Liz Crow warns, in refusing to acknowledge pain, fatigue, and shutting down communication and critique around vital issues, we limit the disourselves only in opposition to the futures imagined through the medical model, we are fighting against, giving fodder to the enemy, so to speak. But by positioning impairments or to wanting a cure for them is seen as accepting the very framings tend to deny our own feelings of pain or depression; admitting to struggling with our

as a symptom of compulsory able-bodiedness/able-mindedness, as can attempts to explore the ways in which notions of disability and able-bodiedness affect everyone. appropriate housing certainly affects entire families, not only the disabled person hercessible houses; difficulty accessing reliable and affordable attendant care or finding lives may shrink, for example, because others are uncomfortable or embarrassed by by ableist attitudes and barriers, even if they are not themselves disabled. Their social different direction, friends and family members of disabled people are often affected by cultural ideals of normalcy and ideal form and function. Or, to take this idea in a ther case are the people involved necessarily disabled, but they are certainly affected "treat" children who are slightly shorter than average with growth hormones; in neinot just people with impairments.23 Anxiety about aging, for example, can be seen this distinction serve as the foundation for theorizing disability, makes it difficult to tionships; it does not occur in isolation. My choice of a relational model of disability is move to a different register of analysis, disability is experienced in and through relaable-mindedness, such that disabled and abled form a constitutive binary, but also, to self or himself. Moreover, not only does disability exist in relation to able-bodiedness/ their stories of illness and adaptation, or friends may feel guilty inviting them to inacintended to speak to this reality. Finally, drawing a hard line between impairment and disability, and having

completely removes disability from the realm of the political. In doing so, he foreability are completely objective and devoid of prejudice or cultural bias, Dutton ability rhetoric and terminology might have on understandings of disability (and resignify disability identities and categories, refusing to recognize the impact disdisability assumes that "cretinism" is a natural category, derived purely from objecof the widespread depoliticization of disability. Dutton's medicalized description of and disabled people from understandings of the political prohibits incorporating closes on the possibility of understanding disability differently; divorcing disability thus on the lives of disabled people), and insisting that medical approaches to disterms of language or identification.24 By asserting that we cannot (or should not) the naturalness of disability, he goes on to ridicule attempts to discuss disability in tive medical study and irrelevant to discussions of politics or prejudice; proclaiming disability into programs of social change and transformation or, in other words Similarly, my articulation of a political framing of disability is a direct refusal

> problem to be eradicated. cal, then disability has no place in radical politics or social movements—except as a cal framework, and both disability and the medical world are portrayed as apolitiinto visions of a better future. Once disability has been placed solely in the medi-

spaces; contrast that approach with the simulation exercises, in which "awareness" is tion People in Search of Safe and Accessible Restrooms (PISSAR) places them in bathactivist responses, seeing "disability" as a potential site for collective reimagining the future goal, rather than structural or systemic change. future of disability activism, one with disability rights activists demanding accessible may not."25 In creating and disseminating a "restroom checklist," PISSAR imagines a of the space makes room for activism and change in ways that 'awareness exercises manifesto, "This switch in focus from the inability of the body to the inaccessibility sions of the built environment. As my fellow restroom revolutionaries explain in our rooms, armed with measuring tapes and clipboards, to track the failures and omisthan placing nondisabled students in wheelchairs, the Santa Barbara-based organizadisability—and more on the political experience of disablement. For example, rather to focus less on the individual experience of disability—or imagined experience of Under this kind of framework, "disability awareness" simulations can be reframed A political/relational model of disability, on the other hand, makes room for more

able, open to dissent and debate. and that those relations, their assumptions, and their effects are contested and contest. something is "political" in this sense means that it is implicated in relations of power every human society and that determines our very ontological condition." To say that cyberculture. I am influenced here by Chantal Mouffe, who argues that "the political disability, thereby positioning "disability" as a set of practices and associations that cific sphere or level of society. It must be conceived as a dimension that is inherent to cannot be restricted to a certain type of institution, or envisaged as constituting a spefrom reproductive practices to environmental philosophy, from bathroom activism to disability; thus, thinking about disability as political necessitates exploring everything that ableist discourses circulate widely, and not only in sites marked explicitly as about can be critiqued, contested, and transformed. Integral to this project is an awareness is to contextualize, historically and politically, the meanings typically attributed to tional model, I situate disability squarely within the realm of the political. My goal In reading disability futures and imagined disability through a political/rela-

questions that motivate my project: Is disability political? How is it political? How is regulation, or quarantine." This focus on the how of politics parallels the first set of through which locations and populations are rendered as in need of intervention. and oppression of certain people, bodies, and practices? Addressing these questions the category of disability used to justify the classification, supervision, segregation. the ways concepts and issues come to be political common sense and the processes In other words, I'm concerned here with what Jodi Dean calls "the how of politics.

Introduction 11

contemporary culture, particularly in imagined and projected futures. requires a recognition of the central role that ideas about disability and ability play in

are taken as common sense.39 Such attention is vital in a context in which, as Susan tions of and assumptions about disability facilitate this removal? What are the effects disability been depoliticized, removed from the realm of the political? Which definiwhich disability is political leads to my second set of motivating questions: How has agenda—or are presumed to have already been solved."28 Attending to the ways in "to take depoliticization seriously, to address the means through which spaces, issues, charitable feelings."30 "sidesteps the reality of social injustice, reducing it to a question of compassion and as markers of structural inequality but of cruelty or insensitivity; this kind of rhetoric Schweik notes, disability-based discrimination and prejudice are often condemned not ity studies to attend to the specific ways in which ableist understandings of disability "disability used to be political and now it's not"—as highlighting the need for disabilof such depoliticization? I'm not so much arguing for or positing a chronology here identities, and events are taken out of political circulation or are blocked from the After stressing the importance of the "how" of politics, Dean insists on the need

a "good" future is one without disability, while a "bad" future is overrun by it? Why is acterizations taken for granted, recognized as neither partial nor political? unquestioningly eliminated in our pasts? And, most importantly, why are these chardiscourse only as the marker of what must be eliminated in our futures or what was disability in the present constantly deferred, such that disability often enters critical it about disability that makes it a defining element of our imagined futures, such that ters assume and perpetuate the depoliticization of disability, and if so, how? What is interest in political frameworks of the future: Do the futures I examine in these chap-These questions—of politicization and of depoliticization—lie at the root of my

Identifying Disability: Bodies, Identities, Politics

attributes of subjects."32 as events, actions, and encounters between bodies, rather than as simply entities and "[c]ategories-race, gender, sexuality [and, I would add, disability]-are considered is key to imagining disability, and disability futures, differently. Thus I understand the moments in which they fail to hold. Recognizing such moments of excess or failure self-evident categories, choosing instead to explore the creation of such categories and ing from the social model's assumption that "disabled" and "nondisabled" are discrete, Seeing disability as political, and therefore contested and contestable, entails depart-Disability can then be understood, in Jasbir Puar's framework, as an assemblage, where the very meanings of "disability," "impairment," and "disabled" as contested terrain.31

who is included in the term "disabled," refusing any assumption that it refers to a discrete group of particular people with certain similar essential qualities. On the Thus, a political/relational framework recognizes the difficulty in determining

> discriminatory treatment? differences that have no bearing on their physical capabilities, but that often promp moves back into remission? What about people with large birthmarks or other visible ing each recurrence of the disease, but are without functional limitations once the MS ence different temporary impairments—from vision loss to mobility difficulties—durdisabled? What about people with some forms of multiple sclerosis (MS) who experinitive, psychiatric, sensory, and physical? Do people with chronic illnesses fit under the rubric of disability? Is someone who had cancer years ago but is now in remission tions rather than firm definitions: Can it encompass all kinds of impairments—cog contrary, the political/relational model of disability sees disability as a site of ques

disability, and who therefore are undeserving of such protections. But there is clearly a notion that there are people whose claims do not rise to the level of between disabled and non-, even though the need for such rulings suggests otherwise. under its provisions and who does not. Both entities rule as if there were bright lines revisit the Americans with Disabilities Act in order to determine who merits protection who qualifies for benefits and at what level; the US Supreme Court has continued to fixing. The Social Security Administration uses its definitions of disability to determine over, the desire for fixed definitions cannot be divorced from the economic effects of such ing disability and impairment suggests the fundamental instability of the terms. Moretions were without controversy; the very fact that so much energy is funneled into defin-Administration, would not have to be so precise in defining "disability" if such defini Such groups, ranging from the World Health Organization to the US Social Security for determining who is disabled and thus eligible for certain programs and protections Government and nongovernmental organizations alike frequently issue guidelines

a result. Simi Linton illustrates this fundamental diversity of the disability community but because all have been labeled as disabled or sick and have faced discrimination as in terms of disability politics, not because of any essential similarities among them, those with mental illness. People within each of these categories can all be discussed ity impairments to those with HIV/AIDS, from people with sensory impairments to them."33 Collective affinities in terms of disability could encompass everyone from to individuals by their societies, and that have served to exclude them or subordinate calls a "collective affinity." Drawing on the cyborg theory of Donna Haraway, Scott as a category inherent in certain minds and bodies but as what historian Joan W. Scott eters of both terms as always open to debate. I am concerned here with disability not people with learning disabilities to those with chronic illness, from people with mobildescribes collective affinities as "play[ing] on identifications that have been attributed rely on a fixed definition of "disability" and "disabled person" but recognize the param-In contrast, the disability theory and politics that I develop in these pages do not

We are everywhere these days, wheeling and loping down the street, tapping our canes, sucking on our breathing tubes, following our guide dogs, puffing and

a compromised immune system. We are all bound together, not by this list of our sipping on the mouth sticks that propel our motorized chairs. We may drool, hear collective symptoms but by the social and political circumstances that have forged us voices, speak in staccato syllables, wear catheters to collect our urine, or live with

asthma is a common side-effect of living in heavily polluted neighborhoods, which, ately among "members of racial and ethnic minority groups in the United States," and oversight is all the more troubling given the fact that diabetes occurs disproportionwork of scholars such as Susan Wendell, Ellen Samuels, and Margaret Price, but diabesion of chronic fatigue syndrome and mental disability has increased thanks to the has become more common in these discussions, but only in particular forms; discusmore often on visible physical impairments and sensory impairments.35 Chronic illness cially in the humanities, has focused little attention on cognitive disabilities, focusing wheelchairs. Although there have been notable exceptions, disability studies, espepeople who hear voices, people with compromised immune systems, and people using ies and disability movements have been slow to recognize potential linkages among sible futures, primarily because it reads more as promise than fact. Both disability studdisability differently. I want to hold on to the possibility of a disability studies and a mulation then in an effort to call it into being, to invoke it as a possibility for thinking unsurprisingly, are more likely to be populated by poor people.)37 I repeat Linton's fortes, asthma, and lupus remain largely unexplored by disability studies scholars.36 (This able to these bodies and identities and locations. disability movement that does take all of these locations seriously, that feels account-Linton's formulation strikes me as a fitting place to begin this exploration of acces-

critical reading of these very identities, locations, and bodies. We must trace the ways gender, sexuality, class, and nation; failing to attend to such relations will ensure that to recognize that these forgings have always already been inflected by histories of race, in which we have been forged as a group, to use Linton's terminology, but also trace the imagining this kind of expansive disability movement is to simultaneously engage in a other words, think through the assumptions and erasures of "disabled" and "disability," disability studies remains, as Chris Bell puts it, "white disability studies."38 We must, in ways in which those forgings have been incomplete, or contested, or refused. We need reckoning with the ways in which such words have been used and to what effect. One of the arguments I will make in this book, however, is that part of the work of

ple with the social supports they need (everything from access to social services to recmunities those who lack a "proper" (read: medically acceptable, doctor-provided, and disabled people but don't themselves "have" a disability. Scholars of chronic illness ognition from friends and family), it also presents disability less as diagnostic category insurer-approved) diagnosis for their symptoms. Doing so not only provides such peohave started this work, arguing for the necessity of including within disability com-Doing so might mean imagining a "we" that includes folks who identify as or with

> ability means that disability identification can't be solely linked to diagnosis. and more as collective affinity; moving away from a medical/individual model of dis-

as more promise than fact: thinking through what nondisabled claims to crip might imaginable, to some people than others (and on what basis). entail will require exploring whether such claims might be more available, or more cal meanings and histories of such shifts. Thus, to circle back to the notion of "we" that we all have bodies and minds with shifting abilities, and wrestling with the politinondisabled and sick/healthy.41 Claiming crip, then, can be a way of acknowledging appropriation, but they also offer a vital refusal of simplistic binaries like disabled/ or mental/psychological processes? As McRuer notes, these practices run the risk of tioning "crip" as a desired and desirable location regardless of one's own embodiment make such claims? Can claiming crip be a method of imagining multiple futures, posiactivists committed to rethinking disability and able-bodiedness/able-mindedness to claim crip, or to understand themselves as "culturally disabled"? Or for theorists and require this kind of blood or kinship tie? What might it mean for lovers or friends to identity, but are not themselves deaf or hard-of-hearing.40 But does claiming crip CODAs consider themselves part of Deaf communities, and some even claim Deaf Deaf Adults, or CODAs, would be a clear example of this kind of identification, as Robert McRuer's framings, a "nondisabled claim to be crip?"39 Hearing Children of might we understand the forging of a group that includes, in Carrie Sandahl's and disability and lacking not only a diagnosis but any "symptoms" of impairment. How Less familiar, and potentially more complicated, would be people identifying with

treated differently, not less. able-bodied/able-minded requires more attention to how different bodies/minds are responsibilities behind such claims; deconstructing the binary between disabled and tiveness. 42 To claim crip critically is to recognize the ethical, epistemic, and political and dangers of the category's flexibility, can facilitate exactly this kind of critical attenexploring the possibilities of nondisabled claims, as well as attending to the promises it effectively "call[s] attention to" disability-based discrimination. But I suggest that people," explaining that "naming the category" of disabled remains necessary because son that Linton cautions against "erasing the line between disabled and nondisabled to structural inequality or patterns of exclusion and discrimination. It is for this reaconflating all experiences of physical, mental, or sensory limitation without regard laration that "we are all disabled." The latter obscures the specificities I call for here, kind of "nondisabled claim to crip" from the well-intentioned but deeply ableist decthe different availability and viability of disability identification—distinguishes this Attention to these kinds of questions—the histories and effects of disability claims

or impairments, and who might be recognized by others as part of this "disabled we," this forging of crip communities, means accounting for those who do "have" illnesses yet another set of questions about claiming crip. Thinking through this collective "we," Attending to the epistemological challenges raised by disability claims introduces

I think it is the hardest group for disability studies and disability rights activism to "bum knees," or asthma, or diabetes who, for a whole host of reasons, would claim neiproportion of disabled people: those folks with hearing impairments, or low vision, or but who do not recognize themselves as such. This group would include the largest want no part of such names? activism, on finding disability desirable, how am I (how are we) to deal with those who address.43 Given my (our) focus on disability rights and justice, on radical queercrip into this camp, it is actually the hardest group for me to address in this book; indeed, ther crip identity nor disability. Even though most people with impairments might fall

ability futures differently, will benefit all of us, regardless of our identities. As Ladelle crip or not: rethinking our cultural assumptions about disability, imagining our disone of us comes to terms with ourselves and creates a way of life. 44 As someone writconditions under which all of us live; they structure the situation within which each bodied, 'sane,' and 'whole' from the 'impaired,' mentally ill,' and 'deficient' create the McWhorter notes, "The practices and institutions that divide, for example, the 'ablewhole range of bodies and minds, I find hope in McWhorter's prediction, in her articuing and teaching disability studies, as someone imagining readers and students with a question of crip affiliation, what it means, what it entails, what it excludes. answer. As I embark on this journey into accessible futures, I want to highlight the or invested in either.45 At the same time, I'm certain this is not the only, or not the full, lation of a better future. Much as feminist activism benefits people who want no part of feminism, disability studies and activism ideally benefit people who are not interested One answer to these questions is that it doesn't matter whether such people claim

Feminist, Crip, Queer: A Note on Terms, Methods, and Affiliations

that led me to disability studies. It was through reading feminist theoretical approaches I became disabled before I began reading feminist theory, yet it was feminist theory cally about disability, the stigmatization of bodily variation, and various modes and with the work of feminist theorists, an engagement that I hope will become clear in the the political/relational model of disability has been made possible by my engagement challenging essentialist assumptions about "the" disabled body. My understanding of ist assumptions about "the" female body, I could question the naturalness of disability, feminist theorists had questioned the naturalness of femininity, challenging essentiality and disabled bodies lead to resource inequalities and social discrimination. Just as the tools to think through disability and the ways in which assumptions about disabilrather than as an individual pathology or personal tragedy. Feminist theory gave me to the body that I came intellectually to understand disability as a political category strategies of resistance, dissent, and collective action. following pages. Simply put, feminism has given me the theoretical tools to think criti-

Although I examine a range of radical political visions, some explicitly feminist and I locate this project, then, within the larger field of feminist theory and politics.

