Davidson, Michael. "Prelude." *Concerto for the Left Hand*. University of Michigan Press, 2008. Print.



Michael Davidson

#### Prelude

The Pool

What a curse, mobility!

—Winnie in Samuel Beckett's Happy Days

abled. Everyone is disabled. bald, flabby, hard of hearing, sight-impaired, gimpy bodies. No one is dis-We're all working out, floating, diving, doing laps in our lumpy, bony, carplug in my one "good" ear to protect what little hearing I have left. table along with George's hearing aid. I'm wearing a brightly colored Helen's two canes and braces are at the pool's edge; glasses cases are on the ter the pool deck. Fins, goggles, and floats are piled at the ends of the lanes. although Faye has just had hip surgery and is taking it easy. Prostheses litis deaf and is a fabulous lip-reader. George and his wife Faye are in lane 6, any standard. One of them, Bev, a national masters freestyle record holder, triathaletes doing sprints in lane 5 have bodies that are nontraditional by gets out I notice that he walks with a cane, limping noticeably. The two I'm sharing lane 4 with a young man I've never seen before, but when he whose floppy hat indicates that she is back on her chemotherapy regime. double canes, shares the lane with Denise, who is doing aqua exercise and and neurologically impaired. In lane 3 Helen, who walks with braces and cerebral palsy, another who is probably autistic, others developmentally kids from the nearby middle school. They include at least one child with tion in broad relief. Today, lanes 1 and 2 are given over to the special ed At the community pool where I swim every day you can see Disability Nagory that applies to everyone in the public pool. without us," has worked to reclaim and define disability as a social catenational disability rights movement, under the banner "Nothing about us terms, thereby allowing others to define the category for them. The interidentity category often unrecognized as such by those who fall under its Hence one of the conundrums of disability studies is that it concerns ar policies that mediate between bodies and meanings that bodies assume pool, each is dependent on material products, social agencies, and public each swimmer enjoys a degree of independence and agency at the public ties Act, they-we-live in its shade. One might say, then, that although qualify for support under the provisions of the Americans with Disabiliof disability-related products and services. And because many of them tify with the disability rights movement, they nevertheless are consumers defines the meaning of bodies and the limits of care. If they do not idenglasses are components of a vast medical-industrial complex that often school buses, medications, handicap parking stickers, walkers, canes, and think of themselves as Disabled, capital D, yet their wheelchair-adapted an operation. Most of the persons mentioned above probably do not persons recovering from injuries or who want to regain muscle tone after worse. And swimming is easy on joints and cartilage, ideal exercise for bility. For one thing, it's a place where bodies are on display, for better or plex nature of disability-and perhaps, as Samuel Beckett's Winnie buried up to her waist in sand, exclaims, the "curse" of compulsory mo-A swimming pool is a good place to begin thinking about the com-

The pool offers a Whitmanian metaphor for social inclusion and independent living, yet when that metaphor is subjected to the lived experiences and attitudes of individual swimmers, it begins to dissolve as a term for inclusion. Each of my examples poses a unique variation not only on the theme of disability but on the liberal ideal of social equality and access. The question remains, can this ideal stand the test of differently abled bodies? Can a model of independent living coincide with what Alasdair MacIntyre calls the "virtues of acknowledged dependence" that implicate everyone? (133). When the poet of *Leaves of Grass* invokes his desire for twenty-eight male bathers in section 11 of "Song of Myself," he does so by imagining himself as a wealthy woman, peering at the young men through a curtain of her large house. Adapting this metaphoric vantage to disability, we might say that to "come out" as disabled may involve recognizing

forms of dependency and contingency that challenge our ingrained dependence on independence. We become the twenty-ninth bather by becoming someone else.

framing of that ideal limits Rawls's Kantian model of justice.1 tween a social ideal of inclusion and the absence of disabled persons in the a universal theory of justice begins to fray. Although persons with impairbasic institutions are structured" (98). This disjunctive relationships bements may benefit from the institutions a rational society designs, they and the second, created to aid those less free and independent, the idea of "are not among those for whom and in reciprocity with whom society's tice, the first, foundational stage created by those with adequate means, signed" (Nussbaum 98). By imagining a two-stage approach to social jusan afterthought, after the basic institutions of society are already decount "must handle severe mental impairments and related disabilities as ture. Where Rawls does acknowledge such disproportionate access, his acpoverty or mental illness, or, indeed, by our connections to an animal nainto account asymmetrical, unequal levels of access posed, for example, by tarian thinking presumes an equal status of all members and does not take nature to contract with each other for mutual advantage. Such contrac-Rousseau and Hume to Rawls imagine individuals departing the state of relations. As Nussbaum points out, liberal theories of justice from cial intercourse, but we do so by avoiding the contingent nature of social contract seldom take disability into account. We may assent to Locke's belief in the "free, equal, and independent" individual who benefits from so-Silver, and others have pointed out that ideas of justice based on a social critics who have redefined ethics for a wider, more diverse constituency has been the subject of discussion recently by philosophers and cultural Alasdair MacIntyre, Martha Nussbaum, Michael Berubé, Eva Kittay, Anita This mediated relationship to the social contract through disability

Although Concerto for the Left Hand is largely about cultural forms and disability, it begins and ends with issues of social justice to emphasize what is ultimately at stake in the enjoyment of those forms