> connections to feminism; my readings and my imaginings are resolutely feminist. 46 appropriate to make this indebtedness explicit as I begin my exploration of possible futures, given recent disability studies texts that have downplayed or dismissed any ing theory with practice, a commitment that I associate with feminism. I think it only radical politics derives in part from my theoretical and activist commitment to blendegies, conversations, conflicts, and achievements of feminist activists. My interest in often theorize from practice, developing concepts and frameworks based on the stratto explore the ways in which theory can inform political practice; conversely, feminists been interested in bridging theory with practice. Activists and scholars alike continue As many historians of feminism and women's studies have noted, feminism has long others less so, I understand my investment in radical politics as a feminist investment

Clare's formulation, "words to help forge a politics."49 it back on itself, almost wincing back. Like "queer," "crip" and "cripple" are, in Eli refusal to see. 48 This wincing is familiar to many disabled people, but here Mairs turns relentless staring, aggressive questioning, and/or a turning away from difference, a of nondisabled people to disabled people, of the normative to the deviant—furtive yet of bodies and minds, of normalcy and deviance. It recognizes the common response gests an urge to shake things up, to jolt people out of their everyday understandings or 'disabled.' Perhaps I want them to wince."47 This desire to make people wince sugple—crippled or not—wince at the word 'crippled' as they do not at 'handicapped' that harshness is a large part of its appeal, as suggested by essayist Nancy Mairs: "Peoism and culture but still might seem harsh to those outside those communities. Indeed, They also are undeniably crip, a term that has much currency in disability activ-

these multiple sites of radical politics.51 as a way to stake my claim alongside the activists and cultural workers engaged in exactly this kind of contradictory crip theory, and I use both "crip" and "crip theory" played in the disability rights movement."50 I see Feminist, Queer, Crip as engaging in taneously and "perhaps paradoxically" recognizing "the generative role identity has willing to explore the potential risks and exclusions of identity politics while simulorientation and aim: crip theory is more contestatory than disability studies, more According to both Sandahl and McRuer, disability studies and crip theory differ in studies" and Robert McRuer's decision to name his theoretical project Crip Theory. be Carrie Sandahl's preference for "crip studies" and "crip theory" over "disability Two related examples of such forging, of crafting an inducement to wince, would

everywhere," this inclusiveness is often more hope than reality. Many expressions of flexibility is precisely what excites me about crip theory, but, as with Linton's "we are with sensory or mental impairments as well." 2 agree with Sandahl, and this potential term crip has expanded to include not only those with physical impairments but those is fluid and ever-changing, claimed by those whom it did not originally define. . . . The general, is the potential expansiveness of the term. As Sandahl notes, "cripple, like queer, One of the most productive and provocative elements of crip theory, and of crip in

within feminist and queer studies, a "feminist and queer disability studies" may very are, intertwined in practice; indeed, given the rich analyses of identity that circulate studies" and one of "crip theory," raising the possibility that the two can be, and often it difficult for "crip theory" to encompass the perspectives and practices of those who ments. Others position crip as a way of naming opposition to cure, potentially making ignoring or marginalizing the experiences of those with sensory or mental impaircrip pride or crip politics often explicitly address only physical impairments, thereby making room for those who do not or cannot recognize themselves in crip.53 well engage in the "paradoxical" approach to identity practiced in crip theory while move back and forth between naming this project one of "feminist and queer disability both claim disability identity and desire an end to their own impairments. Thus, I

changing," as Sandahl notes, and used in ways unimagined in advance. be fully or finally achieved, but serves as a kind of hopeful horizon, "fluid and everchapter of the book, such expansiveness—mind and body, a crip of us all—can never with the hard work of actually making such coalitions happen. As I suggest in the last mere use of such language is sufficient in and of itself, I'm calling for an engagement mindedness" makes me think disability differently. Rather than assuming that the that writing and reading "bodies and minds" or "compulsory able-bodiedness/ableas with Linton's "we" and Sandahl's "crip," I use "mind" alongside "body" in the hope the surface of what able-mindedness might mean in relation to able-bodiedness. Thus, mances of it bear the marks of its current terrain, and I have only just begun to scratch word: I am invested in shifting the terrain of disability studies even as my own perforthe same time, I'm well aware that my use of such terms is partial in both senses of the menting with different ways of talking about and conceptualizing our projects.55 At focused on physical disabilities to the exclusion of all else, then we need to start experimindedness."54 If disability studies is going to take seriously the criticism that we have references to minds and pair "compulsory able-bodiedness" with "compulsory able-Similarly, throughout Feminist, Queer, Crip, I combine references to bodies with

of compulsory able-bodiedness and compulsory able-mindedness are queer and crip only to support this desire for dissent and debate. In naming my project "queer," collective contestation" to be "always and only redeployed, twisted, queered."56 The contestation I welcome for disability. Indeed, Butler argues for queer as a "site of ing to debate what (and whom) the term encompasses or excludes; it is this kind of as such. "Queer" also remains contested terrain, with theorists and activists continu-Sandahl and McRuer, I position crip theory in general, and this project in particular, horizons, and paradoxical treatments of identity as kin to queer projects, and, like projects, and they can potentially be enacted without necessarily flattening out or "queer") and to highlight the risks of such twisted inclusion. Critical examinations then, I am wanting both to twist "queer" into encompassing "crip" (and "crip," circularity of that definition—queerness is something always to be queered—serves Queer (theory) readers will likely recognize this talk of fluidity, ever-changing

> and departure between, queer (and) disability activists. As we shall see, one producare based on nondisabled bodies; and to map potential points of connection among stabilizing "crip" and "queer."57 What is needed, then, are critical attempts to trace of these queer/crip connections. demic theory, and political movements; Feminist, Queer, Crip begins to trace some tive site for such explorations is the imagined future invoked in popular culture, acato speculate how norms of gendered behavior—proper masculinity and femininity people whose bodies, minds, desires, and practices differ from the unmarked norm: as "defective," "deviant," and "sick" have been used to justify discrimination against heterosexuality intertwine in the service of normativity; to examine how terms such the ways in which compulsory able-bodiedness/able-mindedness and compulsory

ently—recognizing the work done by disability and able-bodiedness/able-mindedness that a coalitional politics requires thinking disability, and disabled bodies, differother categories of difference.58 What work does able-bodiedness do, for example, in sexuality, my larger goal is to address how disability is figured in and through these ences to bear on existing feminist and queer theoretical frameworks. It is not simply, the desire for a unified disability community. in different political visions, for example, or acknowledging the exclusions enacted in terms of theoretical development and activist practice? Feminist, Queer, Crip argues they expand our understanding of what it means to do cross-movement work, both in our understandings of terms like "disabled," or "queer," or "feminist"? Or how might feminist analyses that never use the word "disability." How might such readings shift the theoretical terrain opened up by reading disability into those queer narratives and losophy or queer approaches to assisted reproductive technologies? I want to explore ences of disability, in other words, critique or transform feminist environmental phiecofeminist imaginings of a better life? How does reckoning with histories and experifeminist appropriations of the cyborg, or queer uses of reproductive technologies, or ity needs to be recognized as a category of analysis alongside gender, race, class, and or not only, an additive intervention, however. While I am indeed arguing that disabilquite obviously, and necessarily, involves bringing disability identities and experinist, queer, crip" named in the title signals methodology as much as content. This work I want, then, to position this book as a fundamentally coalitional text. The "femi-

sary because we are operating in a theoretical and activist context in which this comsuch identifications—and to explicitly practice feminist, queer, crip work. I'm calling ticular moment to identify explicitly as feminist, queer, crip—even as I want to trouble bination of analytics and practices too rarely appears. It feels important at this parthe "stabilizing of identity across space and time." But taking such risks feels necesmainstay of feminist intersectional scholarship, and, as Puar warns, too easily requires separable identities. This kind of personal and theoretical positioning has long been a run the risk of further reifying these categories, thereby presenting them as discrete, I know that in carefully delineating my affiliations here—feminist, queer, crip—l

Introduction | 19

the questions that face those of us committed to and invested in such positions. attention to these shifting positions not to fix them in place, but to get them moving on

scholars and disability activists in response to how our movements have often (been) to Sarah Palin? How might we have intervened in the representation of her as a dispublicly aligned with the right. Where were the public feminist/queer/crip responses analyses, does it not become essential to name and inhabit these very intersections?60 analyses or that tend to allegorize rather than analyze disability and disabled bodies? expand or complicate queer theoretical texts that rely on a trope of mobility for their critiques of prenatal testing? Or how might a feminist/queer/crip-informed analysis ability rights advocate, questioning the blurring of antichoice ideologies and disability nist/queer/crip can feed and inform each other, even as they are always already bound accessible futures—to carve out a place on the theoretical/political map where femievident? I'm wanting this particular imagining of accessible futures—my imagining of ability, without treating these very categories, nodes, and positions as themselves selfand political practices, without stabilizing feminist/queer/crip or gender/sexuality/dis-And, importantly, how can we do this kind of naming, demand these kinds of analytic Disability Studies conference make explicit use of feminist and queer theories in their Or, when only a small handful of papers and presentations at the annual Society for such that the nodes on the map and the map itself multiply, proliferate, regenerate. up in each other. More, I'm wanting this imagining to generate more such imaginings, recognize, ones that contest and exceed its very parameters, and ones that take this We need multiple iterations of crip theory, ones that its practitioners might not always I'm writing out of a concern, for example, about the silence of disability studies

particular iteration to task. conclusions as authors hint at their next projects, or discover new problems, or point then to ask questions? (I've started already.) Rhetorical questions are common in experience of disability as contested and contestable, then what better way to do that is to get us to think disability differently, to begin to see both the category and the tion. But it is also, and primarily, methodological. If one of my goals with this project nist, Queer, Crip. Part of this focus is stylistic, aesthetic; I like the cadence of a quesshifting answers, unforeseen answers. As I explain in the final chapter, I am interent effects. The format of the question insists on seeing these complex subjects—the directions, turning my own questions back on me in different contexts or to differas a reminder that I should imagine readers talking back, taking these ideas in new toward the need for more research. I'm including such questions in the introduction on the inconclusiveness of my conclusion, of the desire to think otherwise. open-ended, aiming for but never reaching the horizon. Questions keep me focused ested in a crip politics of access and engagement that is resolutely a work in progress, able, contestable: as in question. It also opens up the possibilities of new answers, future of the child with Down syndrome or the desirability of disability—as debat-In the hopes of such proliferations, questions take center stage throughout Femi-

> a child like themselves. Such contradictions are inevitable in a project like this one, anomalies") than I am about selecting for disability (i.e., using a sperm donor who carries a desired genetic trait), even though both practices involve parents wanting to have logical inconsistencies. In chapter 3, for example, I am much more critical about desethe question of disability and that we're all already on it. the problem I'm tracing in these pages is the assumption that there is only one side to symptoms of the political impossibility to be on one side or the other."61 Indeed, part of together in tension. They are less a sign of wavering intellectual commitment than "contradictions and discrepancies . . . are not to be reconciled or synthesized but held gest that accessible futures require such ambiguities. Following Puar, I believe that answers, free of contradiction and inconsistency, is understandable, but I want to sugsimplifies the complexities inherent in questions of social justice. The desire for clear these inconsistencies, or pretending that they can be easily and definitively resolved, date) more and more prenatal tests to screen out "undesirable" fetuses? Glossing over example, that we claim to value the lives of disabled people even as we create (and maninconsistency about disability is commonplace. Might it be logically inconsistent, for reflecting our convoluted approaches to disability; I am writing in a culture in which lecting disability (i.e., terminating a pregnancy because tests reveal potential "genetic This book contains not only unanswered questions but also contradictions and

unified community of disabled people, to presume not only that we all share the same time, to always use the first person would be to answer in advance the question of a connection to the identities, bodies, minds, and practices discussed here. At the same a distancing between myself and my subject that rings false. It also would run counbook. To always use the third person in discussing disabled people would be to impose circulating through US society; to act as if my impairments render me immune to, or obviously included in the category. I do this to trouble the very notion of "obvious" discussed, and, by the same token, will occasionally use "they/them" even when I am occasionally use "we/us" even when I am not an obvious member of the group being whole. In other words, when it comes to the vexed issue of personal pronouns, I will positions but also that one person—and in this case, I—can accurately represent the ter to this notion of "claiming crip," denying the possibility of a deep and abiding powerful heuristic, and so can "we," "they," "you," and "them."44 pulsory able-bodiedness and able-mindedness.63 "I," Sedgwick reminds us, can be a incapable of, ableist rhetoric and ideology would be to deny the insidiousness of com-Even though I am a disabled person, I do not exist apart from the ableist discourses identifications as well as the disabled/able-bodied and disabled/nondisabled binaries.62 In this spirit, my use of "we" and "they," "them" and "us," shifts throughout this

Overview of the Book

in imagined futures, they almost always assume I'm writing about science fiction. I Whenever I tell people I have been working on a book about the role of disability

Introduction | 21

understand their response: science fiction is full of "imagined futures," and disabled our future—than the stories of literature or film. are more the stories we tell ourselves as a culture—disability is a tragedy, children are within the narratives themselves). I do indeed focus on stories in this book, but they characters are common in such novels (even if they aren't referred to as "disabled"

spaces accessible to disabled people, describing both flights of stairs and discriminawork to unpack this elision between reproduction and futurity. Notions of space also as a sign of the future, I pay particular attention to issues of reproduction, even as I States. Given my future focus, and the ways in which the figure of the child often serves able-bodiedness/able-mindedness across a range of sites in the contemporary United play a key role here; disability rights activists have long worked to make more and more requires attention to space, both metaphorical and material. tory hiring practices as barriers to access. As will become clear in the chapters that follow, spaces get imagined differently in different futures; creating accessible futures Over the course of the book, I examine uses and representations of disability and

of deformity—pervades current discourses about disability, disability studies has yet of time and futurity. I begin to specify what I mean by "crip time," positioning the retical frameworks established in this introduction, focusing primarily on the lenses from "curative time" and working through what it means to project disability into the then, I sketch out what is at stake in these frameworks, distinguishing "crip time" to take up crip temporalities and futures as sites of extended analysis. In this chapter, futurity. Although rhetoric about futures—from warnings of slippery slopes to fears project of Feminist, Queer, Crip alongside other work on queer temporality and critical Chapter 1, "Time for Disability Studies and a Future for Crips," extends the theo-

praise and support because they are assumed to mark progress toward a better future, of technology: technological attempts to eliminate disability are met with widespread the ways in which the "future" is portrayed as a time of cures, genetic and otherwise. a "good" future naturally and obviously depends upon the eradication of disability, sions, I argue that decisions about the future of disability and disabled people are polit-Challenging the rhetoric of naturalness and inevitability that underlies these discuswhile refusals of such "healing" technology are condemned as backward and dystopic. The cases under discussion here are characterized by a debate over the appropriate use chapters in this part of the book maps the ways in which disability is removed from oppression. The first part of Feminist, Queer, Crip also zeroes in on the assumption we must recognize this perspective as colored by histories of ableism and disability ical decisions and should be recognized and treated as such. Rather than assume that assume that we all want the same things. debate, taken only as self-evident and given; these chapters unpack what it means to that this kind of "elsewhere," one without disability, is one "we" all want. Each of the The next two chapters focus on the question of medical intervention, addressing

> cally, her body was developing rapidly, but mentally, her mind was failing to develop body required intervention because her body was growing apart from her mind; physiand doctors to protect Ashley from future harms. According to this logic, Ashley's procedures, known as "the Ashley Treatment," were seen as necessary by her parents through a growth attenuation regimen, hysterectomy, and bilateral mastectomy. These poral framings of the body/mind, especially the disabled body/mind, and with rhetobecome more widespread. The Ashley case, in other words, is shot through with temcase is the fact that both parents and doctors have offered the Treatment as a template her, using it as a justification for the Treatment. Adding to the future framing of the mind and body from growing any wider. In order to make this argument, Ashley's at all. As a result, she was embodied asynchrony; her mind and body were out of sync. desirable. ric about the future. As this case makes painfully clear, not all disability futures are parents and doctors had to hold her future body—her *imagined* future body—against By arresting the growth of Ashley's body, the Treatment could stop this gap between for other children; they have expressed the hope that the Treatment will, in the future, In chapter 2, I analyze the case of Ashley X, a young disabled girl "frozen in time"

3 begins with a description of Marge Piercy's 1976 novel Woman on the Edge of Time on women's studies syllabi to discuss feminist futures. Inspired-and troubled-by genetic "aberrations" have been eradicated or can easily be corrected. It is a utopia disabilities: advances in medicine have led to the elimination of most illnesses, and all skin colors, genders, and sexualities, it is almost completely devoid of people with and its evocation of a feminist utopia. While Piercy's future is populated by peoples of ued exploration of cultural attitudes about disability, technology, and cure, chapter ceive their children, anchors my reflection on what it might mean to choose futures of Candace McCullough, a Deaf lesbian couple who used a deaf sperm donor to conas leading the nation down a slippery slope. The 2002 story of Sharon Duchesneau and who refuse such tests or, especially, who use them to select for disability, are portrayed of such tests presumes the desire for futures without disability. In this context, parents tive technologies to screen out disability, highlighting the ways in which the expansion "utopia," by definition, excludes disability and illness. I focus on the use of reproduc-Piercy's novel, I speculate on the place of disability in the future, questioning whether made possible by advances in reproductive technologies, and one frequently featured Using a popular example of feminist utopian fiction as an impetus for my contin-

Foundation for a Better Life (FBL) has funded a campaign touting "community values" sion stations all over the country. In the years since 9/11, the philanthropic organization United States, one that has reached billboards, bus shelters, movie theaters, and televiduction of "community values" and the place of disability in such constructions. In this chapter, I offer a close reading of a widespread public service campaign in the Chapter 4 maintains a focus on reproduction, but looks more broadly at the repro-

accepted, common sense, and therefore beyond the scope of debate or discussion. Repof community, this assumption of shared values, and this articulation of what a better It is this positioning that I want to examine here: this attempt to depoliticize notions and "character development," arguing that these values will result in a "better life" and abled people are a highly visible presence in the campaign—but disability appears here of billboards praising individuals with disabilities for having the strength of character resentations of disability and illness play a large role in this campaign, with a majority life entails. By presenting these concepts as apolitical, the FBL renders them natural, foster individual and collective betterment through values education and engagement. future for the United States. Positioning itself as nonpartisan, the FBL's mission is to only as the site for personal triumph and overcoming. common sense. The billboards seem to promise a future that includes disability—dispresence of the disabled body is used to render this campaign not as ideology but as the FBL itself is made possible through reference to the disabled body. Indeed, the to "overcome" their disabilities. The depoliticization mandated by these billboards and

crip perspectives. in which these same bodies of knowledge can be reimagined from feminist, queer tions. After making this figuration of ability/disability apparent, I explore the ways so, have relied on tropes of disability, illness, and hyper-ability in their constructheory have explicitly imagined what a better future might look like, and, in doing ing disability futures: cyborg theory and environmentalism. Both of these bodies of In the next section of the book, I turn to two existing frameworks for think-

and 1980s. She argues that the cyborg can offer a model for how to do feminist poli-"cyborg manifesto," Haraway positions the cyborg figure as an intervention in feminist evident in the frequent use of disabled bodies as illustrations of cyborgism, presents of their focus on cybertechnologies and human/machine interfaces, tend to represent tion and creation of an anti-ableist "elsewhere"? As I argue, cyborg theories, because tive model for a feminist disability theory and politics? Does it facilitate the articulawhat is the place of disability in her imagining? Can the cyborg figure offer an effectics, suggesting that the figure can be useful in imagining a feminist "elsewhere." But the exclusionary definitions of "women" that pervaded feminist thought in the 1970s theory and politics, using it to critique the reductionist approaches to technology and theorists such as Malini Johar Schueller, Anne Balsamo, and Jennifer Gonzalez. In her theories of politics, a use that began with Donna Haraway and continues in the work of and identifications of queer disability activists begin to hint at ways of cripping this or political action—as the only proper response to disability. However, the practices a future vision of technological and medical intervention—not social transformation cizes disability and disabled people. This contemporary understanding of disability, disability exclusively as an individual, medical problem, a positioning that depoliti-Chapter 5 examines the figure of the cyborg, focusing on its appearance in feminist

> in environmental activism. understandings which can then expand ecofeminist frameworks and current practices presents alternative ways of understanding ourselves in relation to the environment crip artists and writers, I argue that the embodied experience of illness and disability in environmental understandings of nature in the future. Drawing on the work of capacities to access and experience nature in the present, then they can play no role environmental futures. If disabled people are believed to lack the physical and mental tions of the body are erased; able-bodiedness becomes a prerequisite for imagining environmental political visions. Nonnormative approaches to nature and the limitacommunicate, and think. Environmental conceptualizations of nature tend to assume different ways of imagining ecofeminist politics. Many of these visions, however, are and environmentalism. Ecofeminist visions of the future cannot be reduced to one chapter 6 to the role disability and able-bodiedness play in representations of nature that everyone accesses nature in the same way, and it is this presumption that colors rooted in contemporary ableist assumptions about how bodies look, move, sense, coherent story: there are many different ecofeminist futures and perhaps even more figure into their own ecofeminist theorizing. Following this cyborgian trail, I turn in Stacy Alaimo to Catriona Sandilands take Haraway at her word, incorporating the lation of the cyborg stems from a commitment to ecofeminism, and theorists from In a 1991 interview in the Socialist Review, Donna Haraway notes that her articu-

izing the body/mind by testing disability out of existence. genetic discourses frequently advocate genetic testing and selective abortion, normal. able-bodiedness, and erasing the experiences and insights of disabled people. Finally, the body itself by marginalizing its limitations, buttressing ideals of hyper-ability and often predicate their theories on the experiences of the nondisabled body, normalizing cal intervention, striving to make disabled bodies (appear) whole. Environmentalists theory attempts to normalize the disabled body through prosthetics and technologiwhen viewed through the lens of disability. Adhering to ideologies of wholeness, cyborg pianism—is characterized by a normalizing impulse, an impulse that is made apparent Each of these future visions—cyborg theory, environmentalism, and genetic uto-

future visions of genetic and biomedical intervention while simultaneously suggesting ably queer/feminist/disabled worlds. By exposing the ableist assumptions embedded in I position my text as part of this queer/feminist/disability project of imagining desirably disabled" worlds that are not founded on the normalization of disabled people.65 anti-ableist futures, theorizing what Robert McRuer and Abby Wilkerson call "desirof "woman." Building on these frameworks, disability theorists are actively imagining ended politics that do not attempt to normalize all women under a unified category queer bodies, practices, or desires; feminist theorists are engaged in imagining opencommitted to forging a politics that does not marginalize, normalize, or criminalize for a more just world that does not rely on a normalizing impulse. Queer theorists are It is possible, however, to theorize an "elsewhere," to provide a political framework

ways in which these ableist ideologies can be subverted, I reject the widespread depoliticization of disability.

ars, I sketch the parameters of yet another idea of how to get "elsewhere," but one that of feminist and queer theorists, queer disability activists, and disability studies scholmarginalize disabled people in political visions of the future. Building on the insights visions of "elsewhere." Chapter 7, "Accessible Futures, Future Coalitions," represents a theory and politics which too rarely engages in serious coalition work with other ognizes loss, that remains open. Using these three sites of possibility, I speculate on order to develop a crip futurity that finds value in dissent and disagreement, that recqueer bathroom access, environmental justice, and reproductive rights and justice—in final chapter, I explore three potential sites for coalition politics—trans and genderwelcomes, relishes, and desires disability, one that recognizes disability as political. my attempt to counter this erasure of disability from the political, this tendency to ing disability, and disability futures, otherwise. even when they do not explicitly mention disability, might lead all of us to begin thinkmovements, communities, and inquiries. Reading narratives and movements as crip, how we might extend and challenge the parameters of disability theory and politics, This crip vision of elsewhere remains, by definition and by design, incomplete. In this It is this refusal that fuels, at least in part, my attempt to offer anti-ableist political

1 Time for Disability Studies and a Future for Crips

Queerness should and could be about a desire for another way of being in both the world and time, a desire that resists mandates to accept that which is not enough.

-José Esteban Muñoz, Cruising Utopia

What would it mean to explore disability in time or to articulate "crip time"? Temporal categories are already commonly used in formulations of disability; one aspect of cripping time might simply be to map the extent to which we conceptualize disability in temporal terms. The medical field in particular has a long tradition of describing disability in reference to time. "Chronic" fatigue, "intermittent" symptoms, and "constant" pain are each ways of defining illness and disability in and through time; they describe disability in terms of duration. "Frequency," "incidence," "occurrence," "relapse," "remission": these, too, are the time frames of symptoms, illness, and disease. "Prognosis" and "diagnosis" project futures of illness, disability, and recovery. Or take terms such as "acquired," "congenital," and "developmental," each of which is used to demarcate the time or onset of impairment. "Developmental" does double duty, referring both to lifelong conditions, including those that develop or manifest in childhood and adolescence, but also implying a "delay" in development, a detour from the timeline of normative progress.

Temporal frameworks are not limited to the medical field, however. Disability studies and disability movements also draw on discourses of temporality in their framings of disability, often using the same temporal terms mentioned above. Indeed, part of the work of these movements has been to reveal "nondisabled" and "ablebodied" as temporal, and temporary, categories; think here of the "TAB" tag (temporarily able-bodied), intended to remind nondisabled people that the abled/disabled distinction is neither permanent nor impermeable. Disability studies' well-rehearsed

mantra—whether by illness, age, or accident, all of us will live with disability at some point in our lives—encapsulates this notion, suggesting that becoming disabled is "only a matter of time." Sharon Snyder, Brenda Brueggemann, and Rosemarie Garland-Thomson call this temporality of inevitability "the fundamental aspect of human embodiment." Of course, disability is more fundamental, more inevitable, for some than others: the work that one does and the places one lives have a huge impact on whether one becomes disabled sooner or later, as do one's race and class positions. Yet these patterns can also be understood in terms of temporality: frequency, incidence, occurrence. Familiar categories of illness and disability—congenital and acquired, diagnosis and prognosis, remission and relapse, temporarily able-bodied and "illness, age, or accident"—are temporal; they are orientations in and to time, even though we rarely recognize or discuss them as such, and could be collected under the rubric of "circless".

Exploring disability in time also includes speculation on temporalities of disability: how might disability affect one's orientation to time? Irv Zola and Carol Gill were perhaps the first disability studies scholars to mention the temporal orientation of "crip time," describing it as an essential component of disability culture and community. Tellingly, neither one of them defined the term but rather focused on its frequent appearance in disability communities; they wrote as if the concept would be already familiar to their readers. For Zola, discussing "the intricacies of crip time" was an important act of political reclamation for disabled people; Gill reports feeling pleasure and surprise at discovering "the common usage and understanding" of crip time among the diverse groups of disabled people she encountered. By locating crip time in disabled people's in-group conversations, Gill and Zola center community-based temporalities, ones which they equate with disability culture and resistance.

Crip time emerges here as a wry reference to the disability-related events that always seem to start late or to the disabled people who never seem to arrive anywhere on time. As one slang dictionary puts it, "crip time" means both "a flexible standard for punctuality" and "the extra time needed to arrive or accomplish something." This need for "extra" time might result from a slower gait, a dependency on attendants (who might themselves be running late), malfunctioning equipment (from wheelchairs to hearing aids), a bus driver who refuses to stop for a disabled passenger, or an ableist encounter with a stranger that throws one off schedule. Operating on crip time, then, might be not only about a slower speed of movement but also about ableist barriers over which one has little to no control; in either case, crip time involves an awareness that disabled people might need more time to accomplish something or to arrive

Recognizing some people's need for "more" time is probably the manifestation of crip time most familiar to those of us in the academy. Disabled students (or at least those with approved paperwork) are permitted more time on exams, for example, or granted extended reading periods. But "crip time" means more than this kind of

blanket extension; it is, rather, a reorientation to time. As Margaret Price explains, "[A]dhering to crip time... might mean recognizing that people will arrive at various intervals, and designing [events] accordingly; and it might also mean recognizing that [people] are processing language at various rates and adjusting the pace of a conversation. It is this notion of *flexibility* (not just 'extra' time)" that matters.9 Crip time is flex time not just expanded but exploded; it requires reimagining our notions of what can and should happen in time, or recognizing how expectations of "how long things take" are based on very particular minds and bodies. We can then understand the flexibility of crip time as being not only an accommodation to those who need "more" time but also, and perhaps especially, a challenge to normative and normalizing expectations of pace and scheduling. Rather than bend disabled bodies and minds to meet the clock, crip time bends the clock to meet disabled bodies and minds.

How might thinking about time open new perspectives on and for disability studies? Or how might observations on "crip time" lead to more expansive notions of both time and futurity? Questions about time, temporality, and futurity continue to animate queer theory, but this work has yet to have much of an impact in disability studies, and disability studies scholars have rarely been participants in these discoussions. In articulating crip temporalities, then, I am calling for a mutual engagement in these discourses: What can disability studies take from queer work on critical futurity and, simultaneously, how might attention to disability expand existing approaches to queer temporality? How might our understandings of queer futurity shift when read through the experiences of disabled people, or when interpreted as part of a critique of compulsory able-bodiedness or able-mindedness? What does it do to queer time to place it alongside crip time, or queer futurity alongside crip futurity? Can we crip queer time?

In offering these questions, my call is not only for disability studies to enter into theoretical discussions about time, temporality, and futurity, but also for us to wrestle with the ways in which "the future" has been deployed in the service of compulsory able-bodiedness and able-mindedness. Ideas about disability and disabled minds/bodies animate many of our collective evocations of the future; in these imaginings, disability too often serves as the agreed-upon limit of our projected futures. This book is about imagining futures and futurity otherwise.

My understanding of crip time and my desire for crip futurity exist in stark contrast to the temporal framing more commonly applied to disability and disabled people, what I call "curative time." I use "curative" rather than "cure" to make clear that I am concerned here with compulsory able-bodiedness/able-mindedness, not with individual sick and disabled people's relationships to particular medical interventions; a desire for a cure is not necessarily an anti-crip or anti-disability rights and justice position. I am speaking here about a curative imaginary, an understanding of disability that not only expects and assumes intervention but also cannot imagine or comprehend anything other than intervention.

much as possible. The questions animating a curative temporality include: Were you also mean normalizing treatments that work to assimilate the disabled mind/body as Cure, in this context, most obviously signals the elimination of impairment but can then, the only appropriate disabled mind/body is one cured or moving toward cure. development, the triumph over the mind or body.12 Within this frame of curative time, ized, and hopefully cured, we play a starring role: the sign of progress, the proof of are not part of the dominant narratives of progress, but once rehabilitated, normalpeople (as) out of time, or as obstacles to the arc of progress. In our disabled state, we invent a cure? How long will a cure take? How soon before you recover?" born that way? How much longer do you have to live this way? How long before they Futurity has often been framed in curative terms, a time frame that casts disabled

of the future have been used against disabled people, I argue that abandoning futurity might help us see, and do, the present differently. of utopian thinking have long argued, the futures we imagine reveal the biases of the crip time. Finally, I close with a few reflections on thinking disability in time. As critics through disability to pinpoint places where disability seems to exceed queer time. My are always already present in queer time. Third, I continue this reading of queer time rality through the lens of disability, exploring how illness, disability, and crip time altogether is not a viable option for crips or crip theory. Second, I read queer tempopolemic against the future. Although my larger project is concerned with how notions ties of cripping queer time. First, I briefly summarize Lee Edelman's infamous queer approaches to futurity beyond curative ones. I do so by speculating on the possibilipresent; it seems entirely possible that imagining different futures and temporalities interest is in how we might use these points of disconnection to expand both queer and In this chapter, I engage in the process of articulating other temporalities, other

No Future for Crips

or justice or desire or autonomy but about the future of "our" children. Both of these decisions.18 For those in both fights, then, the struggle becomes no longer about rights future of children is what is at stake in the debate and therefore what should guide our riage either destroys children's well-being or enhances it, but both sides agree that the both opponents and supporters of gay marriage: depending on one's stance, gay martheir fight as on behalf of the children." Patrick McCreery traces a similar parallel among example abortion rhetoric, noting that both pro-choice and antiabortion activists frame we all act, "the fantasmatic beneficiary of every political intervention." ⁶ He offers as an Child." As a result, the Child serves as "the telos of the social order," the one for whom figured in reproductive terms: we cannot "conceive of a future without the figure of the that futurity—an investment in and attention to the future or futures—is almost always on Lauren Berlant's work on the figure of the child in American politics, Edelman argues refusing the future altogether. ("Fuck the Future," as Carla Freccero puts it.)" Building Lee Edelman has famously argued that queers and queer theory would be better off

> centered around the Child, foreclosing all other possibilities for action. of our children" frame but that we should or must use it; politics itself is and can only be draws out is the coercive nature of such frames: it is not only that we can use the "future rity; one can mobilize the same rhetoric toward mutually opposing goals. What Edelman examples show the slipperiness of arguments based on the Child and reproductive futu-

of reproduction, generation, and inheritance are shot through with anxiety about without doubt, able-bodied/able-minded. power to make that happen. The Child through whom legacies are passed down is. active, stronger and smarter than we are, and we are supposed to do everything in our the parents and exceeds them; "we" all want "our" children to be more healthy, more disability. These sites of reproductive futurity demand a Child that both resembles the framing that troubles Edelman, should concern crip readers as well; discourses of Child into the present.9 Thus the idealization of the Child as the frontier of politics. to the future: they have failed to guarantee a better future by bringing the right kind whose fetuses have tested "positive" for various conditions are understood as threats see in the following chapters, pregnant women with disabilities and pregnant women clear manifestation of compulsory able-bodiedness and able-mindedness. As we will presumes that all positive diagnoses will be "solved" through selective abortion, is a minded heteronormativity. First, the proliferation of prenatal testing, much of which future," especially as figured through the "Child," is used to buttress able-bodied/able-Reading from a queer crip perspective, I can easily see the ways in which "the

a permanently forward-looking gaze. This deferral, this firm focus on the future, is anything other than failure. and/or for their communities. Within these discourses, disability cannot appear as tified on the grounds that such acts will lead to better futures for the disabled person tionalization, from bone-lengthening surgeries to growth attenuation, has been jusmore Jerry's kids in the future. Moreover, everything from sterilization to instituways of framing disability: we must cure Jerry's kids now so that there will be no focus on futurity does disabled people no favors, yet it is one of the most common ignoring the needs and experiences of disabled people in the present.22 This kind of politics of endless deferral that pours economic and cultural resources into "curing" malizing approaches to the mind/body. Disability activists have long railed against a often expressed in terms of cure and rehabilitation, and is thereby bound up in norsignals stagnation and acquiescence, an inability to move in any direction because of is telling: "held in thrall," "rendered docile," "unwitting obedience"—each phrase ile," in other words, "through our unwitting obedience to the future." This phrasing better future, we divert our attention from the here and now; "We are rendered docand this deferment serves to consolidate the status quo.20 Focusing always on the "We're held in thrall by a future continually deferred by time itself," Edelman notes, future disabled people (by preventing them from ever coming into existence) while Second, a politics based in futurity leads easily to an ethics of endless deferral

about disability. Tens of thousands of people diagnosed with various "defects" were people from "suspect" racial, ethnic, and religious groups as well as poor people, sexhealth. The category of "defectives" included not only people with disabilities but also tury, classified, and managed in order to contain the alleged risks they posed to public targeted by eugenic professionals and policies for the first half of the twentieth cen-(futures often depicted as intertwined) have been wrapped up in fears and anxieties ples abound of how concerns about the future of the "race" and the future of the nation keeping only to the United States, and only to the past one hundred years or so, examand segregated from the public, sterilized against their will, barred from entering the one of the most effective, and expansive, classifications of all. People placed into one or flexible concepts of degeneracy, defect, and disability, with "feeble-minded" serving as ual "delinquents," and immigrants from the "wrong" countries. All were united under of eugenics in their curriculum, both disseminating and reifying these concepts of country, or, in extreme cases, euthanized. Schools and universities included the study more of these categories might be tracked by family records offices, institutionalized eugenic ideologies and practices did not fully disappear but rather flourished well into enough."23 While many overtly eugenic policies began to wane in the 1930s and 1940s, ing Virginia's compulsory sterilization policies, "Three generations of imbeciles are as Oliver Wendell Holmes asserted in the infamous 1927 Buck u. Bell decision upholdmeans of protecting the health of the race and the nation from further degeneration; degeneration and defect. In many states, sterilization came to be seen as a necessary the Cold War and beyond.24 Third, eugenic histories certainly bear the mark of reproductive futurity. Even

sterilization of women of color, poor women, indigenous women, and disabled across the country are responding to budget crises with cuts to health care and disdespite the Supreme Court's 1999 decision in Olmstead, which affirmed the right of common response to disabled people, particularly those with "severe" disabilities; tain circumstances, disabled people can be sterilized without their consent, and poor women persisted throughout most of the twentieth century; even today, under ceras they are touted as necessary for preserving the future health of the state and the health advocates note that even more disabled people, especially disabled people of ability services, especially in-home attendant care; given that many disabled people funding for institutions over funding community-based care.26 State governments disabled people to live in their home communities, many states continue to prioritize futures curtailed by the courts and the legislature.25 Institutionalization remains a women, immigrant women, and women of color continue to have their reproductive onto the street. These trends do not bode well for the futures of disabled people, even color and low-income disabled people, are being forced into nursing homes or out require such services in order to live independently, disability rights activists and Virginia's sterilization law was not repealed until 1974, and coerced or forced

> some. A 1933 pamphlet by the Human Betterment Foundation similarly warns against ing those labeled "feeble-minded," Kostir weighs the futures of "our" children against mentally feeble persons are a social menace.... Their children threaten to overwhelm particularly future children. For example, Mary Storer Kostir, an assistant at the Ohio exclusion, institutionalization—has been justified by concerns about "the future" and that awaits us will be determined by the kind of children we bear. Illness, "defect," sterilization" produces effects that are "disastrous . . . in future generations." In these the "burden" of "feeble-minded" children, noting that the failure to practice "eugenic those other children, the ones who are mentally deficient, threatening, and burdenthe future with an incubus of mental deficiency." In making her case for segregat-Bureau of Juvenile Research, argued in a 1916 publication that "physically rigorous but ily's quality of life, or draining public services (or, often, some combination of the four). the community: polluting the gene pool, or weakening the nation, or destroying a fam-"deviance," and disability are positioned as fundamentally damaging to the fabric of kinds of eugenic discourses, children serve as the sign of the future; the kind of future the civilization of the future. . . . [We] must also consider our children, and not burden To put it bluntly, disabled people were—and often are—figured as threats to futurity. Indeed, at one time or another, each of these practices—sterilization, segregation.

sweeping history cannot begin to do justice to the material or, especially, to the bodies question of the future so vexed. I can see clearly how futurity has been the cause of disability must be avoided at all costs. It is this pattern, these histories, that makes the overview. And yet, it is imperative to establish a pattern, to demonstrate that we have tory but also of impairment; there are many bodies falling through the cracks of this people with disabilities, differences not only of race, class, sexuality, gender, and hisinvoked by this material. Such broad summaries all too easily erase differences among viable crip response. much violence against disabled people, such that "fuck the future" can seem the only long felt and acted on the belief that disability destroys the future, or that a future with Whole books have been written about each of these practices, and this brief,

altogether. Indeed, "fucking the future," at least in Edelman's terms, takes on a difrather, to futures that depend upon no futures for crips—is a refusal of the future here that I part ways with Edelman. I do not think the only response to no future—or, warnings of reproductive futurism, of idealizing the child, read quite differently when what simplifying Edelman's argument in the process. Yet, at the same time, Edelman's children," and his imperative to reject the future is therefore not so much about the image of the Child [is] not to be confused with the lived experiences of any historical (and their children) into the future in the first place. 29 Edelman acknowledges that "the ferent valence for those who are not supported in their desires to project themselves future that serves as its prop."30 I am, then, writing in a different register, and somefutures of actual children as about "the whole network of Symbolic relations and the And yet, these very histories ultimately make such a refusal untenable, and it is

of the child that is indeed always already white."32 actual children, his framing nonetheless accepts and reproduces this monolithic figure man does indicate that the future of the child as futurity is different from the future of "Racialized kids, queer kids, are not the sovereign princes of futurity. Although Edelhere, noting that the futures of some children are neither protected nor fetishized: treatment in the world."31 José Esteban Muñoz offers the kind of accounting we need start: an account of the relation between the idealization of children and their actual Love urges, "What one wants more of . . . are things that No Future excludes from the they are read alongside "the lived experiences of ... historical children." As Heather

early 2000s, with the children's poor dental health cast as evidence of the parents' "unfitness' for inclusion in the body politic."35 example of oral health campaigns targeted toward Mexican immigrant families in the Citizenship has been similarly policed; Sarah Horton and Judith C. Barker offer the in other words, depended on the linkage of race, class, and disability for meaning.34 nonwhite people but also the difference between pure and tainted whites." Whiteness, ing of cognitive ability was used to signify not only the difference between white and signify a whiteness "tainted" by poverty and ethnicity; "[T]he racialized understandin which the label of "feeble-mindedness" worked in the early twentieth century to tualizations of disability and illness.33 Anna Stubblefield details, for example, the ways bolic marker[s] of racial difference" in terms of both immigration policies and concepregimes of health and hygiene; health and hygiene have long served as "potent sym-This always already whiteness is a whiteness framed by and understood through

offer these examples not to make the case that racism and classism are really ableand by each other. The always already white Child is also always already healthy and into disability; rather, I want to insist that these categories are constituted through the mental health and behavioral stability of poor children and children of color.³⁷ I Roberts notes, such differential treatment suggests the persistence of stereotypes about scribed such medications for "less severe conditions" than other children. As Dorothy dren on private insurance; children on Medicaid are also far more likely to be pretimes more likely to prescribe antipsychotic drugs to children on Medicaid than chilit, he warns that "most Negro youth are in danger of being caught up in the tangle of ism, or that what Muñoz is really talking about is disability, as if everything collapses the linkages among race, class, and illness in the 2009 finding that doctors are four lies; the black family is always already sick.36 We can locate a more recent example of "entrapped," explains Moynihan, because this "pathology" is endemic to black famipathology that affects their world, and probably a majority are so entrapped." They are Moynihan's infamous 1965 report The Negro Family: The Case for National Action. In examples of this conflation of race, class, and disability; so, too, does Daniel Patrick The histories of eugenic segregation and sterilization I mention above offer multiple futurism—have been and continue to be framed as sick, as pathological, as contagious. Queer kids, kids of color, street kids-all of the kids cast out of reproductive

> abject other. nondisabled; disabled children are not part of this privileged imaginary except as the

race/class/gender/nation? are incidents of illness and disability inextricably bound, and differentially bound, to ate."39 She speaks, then, not only of disability futurity but of futures of disability: how can or cannot reproduce children but on what capacities they can and cannot regenertion aggregates as those in decay, destined for no future, based not on whether they regenerative capacity already demarcate racialized and sexualized statistical populaat hand is not to repudiate reproductive futurities but to trace "how the biopolitics of temporalities: "frequency," "incidence," "occurrence." Jasbir Puar argues that the task then, to some of the terms with which I began this exploration of crip futures and that effectively cast disabled people out of time and out of our futures. Let us return, accounting of real children's lives, I call for critical maps of the practices and ideologies imaginary by making apparent its assumptions; echoing Love's desire for a careful crip call is not to become normate.38 On the contrary, I want to interrupt this privileged privileged imaginary to include disabled children; as Robert McRuer makes clear, the In highlighting this abjection, I am not simply arguing for an expansion of the

of disability as tragedy and loss. But for the men Ostrander profiles, disability is the mental accounts of disability (i.e., those not featuring poor people of color living in chair" recognized as all the same, all signs of no future. In more mainstream, sentiof time, facing a future of no future, and a no future best embodied by a wheelchair. be dead in jail or in a chair. Some people look at it like that and that kinda bothers me. supposed to get in trouble-stuff like this is supposed to happen . . . I'm supposed to other futures could you possibly expect? As Isaac explains, "[B]ecause I'm black, I'm futures because of their gender, race, and class: of course you're in a wheelchair, what conclusion; people act as if they had always expected to find disability in these men's of these men describe being treated as if their current disablement were a foregone lently acquired spinal cord injuries, are a useful illustration of these concerns. Several there was nothing to "lose." sign that one never had a future in the first place; loss is not the defining frame because "bad" neighborhoods), disability is what ends one's future; it is the familiar narrative Disability, in other words, becomes the future of no future, with "dead in jail or in a hoods will be paralyzed (if not killed) by gunshot wounds serves to push them out The statistical likelihood that young, black men living in particular Chicago neighbor-Just because I'm an African-American that means what? . . . This is how our lives is?"40 Noam Ostrander's interviews with young black men in Chicago, each with vio-

medical responses to prostate cancer, with black men more likely than whites to have whites to have a leg amputated"; an earlier study found similar racial disparities in ple, that "blacks with diabetes or vascular disease are nearly five times more likely than describes the impact of race and region on health care. Researchers found, for exam-This assumption is laid bare in the results of a 2008 study of Medicare claims that

Time for Disability Studies and a Future for Crips | 35

to the larger disparities in our health care system; black people likely face more drastic to the high-quality care needed to manage chronic illnesses successfully. But whether treatments because their diagnoses come later and/or because they lack regular access their testicles removed as part of treatment. 41 These differences are due in no small part ies) are more protected than others. process—unequal access to care—it is hard to deny that some futures (and some bodwe look at the end result—higher rates of amputation and thus of disability—or at the

are demarcated differently. The questions that then hang around us, that require susdisabled people out of time, as the sign of the future of no future. It is to do the work disability futures otherwise, as part of other, alternate temporalities that do not cast become the "sovereign princes of futurity" while others don't (or perhaps because othqueer theorists: How does the Child differ from historical children? How do some kids tained attention from queer disability scholars, would be the very ones raised by these Love, Muñoz, and Puar call for, and to do it with attention to how different populations already marked as having no future, as destined for decay, as always already disabled. ers don't)?42 Pursuing these kinds of questions makes clear that some populations are The task, then, is not so much to refuse the future as to imagine disability and

Queer Time, Crip Time

experienced in quick bursts, they can lead to feelings of asynchrony or temporal dissoand disability that render time "queer." Not only might they cause time to slow, or to be often defined through or in reference to illness and disability, suggesting that it is illness One could argue that queer time is crip time, and that it has been all along. Queer time is various impairments move or think at a slower (or faster) pace than culturally expected. nance; depression and mania are often experienced through time shifts, and people with "straight" time, whether straight time means a firm delineation between past/present/ tion or disability, however, she moves away from it, focusing only on queer temporalities can be felt in the bones," that time "is" a body.43 Just as quickly as she names this dislocabody. Imagining time as "out of joint" allows the possibility that time's "heterogeneity queerly; riffing on Shakespeare's "the time is out of joint," she links this description of with a hint that illness and disability might be catalysts to thinking time differently, or theory. Elizabeth Freeman, for example, begins the "Queer Temporalities" issue of GLQdent reproductive adulthood. Glimpses of these possibilities can be seen in recent queer future or an expectation of a linear development from dependent childhood to indepen-These shifts in timing and pacing can of necessity and by design lead to departures from "beyond somatic changes like puberty, aging, or illness." What happens, though, if we "skeletal dislocation" to a queer asynchrony, an experience of time in, on, and across the such changes, through these kinds of skeletal dislocations, or illness, or disease? do not move "beyond somatic changes" but think about queer/crip temporalities through

links and overlaps between queer temporalities and what we can call "crip time." I In an attempt to begin that kind of inquiry, I use this section to trace potential

> as resembling queer time. using queer temporalities to read disability experiences and reading crip temporalities deploy ideas of illness or disability to define queer time. Although I argue that disdisability in articulations of queer time, drawing out the ways in which queer theorists temporalities), then thinking through queer disability requires thinking about crip duced out of temporal and historical difference," and thus a kind of temporality (or work so clearly approaches the terrain of disability studies (even though she has yet to written extensively on the possibilities of queer temporalities but also because her done in terms of tracing or creating connections, and I begin some of that work here, ability categories are already at work in queer temporalities, I think there is more to be temporalities.46 I am particularly interested in highlighting the work of illness and mark that closeness).45 If queerness is, in Freeman's terms, "a set of possibilities profocus primarily but not exclusively on Judith Halberstam, not only because she has

our modes of understanding, from the professions of psychoanalysis and medicine, to these categories of queer temporality in and through illness and disability? native life schedules, and eccentric economic practices." How might we read each of queerness through temporality, Halberstam highlights "strange temporalities, imagilife experience—namely, birth, marriage, reproduction, and death."50 In articulating her attention on how queer subcultures operate outside "the paradigmatic markers of adulthood defined by marriage and reproduction.49 Halberstam thus focuses most of time presume a linear development from a dependent childhood to an independent to our understandings of the affective and aesthetic."48 These normative narratives of socioeconomic and demographic studies on which every sort of state policy is based, in other words, "form the base of nearly every definition of the human in almost all of ural, common-sense course of human development. "Normative narratives of time," engaging in particular behaviors at particular moments has become reified as the nating sex." The argues that time is foundational in the production of normalcy, such that temporalities enables us to see queerness as "more about a way of life than a way of havalso because they do too much of the wrong thing at the wrong time; attending to queer For Halberstam, queers are queer not only because of their objects of desire but

attention away from the future altogether, attending only to this moment, finding context that forced gay communities to focus on "the here, the present, the now." That disease, infection, and death."32 Although Halberstam does not limit queer time to the men responded to the threat of AIDS . . . by . . . making community in relation to risk, queer time by talking about the early time of the AIDS epidemic, when "[s]ome gay urgency in the present. By Halberstam's reading, it was living, and dying, with AIDS each diagnosis, or each symptom.53 Instead, the queer time of the epidemic deflects logics, ones in which the future was not continually diminishing with each death, or time of illness and infection, she describes it as "emerg[ing] from the AIDS crisis," a focus, argues Halberstam, pushed gay communities out of more mainstream temporal Let's begin with "strange temporalities": Halberstam introduces her notion of

on urgency and emergence. Given that Halberstam's iteration of queer temporality the epidemic is both queer and crip time.54 stresses illness as much as sex, one could certainly make the argument that the time of that pushed (some) gay men out of a normative life course and into queer ruminations

of time, as in "May 23rd 'falls' on a Tuesday," finding in this concept of synchrony a in which time "falls rather than passes"; he refers here to the coincidence of two cycles the week and numbers of the month) to be running simultaneously yet not perfectly way to move beyond strict linear time. It allows for two cycles of time (such as days of might we read disability into this focus on coincidence, on simultaneity? Or how might tripping, and impaired bodies than walking ones? What is the time of falling, and how notion of "falling" time, a phrasing that suggests a modality more akin to stumbling, of the word) lines of forward movement.55 Is it possible, though, to read more into this parallel, creating circular moments of coincidence rather than straight (in both senses ing and passing in time? we read the distinction between falling and passing time as a distinction between fall-Tom Boellstorff offers "the time of coincidence" as another queer temporality, one

tion and disidentification. Falling on the sidewalk, she explains, becomes a moment exploration of how tripping up her feet leads to tripping up categories of identificain the eyes of others. The experience of falling in time leads Chandler to recognize as she inhabits one category in her mind at the same time as she inhabits another ing impossible, even as she moves from one to the other moment by moment, even plunging her into categories and identifications that trip her up. Falling makes passof falling into disability; it is the falling that identifies her to others as disabled, queer. Notions of failure and excess, and acts of failing to adhere to some societal at least in part, this link between falling and failure that renders crip temporalities how her body falls into, exceeds, and fails expectations all at the same time.56 It is, how shame and pride coincide in her body on the sidewalk, a queer awareness of does, even as she fails expectations about what the body does; failure and success ity. Chandler knows that by falling she lives up to expectations about what disability norms while or by exceeding others, run throughout discussions of queer temporalthus coincide in the moment of falling. I am reminded here of Eliza Chandler's meditation on falling on the sidewalk, her

future as known and knowable, futurity itself becomes tenuous, precarious. But this arrested, stopped. Paradoxically, even as the very notion of "prognosis" sets up the out of time; rather than a stable, steady progression through the stages of life, time is assumed lifespan."57 Living in "prognosis time" is thus a liminal temporality, a casting all the usual ways one orients oneself in time—one's age, generation, and stage in the explores how cancer diagnoses and prognoses interrupt "the idea of a time line and opening up alternative logics and orientations. Anthropologist Sarah Lochlann Jain rality. As Freeman herself suggests, living with illness can push time "out of joint," We can move from "falling" to "falling ill" as another form of strange tempo-

> very precariousness can, as Halberstam finds in AIDS narratives, become an impetus for erotic investment in the present, in one's diagnosed body.

away." At that moment, she writes, "All the futures I had imagined for myself were now altered her relationship to futurity, even though her body remained unchanged. Sitand, as a result, her prognosis—changed her whole orientation to the world; she was future" becomes more tenuous.59 wasted time; the future is marked in increments of treatment and survival even as "the the past becomes a mix of potential causes of one's present illness or a succession of nite, period of negotiation and identification. During that period, past/present/future replaced by this newly-revealed, short future: 'eventually waste away.'"58 For Hershey, tionary: "A genetic disorder in which the body's muscles weaken and eventually waste ting alone at school, she ran across the definition of muscular dystrophy in the dicfamiliar with living with disability, but discovering her prognosis fundamentally become jumbled, inchoate. The present takes on more urgency as the future shrinks: the time of prognosis is a single moment of telling but also an extended, if not indefi-Laura Hershey reports that inadvertently learning the nature of her diagnosis—

is the 'time," in Christopher Nealon's framing, "of the repeated attempt?" Nealon and address the effects of Agent Orange or Iraq War Syndrome or PTSD?50 "What ments? Or the veteran trying again and again to get the government to acknowledge chronic pain, or those with multiple chemical sensitivities (MCS), struggling for years all the more dis- and reorienting, for those falling out of or exceeding diagnostic catshuttling between specialists, the repeated refusal of care and services, the constant stories in mind, I supplement Jain's "prognosis time" with the time of undiagnosis: the ultimately committing suicide out of frustration and isolation. As Roberta S. puts it, nized, years that clearly took a toll; some of those Zwillinger profiled ran out of time. and images in Rhonda Zwillinger's powerful The Dispossessed, a profile of people with denied recognition an orientation to time?62 His question reminds me of the stories of marginalization and disavowal; how, he wonders, is the repeated experience of being pushes here for an understanding of queer time that includes the temporal experiences to find a medical professional or social services provider to recognize their impairegories. How might we understand the experiences of those with chronic fatigue and recognition or diagnosis, the waiting. denial of one's experiences, the slow exacerbation of one's symptoms, the years without 'safe' place so I can be indoors. I am so worn out I think I will die soon."63 With these "For the past 16 years I have lived in my car, traveling from place to place looking for a MCS who have lived through years of failed attempts to get their condition recog-The strange temporality of diagnosis/prognosis seems all the more dislocating

of queer time as involving archives of rage and shame, then why not also panic attacks What would constitute a temporality of mania, or depression, or anxiety? If we think door to still other framings of crip time, of illness and disability in and through time. Thinking about diagnosis and undiagnosis as strange temporalities opens the

how do panic attacks cause linear time to unravel, making time seem simultaneously or fatigue? How does depression slow down time, making moments drag for days, or

to speed up and slam shut, leaving one behind? in time while remaining present in this moment: What has caused reactions before? that might trigger a response. Such scans include moving both forward and backward MCS who live in a kind of anticipatory time, scanning their days for events or exposures cally similar places and chemically similar people."4 Surviving with MCS requires an includes places and people, I pattern-match them to past experiences with chemi-Chen explains, "I now have a strategy of temporally placed imaginations; if my future What might cause reactions now? What reactions lie ahead? Writing about MCS, Mel porality of anticipation and response fosters queer orientations to objects and people; ment to the surrounding environment. Chen writes poignantly about how this temgas, the passing smoke. Queer, too, in that it requires, and is born of, an erotic attachanticipation of the moment that has not yet arrived: the rogue fragrance, the invisible the next. 65 This time of anticipation is itself a kind of queer liminality, living always in respondingly away from" other bodies in the desire to survive from this moment into embodied awareness of one's location in space and time, "turning toward . . . or corthe bodies of others, while people—with their unexpected, undesired fragrances and exposed to their chemical pasts (the shampoo they used that morning, the cigarette smokes—become foreign, disorienting.66 Encountering them in real time means being her sofa—familiar, safe—becomes more present, more of a home to her body than sity, bound also to the constant glance back. Chen experiences her present body in they smoked after class), which then impact one's immediate future (feeling fatigue, around her, offering glimpses of how our individual choices can affect the temporaliplay out. The strange temporalities of MCS thus include not only Chen but also those relation to past exposures, with both determining how future not-yet exposures will plicity. The constantly forward-looking stance, the stance of anticipation, is, of necesfog, nausea). MCS, then, leads to a strange temporality, one of coincidence and multities of others; I can unwittingly, unknowingly, cast someone else out of time by my "Strange temporalities" could then include the experiences of those with PTSD or

chemical consumption.67 using them for another. For example, one adult might be "more" disabled in the eyes a simultaneous inhabiting of present and future? Harriet McBryde Johnson explains about the very scheduling of attendant care itself and the ways in which it requires however, an attendant might do work that benefits the lover, or their children. Or what of the state and therefore qualify for more hours than her lover; once in the home, families who juggle attendant care, receiving hours for one person but unofficially and out of our chairs." The immediate future then mixes with the present, as Johnson each bath, each bedtime, each laying out of our food and ... books, each getting in that working with attendants requires scheduling "in advance each bathroom trip, And what of Halberstam's "imaginative life schedules"? I think here of those crip

> and the ways in which they shape our days. It is a literal projecting of one's body as a to one's body, a foregrounding of physical needs—eating and sleeping and shitting regardless of attendant care. At another level, though, it requires a different orientation scheduling is more a difference in degree than in kind to the planning everyone does, uses this moment to plan the next and the next and the next. On one level, this kind of to space and time might this embodied dualness allow? body into the future even as one inhabits one's body in the present. What orientations

work but as refusing such regimes in order to make room for pleasure? we begin to read these practices of self-care not as preserving one's body for productive of productivity at all costs, of sacrificing one's body for work. In other words, how might conserving energy, of anticipating, can be read as queer in that it bucks American ideals if I want to make that show tomorrow night, I need to stay home today.69 This idea of against the moment to come: if I go to this talk now, I will be too tired for that class later; with chronic fatigue or pain, for example, the present moment must often be measured dants, but often extends to working with and in one's own mind/body. For those who live Indeed, this kind of anticipatory scheduling is not limited to working with atten-

people who create their own cooperatives and collectives of attendant care, negotiating ate without putting their health care in jeopardy. We can think here, too, of disabled state. Attendant services, health care, and disability payments often come with strict operate on the barter system, trading services and products below the radar of the kind of refusal of productivity; it might also include the many disabled people who their own terms apart from the requirements of the state. tices can ease some of the financial pressure while also enabling crips to write or crekeeps many disabled people hovering near the poverty line. Eccentric economic pracrequirements about how much one can earn and still receive services, an amount that "Eccentric economic practices," Halberstam's third category, can then include this

articulation of queers as those who Imagining these kinds of practices brings me right back to Halberstam and her

edges of logics of labor and production. By doing so, they also often live outside the will and do opt to live outside of reproductive and familial time as well as on the that other people assign to privacy and family.70 others have abandoned, and in terms of the ways they might work in the domains when others sleep and in the spaces (physical, metaphysical, and economic) that of the ways they live (deliberately, accidentally, or of necessity) during the hours ployed. Perhaps such people could productively be called "queer subjects" in terms barebackers, rent boys, sex workers, homeless people, drug dealers, and the unemlogic of capital accumulation: here we could consider ravers, club kids, HIV-positive

categories named here: many disabled people are homeless and unemployed/underemployed; HIV falls under the rubric of illness and disability, as does drug addiction; and "queer subjects." Most immediately we can recognize that disability likely inhabits the This definition, too, could easily be applied to disability, rendering disabled people

or compel it). Moreover, as I noted above, the mechanisms of state services certainly production. push one out of the logic of capital accumulation and onto the edges of labor and disability does not preclude one from being a sex worker (and may, in fact, facilitate it

setting up and turning on sex toys? Or what of disabled people who engage in sex with between private and public? Or what of the disabled people who use paid attendants How does the use of attendants to assist with dressing and toileting disrupt the binary between queerness and disability. people assign to privacy and family," suggesting at the very least productive overlaps their attendants? Each of these practices involve paid work "in the domains that other to assist them with sex, either by positioning them in bed with their partners or by But we can think, too, of the blurring of boundaries between public and private.

work, parenting, and the social are thus shaped by individual needs, desires, and abilitime refuses to define itself in terms of either the ideal or the average: Schedules for body, or one's time, is to reimagine what public time and social relations can look like. public; to refuse the regimentation of economic imperatives across the terrain of one's to the individual and the private, Samuels paradoxically indexes the social and the ties, rather than by regimented economic and cultural imperatives."⁷¹ By attending "Eccentric economic practices" challenge the normative modalities that define time, such as productivity, accomplishment, and efficiency, and they urge us toward some-Ellen Samuels explores this possibility of crip time as resistant orientation: "Crip

On Longevity, Lost History, and Futurity

a Queer Time and Place, she laments that "we create longevity as the most desirable between queer time and longevity; and second, the queer desire for reformulated histhat disability seems to exceed queer temporalities: first, the oppositional relationship other reveals areas of disconnect as well. In this third section, I highlight two ways Crip and queer temporalities clearly overlap, but reading them in relation to each modes of living that show little or no concern for longevity."73 This critique appears future, applaud the pursuit of long life (under any circumstances), and pathologize tories. Early in Halberstam's definition of queer time, on one of the first pages of In explores the notion of longevity in depth, its appearance at defining moments in the depiction of queer time and alternative temporalities.74 Although she never explicitly again, almost verbatim, in the book's conclusion, thereby bookending Halberstam's from its opposition to longevity. text suggests that her understanding of queer time draws its meaning, at least in part,

first issues this challenge in her discussion of HIV/AIDS and its effects on gay comseems essential to both queer and crip politics, both queer and crip theory. Halberstam munities. As we saw earlier, she frames the time of the epidemic as a temporality that At first blush, this claim resonates; challenging the fetishization of longevity

> all of its urgency, the future be damned.75 I hear this call as an equally crip move: we selves far into the future, they were compelled to live for the moment, this moment, in refuses futurity, one prompted by gay men who had been forced by death and disease disability studies is invested in troubling. I think, for example, of activists such as can certainly read "longevity" as a code for both "health" and "stability," two terms to rethink the cultural focus on living long lives. No longer able to project their young lasting, and stable. through disability studies; the devaluation of disabled bodies is due in no small part to not the only rubric that matters. 76 A critique of longevity, then, can be easily articulated Bob Flanagan, "[S]urviving well can paradoxically mean surviving sick"; longevity is shame. Or, as Robert McRuer argues in his queercrip reading of performance artist future, but saw that fact as a call for love and justice rather than a sign of tragedy or Hershey, who lived most of their lives knowing that a long life span was not in their those bodies' failure to adhere to norms of bodies as unchanging, impermeable, long-

nal anxieties about illness, physical and mental degeneration, and disability; I read troubling parenthetical? The insertion of "(under any circumstances)" seems to sigof living that show little or no concern for longevity." What is a crip to do with that applaud the pursuit of long life (under any circumstances), and pathologize modes but to disability. Reading again, "[W]e create longevity as the most desirable future, possible reading of this passage, one that opposes queer time not only to longevity circumstances would render life not-queer. tion of shared meaning or common understanding; apparently, we all know which means by "long life (under any circumstances)" and "longevity" suggests an assumpoff dead" and "life not worth living." Halberstam's lack of specificity about what she machine," "dependent on others." I read "under any circumstances" and hear "better "under any circumstances" and hear "extraordinary measures," "breathing through a This is not the only crip reading of this text, however; it bumps up against another

placed in a culture that continually supports cutting services to disabled poor people, on living "under any circumstances." A critique of longevity can begin to feel misconcern for longevity," but one such mode of living is those bodies/minds who insist any means necessary; we do, indeed, "pathologize modes of living that show little or no suggests that at the very least we do not value longevity under any circumstances or by fears of age, illness, and disability to dilute her critique. Thinking through disability two practices that very well may ensure those disabled people do not live long lives. and that continues to warehouse disabled people in institutions and nursing homes. Halberstam undercuts her own arguments here, allowing culturally embedded

sible for some bodies—and, we might add, some populations—than others.78 Drawing understandings of time," as in her articulation of the time of the epidemic, is more posable,' both in mainstream and marginal communities, and the abbreviated life spans on the work of Cathy Cohen, she notes, "[S]ome bodies are simply considered 'expend-Halberstam herself recognizes that the "hopeful reinvention of conventional

queers or poor drug users" in Halberstam's quote. Rather, reading her queer critique as within its purview, precisely because of their depiction as expendable, so I want to here. I argue for a disability studies that sees both "black queers" and "poor drug users" ing with a disability, or living as disabled—as one of the positions that needs attention any circumstances)," I am insisting that we see disability—and more importantly, livclosely on Halberstam's dismissal of a "concern for longevity" and "long life (under on curtailed futures, intensified presents, or reformulated histories." In focusing so of black queers or poor drug users, say, does not inspire . . . metaphysical speculation "disabled people" right alongside "poor drug users" and "black queers"—has a similar of longevity through the lens of institutionalization—a lens which can encompass be clear that I am not suggesting a mere substitution of "disabled people" for "black effect: "curtailed futures" sounds a lot less romantic, a lot less queer, when we think through the precise circumstances under which we do, and do not, fetishize longevity.

or the conjuring of imagined pasts, animates much recent queer theory on time and as a queer crip interruption of the linear time of past/present/future as separate and mann writing letters to the dead, not only contesting histories (of Helen Keller and vocatively for crip ends. I think, for example, of Georgina Kleege and Brenda Brueggeare forged."80 As with critiques of longevity, her call to lost pasts can be deployed pro-"lost pasts, where meanings and discourses are contested and practices and pleasures futurity. Queer philosopher Shannon Winnubst, for example, urges an imagining of distinct planes.82 Kleege inserts herself into Keller's frame, arguing with her, disputboundaries of place and time.81 Writing open letters to the dead can surely be read Mabel Hubbard Bell, respectively) and the meanings attributed to them but refusing ing her accounts, imagining alternate endings; in so doing, she contests mainstream the past (rather than the future) as a viable and necessary site for politics, for rage, and sentimental accounts of both Keller and of disabled people more generally, presenting So, too, can the focus on "reformulated histories." The reimagining of lost pasts,

nostalgia, a recognition of the powerful role nostalgia plays in approaches to the body. Winnubst's "lost pasts") and the desire for "a nostalgic past that perhaps never was." talgia; Muñoz warns of the difference between "queer utopian memory" (such as body, the nostalgic past mind/body that perhaps never was. words—are often bound up in a kind of compulsory nostalgia for the lost able mind/ Indeed, fears about longevity "under any circumstances"—fears of disability, in other Thinking through crip temporalities and futurities requires, then, a grappling with And yet, this reimagining of lost pasts can bleed easily into a normalizing nos-

different but parallel planes, the "before disability" self and the "after disability" self describe themselves) as if they were multiple, as if there were two of them existing in at work here, with a cultural expectation that the relation between these two selves is (as if the distinction were always so clear, always so binary). Compulsory nostalgia is People with "acquired" impairments, for example, are described (and often

> neither is permitted to exist as part of a desired present or desirable future. describing fat people as "history itself—that is, they are the past that must be dispensed it bear the full horror of embodiment, situating it as that which must be cast aside with the before-and-after imagery in weight-loss advertisements. As Le'a Kent argues, or moving with crutches. Contrast this nostalgia for the (imagined) nondisabled body ing the ability to walk, for example, only to miss the sensation of pushing a wheelchair the time "before," but not the other way around; we cannot imagine someone regainalways one of loss, and of loss that moves in only one direction. The "after" self longs for with."85 Fat bodies and disabled bodies appear in different temporal frames here, but for the self to truly come into being." Elena Levy-Navarro extends Kent's argument, "The before-and-after scenario both consigns the fat body to an eternal past and makes

always be accompanied by a nostalgia for the lost able mind/body, is what animates power. It has become inescapable, and the answer is assumed to be self-evident. to address it, is part of what gives the question its strength, its compulsory and coercive The repetition of the question, the fact that disabled people are consistently expected Wouldn't you like to be as you were before? Wouldn't you prefer to be nondisabled?86 "the cure question" so familiar to disabled people: Wouldn't you rather be cured? This assumption that disability cannot be a desirable location, and that it must

distinct temporal planes. both the before and the after at once, refusing the bifurcation of her identity into two could not imagine relinquishing, even if I were 'cured.""87 Wendell works to inhabit a different person, a person I am glad to be, would not want to have missed being, and that I had never contracted ME [myalgic encephalomyelitis], because it has made me that a complete return to her "before" state would lead to "dissonance": "I cannot wish In the same breath that she wishes for a cure to her chronic fatigue and pain, she notes Yet, as Susan Wendell explains, such positionings are rarely so straightforward

ars and activists. It illustrates the extent to which the nondisabled body/mind is the compulsory able-bodiedness/able-mindedness challenged by disability studies scholeven to people who never "possessed" what they allegedly "lost," is a symptom of the I don't know my body any other way."88 This presumption of loss, one that extends me having CP [cerebral palsy] is rather like having blue eyes, red hair, and two arms default position, as if all bodies/minds are purely abled until something happens to this notion of the lost and longed-for body, this alleged desperation to return: "[F]or take up nostalgic positions toward our former selves, mourning what we have lost and them, as if mind/body variation were not a common occurrence. We are expected to tions of temporal longing, expected to mourn what they never had. Eli Clare refuses But even those who have been disabled since birth are confronted with ques-

queer but hypernormative; they rely on an assumption that all disabled people long for a lost whole, pre-illness, pre-disability body. In this framing, illness and disability Thus the lost pasts I mention here—lost able-bodies, lost able-minds—are not

exist in a present consumed by nostalgia for that loss, and we face futures far unlike present, and future each become vexed, fraught: we lost what we had in the past, we that they are presented as futures disabled people would give anything to inhabit. Past, can, and should, be left behind; these lost pasts are compulsorily hypernormative in positions a medicalized cure as just around the corner, as arriving any minute now. But acceptable—culturally recognizable—future in this context is a curative one, one that as futures no one could possibly want; they have always already failed to achieve the ined and unimaginable, inconceivable. Compulsory nostalgia figures these futures the ones we had previously imagined. The futures we now face are then both unimagcannot exist fully in the present, one where one's life is always on hold, in limbo, waitthis kind of cure-driven future positions people with disabilities in a temporality that ideal normalcy of our (imagined) able-bodied/able-minded pasts. The only culturally ing for the cure to arrive. Catherine Scott traces a version of this limbo in Christopher filled present, and the hoped-for future."89 Reeve's memoirs, describing them as a "struggle between the longed-for past, the pain-

alization, fates familiar to the two populations Halberstam names here? Both institime of incarceration, an experience known as "doing time," or the time of institution-In a Queer Time and Place or Feminist, Queer, Crip, but still quite queer? What is the to temporal understandings quite different from the ones sketched out in $No\ Future$ or might the life and times of "black queers or poor drug users" or disabled people lead refusing such speculations altogether but by revising them, expanding them?90 How futures, intensified presents, or reformulated histories," how might we respond not by or poor drug users...does not inspire...metaphysical speculation on curtailed our/my notions of crip time shift if we/I think not only of institutionalization but also questions of chronology and development, such as the treatment of juveniles as adults temporarily committed, permanently placed, consecutive life sentences; both raise tutionalization and incarceration are defined through overlapping temporal frames: of incarceration as a sign of disability oppression?91 (in prisons) and of young(er) adults as elderly ones (in nursing homes). How then do Returning to Halberstam's caution that "the abbreviated life spans of black queers

both temporalities constitutive of and important to crip time? on the street as much as the time it takes to recover from a chemical exposure, with moments in and of queer time? Or what if I were to take seriously Chen's insistence cal speculations change if we see antiracist interruptions of monolithic whiteness as that "the time of recovery" includes the time it takes to recover from a racist encounter Or, returning to Nealon's notion of the "repeated attempt," how do our metaphysi-

of (re)productivity? Or, to put it differently, how do I respond to the fact that the theoqueerness to longevity yet maintains a critical stance toward hegemonic expectations romanticism and metaphor. How can I articulate a queercrip time that does not oppose ries we deploy, the speculations we engage, play out across different bodies differently?92 These questions are, for me, bound up in questions of analogy and experience,

Future Desires, Present Despair

I have written this book because I desire crip futures: futures that embrace disabled sphere. There is no recognition that one could desire disability, no move to imagine such futures in this present, and my desires are practically inconceivable in the public talk turns to illness and disability. Part of what I am describing is a lust born of recof being. I use this language of desire deliberately. I know how my heart can catch what such desire could look like.94 to this examination of disability futures, it is a desire born largely of absence. We lack well as a hope that the other finds such recognition in me.93 Perhaps most important ognition, a lust to see bodies like my own or like the bodies of friends and lovers, as leans forward, when I hear someone speak with atypical pauses or phrasing, or when when I see a body that moves oddly or bears strange scars. I know how my body shifts, people, futures that imagine disability differently, futures that support multiple ways

of some people's felt desire or need that there be gay people in the immediate world."36 tance of "the wish that gay people not exist." "There are many people in the worlds we that.95 What we desperately need is "a strong, explicit, erotically invested affirmation tinue until we can both imagine and experience people and institutions doing exactly your kids turn out gay" is almost inconceivable, but, she warns, oppression will contion of life, is small." The notion that someone could dispense "advice on how to help whom the existence of gay people is treated as a precious desideratum, a needed condipeople who may already happen to exist. But the number of persons or institutions by inhabit," she explains, "who have a strong interest in the dignified treatment of any gay In 1989 Eve Kosofsky Sedgwick lamented the cultural pervasiveness and accep-

quote, partly because I want to avoid any suggestion that Sedgwick's desire is now trum or for intersex folks.) I use the quote here because it still feels all too true in and deviant. (This reading seems especially common for people on the trans specthe lens of disability, with both queers and crips rendered unnatural, sick, degenerate, tions make it more difficult to recognize how queerness continues to be read through the specificities of the queer/disability relationship. Facile parallels or quick substituof queers with disabilities. More to the point, such easy paralleling fails to tease out ics and practices, such as the rhetorical erasure of people inhabiting both locations, that different from 1989. But I also worry about the other dangers of substitutive logmere history. There are unfortunately far too many ways in which 2012 does not look cultivating disability. (I have a hard time even typing "cultivating disability" because it build institutions supporting that kind of cultivation, is intertwined with fears about drive toward normalcy and normalization. Not wanting to cultivate queerness, or to disabled lives. Both are failures of the imagination supporting and supported by the because I think the inability to value queer lives is related to the inability to imagine 2012, and I, too, long for that kind of embodied investment in queer lives. I use it, too, I have avoided the temptation to substitute "disabled" for gay in the preceding

4

is almost impossible to imagine what a just version of that would look like. This book

serves as my attempt.)

Thus my desire for crip futures is, as Heather Love puts it, "a hope inseparable from despair."

I feel this hope—and the hope has the fierce intensity that it does—because it is birthed out of and coexists with this despair about our impoverished imaginations. What I need is to follow some of these longings out, even if they put me in the realm of fantasy. Changing our imaginations, suggests Judith Butler, allows us to change our situations. Fantasy carries a "critical promise," she argues, "allow[ing] us to imagine ourselves and others otherwise."

This intermingling of recognition and absence, of despair and hope, renders my desire quite queer. Queer in that my want, my longing, my pleasure intensifies with the queerness of these crip bodies, these crip futures. Queer, too, in that in imagining crip futures, I mean more than particular, identifiable bodies. I mean possibility, unpredictability, promise: the promise of recognizing crip where I did not expect to unpredictability of watching "crip" change meanings before my eyes. I name this find it, the possibility of watching "crip" change meanings before my eyes. I name this desire "queer" in part because of its ambiguity. Becoming more "visible"—by increasing and publicizing the presence of disabled people in public, perhaps—does not guaraltee acceptance or inclusion, especially for those not already privileged by race and class. As feminists from Minnie Bruce Pratt to Bernice Johnson Reagon to Chandra Talpede Mohanty have cautioned, the desire for home, for familiarity, often leads to naïve evocations of community. Thus, in naming and experiencing this desire, I am likely misreading and misrecognizing the bodies and practices of others. I am, in other words, finding both disability and desire where they don't necessarily belong—surely words, finding both disability and desire where they don't necessarily belong—surely

This desire, these imaginings, cannot be separated from the crip pasts behind us or the crip presents surrounding us; indeed, these very pasts and presents are what make articulating a critical crip futurity so essential. To put it bluntly, I, we, need to imagine crip futures because disabled people are continually being written out of imagine crip futures because disabled people are continually being written out of impairments, those who are poor, gender-deviant, and/or people of color, those who need atypical forms of assistance to survive—have faced sterilization, segregation, and need atypical forms of assistance to survive—have faced sterilization, segregation, and practices continue, and each of them has greatly limited, and often literally shortened, the futures of disabled people. It is my loss, our loss, not to take care of, embrace, and desire all of us. We must begin to anticipate presents and to imagine futures that include all of us. We must explore disability in time.

2 At the Same Time, Out of Time

Ashley X

The stories of women with disabilities must be told, not as stories of vulnerability, but as stories of injustice.

-Sherene Razack, "From Pity to Respect"

social and recreational activities would decrease dramatically.4 Ashley's doctors took turn and lift easily). Her parents worried that, without the Treatment, Ashley would enable her parents to continue caring for her at home (by keeping her small enough to (by removing the possibility of her menstruating or developing breasts) and would necessary for Ashley's future quality of life: they would reduce her pain and discomfort estrogen treatment.3 According to her parents and doctors, these interventions were "to reduce the complications of puberty" and mitigate potential side effects of the and, prior to the estrogen treatment, remove Ashley's uterus and breast buds in order plan: "attenuate" Ashley's growth by starting her on a high-dose estrogen regimen; growth on their ability to care for her at home. Together they crafted a two-pronged ents met with doctors in 2004 to discuss the potential effects of puberty and physical or use language." Concerned about their daughter's long-term future, Ashley's parlogical baseline would improve.1 "At the age of 6 years, she [could] not sit up, ambulate, beyond that of an infant," and her doctors held no hope that her cognitive or neuroher birth. "In the ensuing years," doctors note, "her development never progressed girl known as Ashley X was diagnosed with "static encephalopathy" a few months after IN THINKING ABOUT crip futurity, I find myself haunted by Ashley X. Born in 1997, the ally become "untenable" and that Ashley's parents would need to place her "in the this concern a step further, expressing fear that caring for her at home might eventubecome too cumbersome for them to lift safely, and, as a result, her participation in hands of strangers."5

to that situation is to oppose assisted reproductive technologies or limit women's rights. about disability, and that stereotypes about disability persist, we do not think the response families do not always have the information they need to make reproductive decisions

parenting, and the social and economic supports to parent all children with dignity. right to have children, including children with disabilities, access to information about abortion rights. Reproductive rights demands not only access to abortion but also the to disability rights, we refuse to accept the rhetorical use of disability as an argument for disability as unrelenting tragedy. As reproductive rights advocates who are committed her assumption that advocacy for abortion rights requires accepting the construction of abortion is the only proper response to disability has prompted little controversy, as has the "moral and unselfish" response. She added that if she had a sick or disabled child, she ingly giving birth to a child with disabilities is cruel, and that in such cases abortion is Ironside's comments about infanticide have been rightly condemned, her assertion that would not hesitate to "put a pillow over its face," as would "any loving mother." Although tive rights supporters. In arguing for the right to abortion, Ironside stated that knowfering" of disabled children similarly require a challenge from disability and reproduc-The recent statements by British advice columnist Virginia Ironside about the "suf-

right of women and families to make the best reproductive decisions for themselves. and refusing arguments for the human rights of people with disabilities that deny the refusing arguments for women's reproductive autonomy that deny disability rights, In other words, we hold both disability rights and reproductive rights together,

reproductive rights. Reproductive rights and disability rights are intertwined. tive rights to undermine disability rights and the use of disability rights to undermine around civil and human rights we can continue to speak out against the use of reproducand disability rights. We hope that as advocates in movements that share similar values port other activists and scholars who are equally committed to both reproductive rights reproductive autonomy and access to abortion. We hope, with this statement, to supdisabilities and of the use of disability stereotypes to undermine women's and families' only the most recent manifestations of long-standing prejudices against people with Although our statement is motivated by these events, we recognize that these are

In solidarity,

Sujatha Jesudason Laura Hershey Julia Epstein

Alison Kafer

Dorothy Roberts

October 15, 2010

Notes

Introduction

1. Michael Gerson, "The Eugenics Temptation," Washington Post, October 24, 2007, A19.

Women to Decide on Gay Abortion," Independent (UK), February 19, 1997. over Gay Baby Abortion Claim," Independent (UK), February 17, 1997; and Richard Dawkins, "Letter: ner," Telegraph (UK), February 16, 1997; Steve Boggan and Glenda Cooper, "Nobel Winner May Sue choose under any circumstances. V. MacDonald, "Abort Babies with Gay Genes, Says Nobel Wineventually become possible, although he later claimed he was simply defending women's right to been quoted elsewhere as supporting the abortion of fetuses that contain "the gay gene," if such tests fact that [Africans'] intelligence is the same as ours—whereas all the testing says not really." He has (UK), October 14, 2007. In that article, Watson laments that "all our social policies are based on the former assistants, see Charlotte Hunt-Grubbe, "The Elementary DNA of Dr. Watson," Sunday Times nard J. Davis (New York: Routledge, 2006), 93–103. For an overview of Watson's career by one of his Who Should and Who Should Not Inhabit the World?" in The Disability Studies Reader, ed. Len-2. I have borrowed my phrasing here from Ruth Hubbard. See her "Abortion and Disability.

tors for failing to catch disabling conditions in utero and thereby preventing them from aborting the fetus. I discuss the issue of disabled children and reproduction in chapters 3 and 7. manifestation of this notion that no one wants disabled children; in these suits, parents sue their docparticularly about disability, are quite pervasive. The filing of wrongful birth suits would be another But his personal penchant for the outrageous doesn't change the fact that many of his assumptions, to make a point, and, as a result, it is tempting to dismiss his comments as extreme and isolated. Watson is often described as a provocateur, willing to put things in the most shocking way

about both mental illness and cognitive disabilities. That work occasionally involves occupying and and irredeemable. Part of my project in this book, then, is to work to counteract this assumption uses regarding Down syndrome: in this framework, "obviously" both conditions are undesirable 3. In his deployment of "crazy," Watson employs the same kind of "common sense" logic he

New York University Press, 2009), 4. 4. Monica J. Casper and Lisa Jean Moore, Missing Bodies: The Politics of Visibility (New York:

Body Politic (New York: Palgrave Macmillan, 2011), 29. Tom Shakespeare, Disability Rights and Wrongs is possible. Nirmala Erevelles, Disability and Difference in Global Contexts: Enabling a Transformative 1999, http://www.salon.com/books/it/1999/08/18/disability/index.html. (London: Routledge, 2006), 64; Norah Vincent, "Enabling Disabled Scholarship," Salon, August 18, deny such a possibility but rather to explore the social and material conditions under which such desire Erevelles, who argues that a critical disability response to the question of desiring disability is not to see, or could no longer hear, or had to use a wheelchair." Contrast their position with that of Nirmala tive but neutral—"there would be nothing wrong with painlessly altering a baby so they could no longer certain impairments. Shakespeare argues that "if impairment were just another difference"—not negathat one is opposed to giving pregnant women access to folic acid because it decreases the incidence of tionally disable other people. Vincent suggests, for example, that adhering to such a position must mean they share the belief that casting disability as desirable leads, logically, to the belief that we can intenviews about the worth and need for disability studies (with Shakespeare "for" and Vincent "against"), 5. Although disability theorist Tom Shakespeare and journalist Norah Vincent hold opposing

and the Evolution of Darwin's Theory (New York: Carroll & Graf, 2007). Brunswick, NJ: Rutgers University Press, 2006), 77-87. See also Kenny Fries, The History of My Shoes coming the Unbidden: The Case for Preserving Human Biodiversity," in What Democracy Looks Like: A New Critical Realism for a Post-Seattle World, ed. Amy Schrager Lang and Cecelia Tichi (New 6. For an account of disability as human biodiversity, see Rosemarie Garland-Thomson, "Wel-

and multiple chemical sensitivities are less visible and perhaps therefore more frightening; it would be ence because they come with props or accoutrements, e.g., canes and wheelchairs. Mental disability dynamic on which these exercises ultimately rely. Some impairments are harder to take on and off. harder to know when the simulation was beginning and ending, thereby interrupting the distancing sensations feel like. I suspect simulations are limited to those conditions that sound fun to experiple, of chronic illness, pain, or fatigue, perhaps because people assume they already know what those disabilities, however, seem beyond the reach of these exercises. There are not simulations, for examspeech impairment/marbles (i.e., asking students to try to speak with marbles in their mouths). Other blindfolds, I have heard of exercises employing deafness/noise-canceling headsets and, astonishingly, 7. Although most simulation exercises focus on mobility-impairment/wheelchairs and blindness

another critique of disability simulation exercises, see Art Blaser, "Awareness Days: Some Alternatives to Simulation Exercises," Ragged Edge Online, September/October 2003, http://www.ragged-8. Tobin Siebers, Disability Theory (Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press, 2008), 29. For

Press, 1998), 11. 9. Simi Linton, Claiming Disability: Knowledge and Identity (New York: New York University

cal profession alone, for prioritizing medicine and medical solutions over social reconstruction." Leslie I. Reagan, Dangerous Pregnancies: Mothers, Disabilities, and Abortion in Modern America (Berkeley: University of California Press, 2010), 65. model . . . perhaps may be best understood as a critique of the entire society, rather than of the medithemselves have disabilities. Thus, as Leslie J. Reagan notes, "(t)he disabilities critique of the medical vice providers are also often allies and activists, and there certainly are medical professionals who 10. Nor do all medical professionals employ an individual/medical model of disability; ser-

September 24, 2009, http://www.denisdutton.com/what_are_editors_for.htm; emphasis in original. 11. Denis Dutton, "What Are Editors For," Philosophy and Literature 20 (1996): 551-66, accessed

toms (including limb stunting, enlarged lips, open, drooling mouth, broad, flat face, sallow skin, etc.), and intellectual subnormality to the level of imbecile or moron, are actual medical conditions." Dutton, "What Are Editors For." 12. To clarify this point, Dutton provides a list of the symptoms of cretinism: "The bodily symp-

13. Rosemarie Garland-Thomson, "Integrating Disability, Transforming Feminist Theory," in Gendering Disability, ed. Bonnie Smith and Beth Hutchison (New Brunswick, NJ: Rutgers University

Rejected Body: Feminist Philosophical Reflections on Disability (New York: Routledge, 1996). 14. For one of the most well-known examples of this phenomenon, see Susan Wendell, The

Sharon L. Snyder, Brenda Jo Brueggemann, and Rosemarie Garland-Thomson (New York: Modern Languages Association, 2002), 293. 15. Jim Swan, "Disabilities, Bodies, Voices," in Disability Studies: Enabling the Humanities, ed

monolithic, and many service providers support social change on top of any medical treatmentscal model is unnecessarily simplistic and reductionist-medical approaches to disability are not and explicitly argues for such an approach to disability. Although I agree that a notion of "the" meditique is misguided; "when closely analyzed, it is nothing but a straw person" because no one actively medical constructions and definitions of disability, impairment, and disabled bodies/minds remain the most culturally pervasive frameworks. Shakespeare, Disability Rights and Wrongs, 18 16. Shakespeare suggests that the focus on the medical model as the main site for disability cri-

- Edinburgh University Press, 1998), 243, 246. Feminist Reconfigurations of the Bio/logical Body, ed. Margrit Shildrick and Janet Price (Edinburgh: 17. Janet Price and Margrit Shildrick, "Uncertain Thoughts on the Dis/abled Body," Vital Signs:
- no. 2 (1997): 193. See also Shakespeare, Disability Rights and Wrongs. 18. B. J. Gleeson, "Disability Studies: A Historical Materialist View," Disability and Society 12,
- (New York: Continuum, 2002), 32-47. Disability/Postmodernity: Embodying Political Theory, ed. Mairian Corker and Tom Shakespeare 19. Wendell, Rejected Body, 14. See also Shelley Tremain, "On the Subject of Impairment," in
- Selected Prose, 1979-1985 (New York: W. W. Norton, 1994): 210-31. 20. See, for example, Adrienne Rich, "Notes Toward a Politics of Location," Blood, Bread, Poetry:
- versity Press, 2012), 1–34; and Shakespeare, Disability Rights and Wrongs. introduction to Sex and Disability, ed. Robert McRuer and Anna Mollow (Durham, NC: Duke Uni-Danquah's Willow Weep for Me," MELUS 31, no. 3 (2006): 67-99; Anna Mollow and Robert McRuer, "When Black Women Start Going on Prozac': Race, Gender, and Mental Illness in Meri Nana-Ama an African History of Disability," Radical History Review 94 (Winter 2006): 111-26; Anna Mollow, particularly its foreclosure of certain questions from debate, see Julie Livingston, "Insights from Michael Bérubé, "Term Paper," Profession (2010): 112. For other recent critiques of the social model, "it's possible that [a] cognitively impaired person . . . would be impaired by any built environment." lectual impairments; although social and structural changes are both necessary and long overdue, 21. Nor, suggests Michael Bérubé, can the social model adequately address cognitive or intel-
- University Press 2007). Heather Love, Feeling Backward: Loss and the Politics of Queer History (Cambridge, MA: Harvard projects—what Heather Love calls "feeling backward"—see Ann Cvetkovich, An Archive of Feelings: 210. For sharp analyses and moving insights on the importance of trauma and depression to radical ters with Strangers: Feminism and Disability, ed. Jenny Morris (London: The Women's Press, 1996), Trauma, Sexuality, and Lesbian Public Cultures (Durham, NC: Duke University Press, 2003); and 22. Liz Crow, "Including All of Our Lives: Renewing the Social Model of Disability," in Encoun-
- ed. Lennard J. Davis (New York: Routledge, 2006), 199. 23. Tom Shakespeare, "The Social Model of Disability," in The Disability Studies Reader, 2nd ed.,
- to the "Black is Beautiful" movement of the 1960s. 24. Dutton uses race as his primary example, arguing that there can be no disability equivalent
- nstein Sycamore (New York: Soft Skull, 2004), 189-206. I discuss PISSAR in more detail in chapter 7. room Revolutionaries!" in That's Revolting! Queer Strategies for Resisting Assimilation, ed. Matt Ber-25. Simone Chess, Alison Kafer, Jessi Quizar, and Mattie Udora Richardson, "Calling All Rest-
- 26. Chantal Mouffe, The Return of the Political (London: Verso, 1993), 3.
- tural Studies and Political Theory, ed. Jodi Dean (Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press, 2000), 6. 27. Jodi Dean, "Introduction: The Interface of Political Theory and Cultural Studies," in Cul-
- 28. Dean, "Introduction: The Interface of Political Theory," 4; emphasis mine.
- Rosemarie Garland-Thomson (New York: Modern Language Association, 2002): 88-99; and Alison Kafer, "Compulsory Bodies: Reflections on Heterosexuality and Able-bodiedness," *Journal of Wom*duction of Disability and Abledness (New York: Palgrave Macmillan, 2009). On compulsory ablein Disability Studies: Enabling the Humanities, ed. Sharon L. Snyder, Brenda Jo Brueggemann, and bodiedness, see Robert McRuer, "Compulsory Able-Bodiedness and Queer/Disabled Existence," en's History 15, no. 3 (2003): 77–89. 29. For an examination of ableism, see Fiona Kumari Campbell, Contours of Ableism: The Pro-
- 30. Susan M. Schweik, The Ugly Laws: Disability in Public (New York: New York University
- tity," in The Gloria Anzaldúa Reader, ed. AnaLouise Keating (Durham, NC: Duke University Press, 31. For other critical accounts of disability identity, see Gloria Anzaldúa, "Disability and Iden-

of Recent Theory," Michigan Quarterly Review 43, no. 2 (2004): 269-96; and Mollow and McRuer, New York University Press, 2006); Anna Mollow, "Identity Politics and Disability Studies: A Critique 2009), 298–302; Robert McRuer, Crip Theory: Cultural Signs of Queerness and Disability (New York: introduction to Sex and Disability.

December 3, 2009, http://www.darkmatter101.org/site/2008/05/02/qa-with-jasbir-puar/. 32. Ben Pitcher and Henriette Gunkel, "Q&A with Jasbir Puar," darkmatter Journal, accessed

Elizabeth Weed (New York: Routledge, 1989), 216. 33. Joan W. Scott, "Cyborgian Socialists?" in Coming to Terms: Feminism, Theory, Politics, ed

34. Linton, Claiming Disability, 4, emphasis mine.

Motion Pictures since 1915 (New York: Oxford University Press, 1996); and James W. Trent, Jr., Inventing the Feeble Mind: A History of Mental Retardation in the United States (Berkeley: University of S. Pernick, The Black Stork: Eugenics and the Death of "Defective" Babies in American Medicine and texts addressing cognitive impairments through a disability studies lens. See, for example, Martin Down Syndrome and Gender," Hypatia 17, no. 3 (2002): 89–117. There is also a critical set of historical tory of Mental Retardation," Hypatia 16, no. 4 (2001): 128-33; Licia Carlson, The Faces of Intellectual example, Licia Carlson, "Cognitive Ableism and Disability Studies: Feminist Reflections on the Hispartly because of the importance of, and discourses around, rationality in the field. Disability stud-Disability (Bloomington: Indiana University Press, 2010); and Sophia Isako Wong, "At Home with ies approaches to these topics within the field, however, remain quite rare. For exceptions, see, for 35. The field of philosophy has a fair number of texts dealing with cognitive impairments

and the Limits of Coming-Out Discourse," GLQ: A Journal of Lesbian and Gay Studies 9, nos. 1-2 (2003): 233-55; and Susan Wendell, "Unhealthy Disabled: Treating Chronic Illnesses as Disabilities," Hypatia: A Journal of Feminist Philosophy 16, no. 4 (2001): 17-33. Arbor: University of Michigan Press, 2011); Ellen Samuels, "My Body, My Closet: Invisible Disability 36. Margaret Price, Mad at School: Rhetorics of Mental Disability and Academic Life (Ann

37. Signorello et al. explain that "[r]easons for racial disparities in diabetes prevalence are not clear, but behavioral, environmental, socioeconomic, physiological, and genetic contributors have all been postulated." Their findings suggest that these differences cannot be attributed to "race" per se, American Journal of Public Health 97, no. 12 (2007): 2260. For a critique of race-based medicine, see Dorothy Roberts, Fatal Invention: How Science, Politics, and Big Business Re-create Race in the ing Diabetes Prevalence between African Americans and Whites of Similar Socioeconomic Status," but to other established risk factors including socioeconomic status. L. B. Signorello et al., "Compar-Twenty-first Century (New York: The New Press, 2011).

Disability Studies 4, no. 2 (2010): 127–45. See also Corbett Joan O'Toole, "The Sexist Inheritance of the Disability Movement," in Gendering Disability, ed. Bonnie G. Smith and Beth Hutchison (New Brunswick, NJ: Rutgers University Press, 2004), 294-95. Untangling Race and Disability in Discourses of Intersectionality," Journal of Literary and Cultural disability in critical race studies. Nirmala Erevelles and Andrea Minear, "Unspeakable Offenses: work in both directions, interrogating the whiteness of disability studies and the inattention to offer a productive reading of theories of intersectionality through the lens of disability studies; they Studies Reader, 2nd ed. (New York: Routledge, 2006): 275–82. Nirmala Erevelles and Andrea Minear 38. Chris Bell, "Introducing White Disability Studies: A Modest Proposal," in The Disability

39. Carrie Sandahl, "Queering the Crip or Cripping the Queer: Intersections of Queer and Crip Identities in Solo Autobiographical Performance," GLQ 9, nos. 1–2 (2003): 27; Robert McRuer, Crip Theory: Cultural Signs of Queerness and Disability (New York: New York University Press, 2006), 36.

History of Codas," in Open Your Eyes: Deaf Studies Talking, ed. H-Dirksen L. Bauman (Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 2008), 189–215; see also Lennard J. Davis, My Sense of Silence 40. Robert Hoffmeister, "Border Crossings by Hearing Children of Deaf Parents: The Lost

> Brenda Jo Brueggemann, Deaf Subjects: Between Identities and Places (New York: New York Univer-Brueggemann offers a productive examination of deaf identity and the space between identities. Memoirs of a Childhood with Deafness (Champaign: University of Illinois Press, 2000). Brenda Jo

41. McRuer, Crip Theory, 36-37.

42. Linton, Claiming Disability, 13. See also Carlson, Faces of Intellectual Disability, 192-94.

impairments, not just "disability per se." Livingston, "Insights," 113. ity" as an alternative to disability because it encompasses chronic illness, aging, and a wide range of 43. Drawing on her work on disability in Botswana, Julie Livingston suggests the term "debil-

main (Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press, 2005), xv. 44. Ladelle McWhorter, foreword to Foucault and the Government of Disability, ed. Shelley Tre-

ed. France Winddance Twine and Kathleen M. Blee (New York: New York University Press, 2001), Organizing for Mumia Abu-Jamal," in Feminism and Antiracism: International Struggles for Justice, political activism and coalition work, see Sohera Syeda and Becky Thompson, "Coalition Politics in 45. For a discussion of the inclusion of women who do not identify as feminists in feminist

activism, see McRuer, Crip Theory, 202. Press, 2002), 9–32. For a brief critique of Davis's representation of feminist and queer theory and Backwards: Disability, Dismodernism, and other Difficult Positions (New York: New York University nist and queer theory-offering a solution to the problems of identity politics. See Bending Over theorists to earlier, problematic stages, with disability—a disability apparently separate from femia progress narrative of theories of identity in which he consigns the work of feminist and queer 46. In some of his recent work on disability and identity politics, Lennard J. Davis provides

ship] are necessarily distinct, separate, and incommensurate." Gayatri Gopinath, Impossible Desires: of queer diasporas, "challenges the notion that these fields of inquiry [queer and feminist scholar-Queer Diasporas and South Asian Public Cultures (Durham, NC: Duke University Press, 2005), 16. My desire to make these links explicit echoes the work of Gayatri Gopinath, who, in her study

47. Nancy Mairs, Plaintext: Essays (Tucson: University of Arizona Press, 1992), 9.

York: Oxford University Press, 2009). 48. On the dynamic of staring, see Rosemarie Garland-Thomson, Staring: How We Look (New

49. Eli Clare, Exile and Pride: On Disability, Queerness, and Liberation (Boston: South End

50. Sandahl, "Queering the Crip," 53n1; McRuer, Crip Theory, 35.

Sexuality (New York: Palgrave Macmillan, 2009), 15. effectively dynamic model." Margrit Shildrick, Dangerous Discourses of Disability, Subjectivity, and "by those \dots for whom the original challenge of the social model of disability no longer provides an disability studies; as Margrit Shildrick describes it, critical disability studies is the frame favored 51. "Critical disability studies" is another term describing this orientation toward disability and

52. Sandahl, "Queering the Crip," 27.

crip theory this way, and both continue to practice and claim "disability studies"; I believe their field could be solved with this one shift in approach. (After all, crip theory could also be critiqued, in the disabled/nondisabled binary while others reify it. Moreover, I worry about the possibility of "crip feminist disability studies approaches do not; similarly, some "disability studies" texts deconstruct that some work marked "feminist disability studies" refuses all medical terminology while other and epistemologies often circulate under the same name. Merri Lisa Johnson explains, for example, Bell's terms, as white crip theory.) I hasten to add that neither McRuer nor Sandahl have positioned theory" being positioned as a successor narrative to disability studies, as if all the problems with the (or queer disability studies) and crip theory stems from an awareness that contradictory strategies 53. Part of my reluctance to articulate a strict boundary between feminist disability studies

ping the differences between feminist disability studies and crip feminism, see Merri Lisa Johnson, "Crip Drag Swan Queen: Two Readings of Darren Aronofsky's Black Swan," National Women's Studinvested in the promises of the field as a whole. For an example of a theorist who is interested in mapdistinction invites a contestatory approach to both disability and disability studies while remaining ies Association Conference, Atlanta, GA, November, 2011.

and Ability Trouble," in Deaf and Disability Studies: Interdisciplinary Perspectives, ed. Susan Burch Michigan Press, 2010). Price, Mad at School: Rhetorics of Mental Disability and Academic Life (Ann Arbor: University of Feminist Theory, Psychiatric Disability, and Trauma," Hypatia 16, no. 4 (2001): 80–104; and Margaret compulsory able-mindedness in terms of mental disability, see Andrea Nicki, "The Abused Mind: and Alison Kafer (Washington, DC: Gallaudet University Press, 2010), 42. For extensive analyses of address what she calls "compulsory hearing." Kristen Harmon, "Deaf Matters: Compulsory Hearing or appearance. Kristen Harmon suggests, for example, that "able-bodiedness" cannot sufficiently 54. "Compulsory able-mindedness" is a way of capturing the normalizing practices, assumptions, and exclusions that cannot easily be described as directed (exclusively) to *physical* functioning

Studies Theory of Mental Illness," in Feminist Disability Studies, ed. Kim Q. Hall (Bloomington: J. Donaldson, "Revisiting the Corpus of the Madwoman: Further Notes toward a Feminist Disability works and terminologies. Mollow, "'When Black Women Start Going on Prozac." See also Elizabeth to engage fully with questions of mental illness, disability studies will need to shift its guiding frame-Indiana University Press, 2011), 91–113. 55. Anna Mollow makes a similar argument in her discussion of depression and mental illness

56. Judith Butler, Bodies That Matter: On the Discursive Limits of "Sex" (New York: Routledge

would add, disability studies) has a "tendency to absorb and flatten internal differences, in particular white men [or middle-class white male wheelchair users] above all others." Sandahl, "Queering the to neutralize its constituents' material and cultural differences and to elevate the concerns of gay 57. Carrie Sandahl expresses the same hope, and concern, noting that queer theory (and, I

a rigorous analysis emerges." Jason Ruiz, "The Violence of Assimilation: An Interview with Mattilda aka Matt Bernstein Sycamore," Radical History Review 100 (Winter 2008): 239-58. Mattilda suggests that it is in the "messiness" of intersectional work that "the possibility for

Duke University Press, 2007), 212. 59. Jasbir K. Puar, Terrorist Assemblages: Homonationalism in Queer Times (Durham, NC:

and critical theory conferences studies topics or drawing on disability theory remain few and far between at many cultural studies 60. Of course, this lack is even more pronounced in the other direction; papers on disability

61. Puar, Terrorist Assemblages, 209; emphasis in original.

Shildrick, "Uncertain Thoughts"; and Mollow and McRuer, introduction to Sex and Disability. fication in some of their collaborative work, as do Robert McRuer and Anna Mollow. See Price and 62. Janet Price and Margrit Shildrick play with this desire for and practice of disability identi-

Annual Meeting, Chicago, June 2000. Have Been Worse? Quadriplegic Athletes and the Ideology of Ability," Society for Disability Studies ing, the ideology of ability, affects relationships between disabled people. John B. Kelly, "It Could 63. John B. Kelly's analysis of quad rugby offers a potent reminder that ableism or, in his fram-

Counter-Diagnosis," Journal of Literary and Cultural Disability Studies 3, no. 1 (2009): 11-33. also Margaret Price, "'Her Pronouns Wax and Wane': Psychosocial Disability, Autobiography, and 64. Eve Kosofsky Sedgwick, Tendencies (Durham, NC: Duke University Press, 1994), xiv. See

Studies 9, nos. 1-2 (2003): 13. 65. Robert McRuer and Abby L. Wilkerson, "Introduction," GLQ: A Journal of Lesbian and Gay

Chapter 1

U.S. Disability History, ed. Susan Burch (New York: Facts on File, 2009), 261-62. 1. David Penna and Vickie D'Andrea-Penna, "Developmental Disability," in Encyclopedia of

ability, Subjectivity, and Sexuality (New York: Palgrave Macmillan, 2009); Janet Price and Margrit us, part of the work of critical disability studies is to trouble the distinction between disabled and others may see their illnesses or disabilities cured, either through medical intervention or "over the Bio/logical Body, ed. Margrit Shildrick and Janet Price (Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press, Shildrick, "Uncertain Thoughts on the Dis/abled Body," in Vital Signs: Feminist Reconfigurations of able-bodied, or between disabled and nondisabled. Margrit Shildrick, Dangerous Discourses of Dising a timeless definition is both futile and, I would argue, misguided. As Margrit Shildrick reminds time." Moreover, the meanings of disabled shift significantly by and in context, such that determinillnesses or disabilities whose manifestations come and go repeatedly over the course of their lives: 2. As I noted in the introduction, disabled can also be a temporary category. Some people have

mann, and Rosemarie Garland-Thomson (New York: The Modern Language Association of America, Live So Long," in Disability Studies: Enabling the Humanities, ed. Sharon Snyder, Brenda Bruegge-York: Modern Language Association of America, 2002), 2; Michael Bérubé, "Afterword: If I Should the Humanities, ed. Sharon Snyder, Brenda Brueggemann, and Rosemarie Garland-Thomson (New son, "Introduction: Integrating Disability in Theory and Scholarship," in Disability Studies: Enabling identity," including disability. Sharon Snyder, Brenda Brueggemann, and Rosemarie Garland-Thom-3. In the same volume, Michael Bérubé urges an accounting "of temporality in our theories of

among Older Gay Adults," New York Times. April 1, 2011. ans, and bisexuals, particularly as they age. Roni Caryn Rabin, "Disparities: Illness More Prevalent January 14, 2011. Researchers note similar health disparities between straight people and gays, lesbi-4. Donald McNeil, Jr., "Broad Racial Disparities Seen in Americans' Ills," New York Times,

ies Quarterly 15, no. 4 (1995): 16-19. docs/813.card.htm; Carol J. Gill, "A Psychological View of Disability Culture," DSQ: Disability Studtralian Disability Review, 1988, accessed January 6, 2011, http://www.disabilitymuseum.org/lib/ 5. Irving Kenneth Zola, "The Language of Disability: Problems of Politics and Practice," Aus-

6. Some readers will recognize similarities to "people of color time" and "queer time."

cgi-bin/d1.cgi?base=amslang&page=showid&id=2159. 7. Dictionary of American Slang, "crip time," accessed January 6, 2011, http://www.diclib.com/

Rest of Us (New York: Vintage, 1995), 87. she is unlearning old ways of moving, and picking up new ways of moving. So one of the first things you try to do is to move at a normal pace." Kate Bornstein, Gender Outlaw: On Men, Women, and the temporal needs: "[A] newly transgendered person . . . moves just a bit slower than most people; he or 8. Kate Bornstein suggests that some trans-identified folks might find themselves with similar

http://www.dsq-sds.org/article/view/174/174. of Disability in Conference Policy Documents," DSQ: Disability Studies Quarterly 29, no. 1 (2009), University of Michigan Press, 2011), 62. See also Margaret Price, "Access Imagined: The Construction 9. Margaret Price, Mad at School: Rhetorics of Mental Disability and Academic Life (Ann Arbor:

articulation of a queer futurity can also be seen as part of the larger queer exploration of temporality, as can Elizabeth Freeman's and Heather Love's engagements with queer history and queer Studies 13, nos. 2-3 (2007). Lee Edelman's polemic against the future and José Esteban Muñoz's Elizabeth Freeman, ed., "Queer Temporalities," special issue of GLQ: A Journal of Gay and Lesbian and Place: Transgender Bodies, Subcultural Lives (New York: New York University Press, 2005); and 10. For examples of queer approaches to temporality, see Judith Halberstam, In a Queer Time

Loss and the Politics of Queer History (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 2009). Queer Histories (Durham, NC: Duke University Press, 2010); and Heather Love, Feeling Backward: (New York: New York University Press, 2009); Elizabeth Freeman, Time Binds: Queer Temporalities University Press, 2004); José Esteban Muñoz, Cruising Utopia: The Then and There of Queer Futurity historiography. Lee Edelman, No Future: Queer Theory and the Death Drive (Durham, NC: Duke

rality. Jim Ferris, ed., "In (Disability) Time," DSQ: Disability Studies Quarterly 30, nos. 3-4 (2010). theme—"It's 'Our' Time: Pathways to and from Disability Studies: Past, Present, Future"—but few of several papers from the conference featured no such engagement with queer approaches to tempoporality in general); similarly, the special issue of DSQ: Disability Studies Quarterly that showcased the papers at the conference engaged with queer temporality (or with theories of futurity and tem-The 2009 Society for Disability Studies Conference referred to temporality in its general

exclusionary effects"? Carrie Sandahl, "Queering the Crip, or Cripping the Queer? Intersections of Queer and Crip Identities in Solo Autobiographical Performance," GLQ: A Journal of Lesbian and but also queer representations and practices. How do they, too, "reveal able-bodied assumptions and pose expanding the terrain of cripping to include not only "mainstream representations or practices" able-bodied assumptions and exclusionary effects." In turning my attention to "queer time," I pro-Gay Studies 9, nos. 1-2 (2003): 37. 11. As Carrie Sandahl puts it, "Cripping spins mainstream representations or practices to revea

Signs of Queerness and Disability (New York: New York University Press, 2006), especially 103–45. 12. For an incisive queer reading of rehabilitation, see Robert McRuer, Crip Theory: Cultural

disability categories and diagnoses. I refer here only to the more common framing of these questions. temporality if they serve to trouble the abled/disabled binary or to disrupt the assumed stability of tions exist apart from a curative temporality. Indeed, such questions can be used to animate a crip tive imaginary but can, instead, be felt alongside a strong crip affiliation, so, too, can these ques-13. Much as an individual's desire for a cure does not necessarily signal an adherence to a cura-

15. Edelman, No Future, 11. See also Lauren Berlant, The Queen of America Goes to Washington 14. Carla Freccero, "Fuck the Future," GLQ: A Journal of Gay and Lesbian Studies 12, no. 2

City: Essays on Sex and Citizenship (Durham, NC: Duke University Press, 1997). 16. lbid., 11, 3.

ric of Child Protectionism," Radical History Review 2008, no. 100 (2008): 186-207. 18. Patrick McCreery, "Save Our Children/Let Us Marry: Gay Activists Appropriate the Rheto-

example, differ widely in their level of function. For extended analyses of prenatal testing practices, Consumption, and the Politics of Reproduction (New Brunswick, NJ: Rutgers University Press, 2008) see Rayna Rapp, Testing Women, Testing the Fetus: The Social Impact of Amniocentesis in America tests are unable to determine the extent of a child's impairment; children with Down syndrome, for (New York: Routledge, 1999); and Janelle S. Taylor, The Public Life of the Fetal Sonogram: Technology 19. Testing "positive" is not a guarantee that a child will have a certain disability, and most

20. Edelman, No Future, 30.

phy Compass 5, no. 2 (2010): 138. 21. Shannon Winnubst, "Temporality in Queer Theory and Continental Philosophy," Philoso-

Door," New York Times, February 9, 2011, A1. work for certain conditions. See Pam Belluck, "Success of Spina Bifida Study Opens Fetal Surgery tion; there have been recent breakthroughs in fetal surgery, but those are still preliminary and only 22. The only "cure" available for most conditions detected by prenatal testing is selective abor-

us/274/200.html. See also Paul Lombardo, Three Generations, No Imbeciles: Eugenics, the Supreme Court, and Buck v. Bell (Baltimore, MD: The Johns Hopkins University Press, 2008) 23. Buck v. Bell, 274 U.S. 200, 1927, accessed September 13, 2010, http://laws.findlaw.com/

> College Press, 1999); and James Trent, Inventing the Feeble Mind: A History of Mental Retardation in Steven Selden, Inheriting Shame: The Story of Eugenics and Racism in America (New York: Teachers and Abortion in Public Health and Welfare (Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina Press, 2005): Michael A. Rembis, Defining Deviance: Sex, Science, and Delinquent Girls, 1890-1960 (Champaign: nesota Press, 2003); Martin S. Pernick, The Black Stork: Eugenics and the Death of "Defective" Babies Eugenics: Race, Queer Anatomy, and the Science of Nationalism (Minneapolis: University of Min-2007); Wendy Kline, Building a Better Race: Gender, Sexuality, and Eugenics from the Turn of the the United States (Berkeley: University of California Press, 1994). University of Illinois Press, 2011); Johanna Schoen, Choice and Coercion: Birth Control, Sterilization, in American Medicine and Motion Pictures since 1915 (New York: Oxford University Press, 1996): Century to the Baby Boom (Berkeley: University of California Press, 2001); Nancy Ordover, American Joyner, Unspeakable: The Story of Junius Wilson (Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina Press 24. For histories of eugenics in the United States, see, among others, Susan Burch and Hannah

Killing the Black Body: Race, Reproduction, and the Meaning of Liberty (New York: Vintage, 1999). Reproductive Rights Movement (New York: New York University Press, 2003); and Dorothy Roberts, Reproduction (Austin: University of Texas Press, 2008); Jennifer Nelson, Women of Color and the 25. See, for example, Elena R. Gutiérrez, Fertile Matters: The Politics of Mexican-Origin Women's

Disability Gulag," New York Times Magazine, November 23, 2003, http://www.nytimes Hershey, Just Help (unpublished manuscript), 271-72; Harriet MacBryde Johnson, "The nursing-homes-get-old-for-many-with-disabilities-621. Old for Many with Disabilities," ProPublica, June 21, 2009, http://www.propublica.org/article. com/2003/11/23/magazine/the-disability-gulag.html; and Jennifer LaFleur, "Nursing Homes Get 26. For more on institutionalization and the need for community-based care, see Laura

1877-1919, ed. Nicole Hahn Rafter (Boston: Northeastern University Press, 1988), 208, emphasis in 27. Mary Storer Kostir, "The Family of Sam Sixty," in White Trash: The Eugenic Family Studies

munity, to be self-supporting, and at the same time not put a new burden on society or pass on their an adjunct to a careful system of parole and supervision, which will aid patients to live in the combe an unnecessary hardship or cruelty to the patient. 3. It may use sterilization in selected cases, as reach more than a minority, and is therefore impracticable. Even if possible, it would in many cases rest of their lives, or at least for the rest of their reproductive lives. Such a policy is too expensive to trous in their effect in future generations. 2. It may keep such patients under lock and key for the now doing. The results are not satisfactory either to the community or to the patients. They are disasable to control their own fecundity. 1. It may do nothing at all. That is what most communities are problem of parenthood among its mentally diseased and mentally deficient members who are not handicaps to posterity. 28. The full passage reads: "A community can follow one of three courses in dealing with the

gram of social welfare." Human Sterilization (Pasadena, CA: Human Betterment Foundation, 1933) that will help reduce the burdens and increase the happiness and prosperity of the population in this 6, Cold Spring Harbor Eugenics Archive, accessed September 13, 2010, http://tinyurl.com/8gcrudb. and future generations. As such, it is one among many indispensable procedures in any modern pro-Eugenic sterilization is no panacea, but it is one of the many tested and dependable measures

people have never had the cultural or economic capital to project themselves into the future in the cal talk of utility or social value. But Winnubst departs from Edelman in her recognition that many 2007). Shannon Winnubst shares with Edelman a suspicion of the future, and both are wary of politiimaginary. Shannon Winnubst, Queering Freedom (Bloomington: Indiana University Press, 2006) first place; like Muñoz and Puar, she is concerned with the role of whiteness in the reproductive Terrorist Assemblages: Homonationalism in Queer Times (Durham, NC: Duke University Press, 29. For examples of this critique of Edelman, see Muñoz, Cruising Utopia; and Jasbir K. Puar,

30. Edelman, No Future, 11, 29

31. Heather Love, "Wedding Crashers," GLQ: A Journal of Lesbian and Gay Studies 13, no. 1

32. Muñoz, Cruising Utopia, 95.

Hygiene, and the Disciplining of Undocumented Immigrant Parents in the Nation's Borderlands," 33. Sarah Horton and Judith C. Barker. "Stains' on Their Self-Discipline: Public Health,

of white trashing, in which poor whiteness or bad whiteness (filthy, debilitated, dangerous, debris) sets tics, Racial Mattering, and Queer Affect (Durham, NC: Duke University Press, forthcoming); Natalia cal links between whiteness and (racial) hygiene, see, for example, Mel Y. Chen, Animacies: Biopoli-Cognitive Disability, and Eugenic Sterilization," Hypatia 22, no. 2 (2007): 162, 163. For more on histori-New York University Press, 2009), 185; and Anna Stubblefield, "Beyond the Pale: Tainted Whiteness, off the nice body of good whiteness." Susan M. Schweik, The Ugly Laws: Disability in Public (New York: American Ethnologist 36, no. 4 (2009): 785. San Francisco's Chinatown (Berkeley: University of California Press, 2001). Radical History Review 94 (2006): 22–37; and Nayan Shah, Contagious Divides: Epidemics and Race in izing the Mexican: Immigration, Race, and Disability in the Early-Twentieth-Century United States," Charge," Identities: Global Studies in Culture and Power 17 (2010): 641–66; Natalia Molina, "Medical-Molina, "Constructing Mexicans as Deportable Immigrants: Race, Disease, and the Meaning of 'Public 34. Susan Schweik similarly describes how categories of health and hygiene were used "as a means

35. Horton and Barker, "'Stains' on Their Self-Discipline," 796.

critique of Moynihan's project; see also Mattie Udora Richardson, "No More Secrets, No More Lies: G. Henderson (Durham, NC: Duke University Press, 2005), 40. Cohen offers a concise and incisive Diacritics 17, no. 2 (1987): 64-81. (2003): 63-76; and Hortense Spillers, "Mama's Baby, Papa's Maybe: An American Grammar Book," African-American History and Compulsory Heterosexuality," Journal of Women's History 15, no. 3 36. Quoted in Cathy Cohen, "Punks, Bulldaggers, and Welfare Queens: The Radical Potential Queer Politics?" in *Black Queer Studies: A Critical Anthology*, ed. E. Patrick Johnson and Mae

Exclusion in Desegregation and Exclusion Debates (New York: Peter Lang, 2006). ference in Global Contexts; and Beth A. Ferri and David O'Connor, Reading Resistance: Discourses of the Twenty-first Century (New York: The New Press, 2011), 94. See also Erevelles, Disability and Dif-37. Dorothy Roberts, Fatal Invention: How Science, Politics, and Big Business Re-create Race in

theory is to recognize and refuse this call to normalization in all its guises. 38. I read McRuer's entire Crip Theory as a sustained argument to this effect; indeed, to do crip

39. Puar, Terrorist Assemblages, 211.

and Society 23, no. 6 (2008): 594. 40. Noam Ostrander, "When Identities Collide: Masculinity, Disability, and Race," Disability

see also Roberts, Fatal Invention, 102. York Times, June 5, 2008, http://www.nytimes.com/2008/06/05/health/research/05disparities.html; 41. Kevin Sack, "Research Finds Wide Disparities in Health Care by Race and Region," New

rence-reminds us that decisions can be made differently. Ed Cohen and Julie Livingston, "AIDS," archies it inscribes result from decisions." Asking these kinds of questions—of incidence and occurbespeaks systematic and relentless devaluations. And, as with all instances of (d)evaluation, the hier-42. As Ed Cohen and Julie Livingston explain, "Inequality does not name a natural imbalance; it

to disability studies. Ellen Samuels, "Normative Time: How Queerness, Disability, and Parenthood

45. Ellen Samuels makes this argument about Halberstam, tracing her unmarked relationship

of Gay and Lesbian Studies, 13 nos. 2–3 (2007): 159, emphasis in the original. Social Text 27, no. 3 (2009): 40. 43. Elizabeth Freeman, introduction to "Queer Temporalities," special issue of GLQ: A Journal

Impact Academic Labor," paper presented at the Modern Languages Association Annual Meeting,

- 46. Freeman, introduction, 159.
- 47. Halberstam, In a Queer Time and Place, 1.
- 48. Ibid., 152.
- 49. Ibid., 153.
- 50. Ibid., 2.
- 51. Ibid., 1.
- 53. Ibid., 2. 52. Ibid., 2.
- tinually focusing on a queer/disabled collectivity, surrounding AIDS theorists with a larger disability pher Reeve, and Queer/Disability Studies," Journal of Medical Humanities 23, nos. 3–4 (2002): 226. that the time of the epidemic is not over. See Robert McRuer, "Critical Investments: AIDS, Christocommunity and vice versa." Building on his insights, I think part of this work includes remembering in some disability and AIDS activism and scholarship, McRuer urges us to make them explicit, "conamong AIDS theory, queer theory, and disability studies. While such links might already be implicit 54. Robert McRuer has long argued for a more robust and critical investment in the relationships
- 55. Tom Boellstorff, "When Marriage Fails: Queer Coincidences in Straight Time," GLQ 13, nos
- Quarterly 30, nos. 3-4 (2010), http://www.dsq-sds.org/article/view/1293/1329. 56. Eliza Chandler, "Sidewalk Stories: The Troubling Task of Identification," Disability Studies
- Feminist Theory 19, no. 2 (2009): 161-72. sis: Toward an Elegiac Politics," Representations 98 (Spring 2007): 80-81; Jasbir K. Puar, "Prognosis time might push us all to consider disability differently. Sarah Lochlann Jain, "Living in Prognodisabled bodies; indeed, part of my fascination with crip temporalities is how thinking through up in her own ruminations on prognosis time. I share their interest in thinking disability beyond identity politics—for don't we all live under prognosis to some degree?—a claim that Puar then takes Time: Toward a Geopolitics of Affect, Debility and Capacity," Women and Performance: A Journal of 57. Jain mentions in passing that prognosis time might be a way to rethink disability beyond
- 58. Laura Hershey, Just Help, 168.
- 59. Jain discusses these kinds of negotiations as a reckoning with both fantasies of the future and counterfactual futures and pasts. Jain, "Living in Prognosis."
- acknowledge the effects of Agent Orange and Iraq War Syndrome on men's reproductive health. Exposing Men: The Science and Politics of Male Reproduction (New York: Oxford University Press 60. Cynthia Daniels discusses the difficulties veterans face in persuading the government to
- A Journal of Gay and Lesbian Studies 13, nos. 2-3 (2007): 192. 61. Carolyn Dinshaw et al., "Theorizing Queer Temporalities: A Roundtable Discussion," GLQ
- of color been seen as always already polluted and therefore exceeding diagnoses of MCS? Moving disability" been attached to different populations differently, with white students more likely to fit to other diagnostic categories, how have labels of "learning disability" and "behavioral/emotional MCS and chronic fatigue as illnesses (or, often, "illnesses") striking the white and upper/middle class questions are similarly bound up in questions of race and class. How have popular representations of to ask these questions of diagnosis/undiagnosis/misdiagnosis but also out of a recognition that these again and again to gay bars where they feel—are made to feel—unwelcome. I deploy it here not only overrepresented in special education classrooms? For more on these questions, see Chen, Animacies the former classification and students of color the latter? Or, more broadly, how are students of color made it impossible to see MCS in populations of color? Or, to put it differently, how have populations 62. Nealon asks this question in terms of working-class gay men and gay men of color returning

127-45; and Ferri and O'Connor, Reading Resistance. Discourses of Intersectionality," Journal of Literary and Cultural Disability Studies 4, no. 2 (2010): Nirmala Erevelles and Andrea Minear, "Unspeakable Offenses: Untangling Race and Disability in

AZ: The Dispossessed Outreach Project, 1999), 61. See also Stacy Alaimo, Bodily Natures: Science, Environment, and the Material Self (Bloomington: Indiana University Press, 2010). 63. Rhonda Zwillinger, The Dispossessed: Living with Multiple Chemical Sensitivities (Paulden,

64. Mel Y. Chen, "Toxic Animacies, Inanimate Affections," GLQ: A Journal of Lesbian and Gay

Studies 17, nos. 2-3 (2011): 274.

65. Chen, "Toxic Animacies," 277.

66. Ibid., 274-78. Peggy Munson similarly describes feeling alienated, or even violated, by the bodies of those around her. She reads erotic possibility into going scent-free, describing it as a kind of radical femme surrender. Peggy Munson, "Fringe Dweller: Toward an Ecofeminist Politic of Femme," in Visible: A Femmethology, vol. 2., ed. Jennifer Clarke Burke (Ypsilanti, MI: Homofactus

or believe that our use of fragrance- or chemical-laden products makes others ill, but we do expect many others besides those who choose to apply them. People don't pay forty-five dollars for a bottle of products' is something of a misnomer: the fragrances these products contain are designed to affect and want—our use of fragrances to be apparent to others. As she explains, "the phrase personal care them." Anna Mollow, "No Safe Place," WSQ: Women's Studies Quarterly 39, nos. 1-2 (2011): 194-95. that these ingredients will permeate the air and enter the bodies of everyone who comes in contact with ingredients (several of which the EPA and other governmental agencies list as toxic). Rather, they expect Calvin Klein's Eternity so that they can sit alone in their living rooms and inhale its forty-one chemical Press, 2009), 28-36. 67. Anna Mollow suggests that this "unknowingness" is limited. We may not know, understand,

68. Johnson, "Disability Gulag.

69. For a personal description of such accounting, see Christine Miserandino, "The Spoon The-

the massive groups of unemployed people now. Halberstam, In a Queer Time and Place, 10. more likely to refer to those considered "unemployable" or those unemployed by choice rather than ory," 2003, http://www.butyoudontlooksick.com. 70. Halberstam's book was written before the economic downturn, when "unemployed" was

71. Samuels, "Normative Time," 5.

capitalism's focus on fitness and punctuality. Catherine Kudlick, "A History Profession for Every Body," Journal of Women's History 18, no. 1 (2006): 163-64. See also Price, Mad at School. selves and our colleagues-measuring up, pulling our own weight-bear the marks of industrial 72. Catherine Kudlick notes that much of the language we use in the academy to evaluate our-

73. Halberstam, In a Queer Time and Place, 4.

74. Ibid., 4, 152.

75. Ibid., 2.

76. McRuer, Crip Theory, 183

77. Halberstam, In a Queer Time and Place, 4.

78. Ibid., 3.

79. Ibid., 3.

80. Winnubst, Queering Freedom, 186.

Press, 2006); and Brenda Jo Brueggemann, Deaf Subjects: Between Identities and Places (New York: 81. Georgina Kleege, Blind Rage: Letters to Helen Keller (Washington, DC: Gallaudet University

New York University Press, 2009)

past, gamble that there was one at all." Freeman, introduction, 168 82. As Elizabeth Freeman suggests, "[W]riting is a way to speak with the dead, reanimate the

83. Muñoz, Cruising Utopia, 37.

and Transgression, ed. Jana Evans Braziel and Kathleen LeBesco (Berkeley: University of California 84. Le'a Kent, "Fighting Abjection: Representing Fat Women," in Bodies Out of Bounds: Fatness

The Fat Studies Reader, ed. Esther Rothblum and Sondra Solovay (New York: New York University 85. Elena Levy-Navarro, "Fattening Queer History: Where Does Fat History Go from Here?" in

the need to address the issue in one form or another. See, among others, Eli Clare, Exile and Pride: Disability, Queerness, and Liberation (Boston: South End Press, 1999); Nancy Mairs, Waist-High in dell, "Unhealthy Disabled: Treating Chronic Illnesses as Disabilities," Hypatia: A Journal of Feminist Body: Feminist Philosophical Reflections on Disability (New York: Routledge, 1996); and Susan Wenthe World: A Life among the Nondisabled (Boston: Beacon Press, 1996); Susan Wendell, The Rejected Philosophy 16, no. 4 (2001): 17-33. 86. Some writers are interested in a cure, some are not, and many are ambivalent, but all feel

87. Wendell, Rejected Body, 83.

88. Clare, Exile and Pride, 106.

Still Me," Biography 29, no. 2 (2006): 309. 89. Catherine Scott, "Time Out of Joint: The Narcotic Effect of Prolepsis in Christopher Reeve's

90. Halberstam, In a Queer Time and Place, 3.

torical Segregation," Monthly Review 53, no. 3 (2001): 61-75. Critical Sociology (2011): 1-19; and Jean Stewart and Marta Russell, "Disablement, Prison, and His-Moshe, "Disabling Incarceration: Connecting Disability to Divergent Confinements in the USA," ment that recognizes the overlaps between disability and the prison-industrial complex. Liat Ben-91. Liat Ben-Moshe, Jean Stewart, and Marta Russell have argued for a disability rights move-

Can Have My Brown Body and Eat It, Too!" Social Text 84–85, vol. 23, nos. 3–4 (2005): 171–91. 92. For a powerful reflection and analysis of one example of such effects, see Hiram Perez, "You

lust-of-recognition/. May 25, 2010, http://leavingevidence.wordpress.com/2010/05/25/video-crip-sex-crip-lust-and-therasinha, and Ellery Russian, "Crip Sex, Crip Lust, and the Lust of Recognition," Leaving Evidence, 93. For the concept of the "lust of recognition," see Mia Mingus, Leah Lakshmi Piepzna-Sama-

ory Meets Disability Studies," special issue of GLQ 9, nos. 1-2 (2003): 13. shaped)." Robert McRuer and Abby L. Wilkerson, introduction to "Desiring Disability: Queer Thealready constituted (able-bodied) spaces (including that of queer theory as it has generally been project of producing spaces in which desiring disability is not simply tolerated or incorporated into "desiring disability." They explain, "[W]e both present this special issue and offer it as part of the 94. Robert McRuer and Abby Wilkerson coedited an issue of GLQ around this question of

be possible to live as a fat woman." Kent, "Fighting Abjection," 132, emphasis in original bodies, particularly fat female bodies. What we need, she argues, is a manual showing that "it might 95. Sedgwick, Tendencies, 161, emphasis in original. Le'a Kent makes a similar point about fat

96. Sedgwick, Tendencies, 184.

97. Love, Feeling Backward, 26.

98. Judith Butler, Undoing Gender (New York: Routledge, 2004), 29.

coming out requires leaving one's community. Ellen Samuels raises additional questions about the ing Out Day," October 11, 2010, http://blog.cripchick.com/archives/8359; Ellen Samuels, "Bodies in logic of visibility and its presumed relationship to pride. Cripchick, "Thoughts on National Comconceptions of "coming out," "out," and "visibility" are marked by privilege. Too often, she laments, Trouble," in Restricted Access: Lesbians on Disability, ed. Victoria A. Brownworth (Seattle: Seal Press 99. Stacey Milbern (Cripchick) urges queer and disability communities to think through how

with It?" in Feminism Without Borders: Decolonizing Theory, Practicing Solidarity (Durham, NC: nice Johnson Reagon. Chandra Talpede Mohanty and Biddy Martin, "What's Home Got to Do (Ann Arbor, MI: Firebrand, 1991); and Bernice Johnson Reagon, "Coalition Politics: Turning the Century," in *Home Girls: A Black Feminist Anthology*, ed. Barbara Smith (New York: Kitchen Table Duke University Press, 2003), 86-105; see also Minnie Bruce Pratt, Rebellion: Essays, 1980-1991 feminist theory, and in so doing they craft a lineage including both Minnie Bruce Pratt and Ber-Press, 1983), 356-68. 100. Chandra Talpede Mohanty and Biddy Martin offer insight into the trope of "home" in

- opmental Disability: A New Approach to an Old Dilemma," Archives of Pediatrics and Adolescent Medicine 160, no. 10 (2006): 1014. 1. D. F. Gunther and D. S. Diekema, "Attenuating Growth in Children with Profound Devel-

- surgical and medical interventions used on Ashley (what her parents term "the Ashley Treatment") ates the notion that Ashley's body was sick or wrong and in need of a cure. Laura Hershey, "Stunting problem. As Hershey explains, referring to the interventions as a "treatment" accepts and perpetuand the more abstract, general notion of "treatment" as any set of practices that attempt to solve a Ashley," off our backs 37, no. 1 (2007): 8. 4. Following Laura Hershey, I capitalize "treatment" to distinguish between the specific set of
- 5. Gunther and Diekema, "Attenuating Growth in Children," 1014.
- and Community Concerns," Hastings Center Report 40, no. 6 (2010): 27-40. Attenuation in Children with Profound Disabilities: Children's Interests, Family Decision-Making jamin S. Wilfond, Paul Steven Miller, Carolyn Korfatis, Douglas S. Diekema, Denise M. Dudzinski, Attenuation, It's the Sterilization!" American Journal of Bioethics 10, no. 1 (2010): 45-46; and Benthe growth attenuation or the sterilization. See, for example, John Lantos, "It's Not the Growth once-some of the medical and bioethics texts have separated these procedures, focusing on either Treatment as a whole—addressing growth attenuation, breast bud removal, and hysterectomy all at Sara Goering, and the Seattle Growth Attenuation and Ethics Working Group, "Navigating Growth 6. Although bloggers, journalists, and disability rights activists have tended to examine the
- ability, Deafness, and the Body (New York: Verso, 1995), 129. as it relates to the power of the gaze." As we will see, one of the lines of defense for the Treatment was the alleged visual asynchrony of Ashley's mind and body. Lennard J. Davis, Enforcing Normalcy: Disthat it would make it easier for people to see and interact with Ashley; the Treatment would alleviate 7. Lennard Davis describes disability as "a disruption in the visual, auditory, or perceptual field
- in sterilizing Ashley. In the years since Gunther's death, Diekema has continued to write about the 2007, several months after an investigative report determined that the hospital had acted improperly doctors most closely identified with the Ashley Treatment. Gunther committed suicide in September case, often with Dr. Norman Fost. 8. Gunther was Ashley's endocrinologist; along with Dr. Douglas Diekema, he is one of the
- 9. Gunther and Diekema, "Attenuating Growth in Children," 1013.
- "Navigating Growth Attenuation in Children," 27. low Angels," March 25, 2007 http://pillowangel.org/Ashley%20Treatment%20v7.pdf; Wilfond et al., 10. Ashley's Mom and Dad, The "Ashley Treatment": Towards a Better Quality of Life for "Pil
- and Diekema explain that the Treatment had to be started quickly because Ashley had already begun to show signs of a growth spurt; what they do not mention is how much of her final height she had 11. The effect of the Treatment on Ashley's growth, however, is a matter of some debate. Gunther

com/news/feature/2007/02/09/pillow_angel/index.html. small effect. Rebecca Clarren, "Behind the Pillow Angel," Salon, February 9, 2007, http://www.salon sibility that Ashley was already approaching her maximum size; perhaps the Treatment had only a already achieved by the time the estrogen regimen began. As Rebecca Clarren reports, there is a pos-

advocate for their legal and human rights." WPAS changed its name to Disability Rights Washington under federal statutes to investigate allegations of abuse and neglect of persons with disabilities and to $tive_Summary_Investigative Report Regarding the Ashley Treatment. pdf/view? search term=ashley. The properties of the$ Rights Washington, 1, last modified October 1, 2010, http://www.disabilityrightswa.org/home/Execu-System, "Executive Summary-Investigative Report Regarding the 'Ashley Treatment," Disability in 2007 and is part of the National Disability Rights Network. Washington Protection and Advocacy ton. The P&As, which exist in every state and territory, are 'watchdog' agencies with legal authority (WPAS) is the federally mandated protection and advocacy (P&A) agency for the state of Washing-See also http://www.disabilityrightswa.org/. 12. As described in the investigative report, "The Washington Protection and Advocacy System

13. Washington Protection and Advocacy System, "Executive Summary," 1.

that the potential long term benefit to Ashley herself outweighed the risks; and that the procedures/ pdf, 1; Clarren, "Behind the Pillow Angel." $disability rights wa.org/home/Exhibits_K_T_Investigative Report Regarding the Ashley Treatment and the property of the prope$ ing/Consultation," Investigative Report Regarding the "Ashley Treatment," May 4, 2004, http://www. Washington Protection and Advocacy System, Exhibit L, "Special CHRMC Ethics Committee Meetin the foreseeable future." As Clarren notes in her coverage of the case, there were deep divisions in interventions would improve her quality of life, facilitate home care, and avoid institutionalization mastectomy and the growth attenuation regimen: "[1]t was the consensus of the Committee members the committee and at the hospital; many at Children's felt uncomfortable with the team's decisions. 14. The committee did, however, sign off on the other two pieces of the Ashley Treatment, the

 $home/Exhibits_K_T_Investigative Report Regarding the Ashley Treatment.pdf, 1.$ tigative Report Regarding the "Ashley Treatment," June 10, 2004, http://www.disabilityrightswa.org/ 15. Washington Protection and Advocacy System, Exhibit O, "Letter from Larry Jones," Inves-

16. Washington Protection and Advocacy System, "Letter from Larry Jones," 4.

- abilityrightswa.org/home/Full_Report_InvestigativeReportRegardingtheAshleyTreatment.pdf, 14. 'Ashley Treatment," Washington Protection and Advocacy System, May 8, 2007, http://www.dis-18. David Carlson and Deborah Dorfman, "Full Report-Investigative Report Regarding the
- 19. Carlson and Dorfman, "Full Report," 14.
- $org/home/Exhibits_K_T_Investigative Report Regarding the Ashley Treatment.pdf, 1-2.$ Investigative Report Regarding the "Ashley Treatment," May 1, 2007, http://www.disabilityrightswa ability Rights Washington) Promoting Protection of Individuals with Developmental Disabilities," Hospital and Regional Medical Center and the Washington Protection and Advocacy System (Dis-20. Washington Protection and Advocacy System, Exhibit T, "Agreement Between Children's
- 2007. http://www.newscientist.com/article/dn11809-hysterectomy-on-disabled-us-girl-was-illegal 21. Jessica Marshall, "Hysterectomy on Disabled US Girl Was Illegal," New Scientist, May 9.
- 22. Wilfond et al., "Navigating Growth Attenuation in Children."
- Company, 1994), 54; Wilfond et al., "Navigating Growth Attenuation in Children," 29, 39. tion for "communicative"). Dorland's Illustrated Medical Dictionary (Philadelphia: W. B. Saunders trated Medical Dictionary, "ambulatory" refers to someone "able to walk" (Dorland's offers no defini-23. The report does not define "communicative" or "ambulatory"; according to Dorland's Illus-
- has been given to the claims of third parties" in medical decision making. He disagrees with the 24. Although he supported the group's decision, Norman Fost asserts that "too much deference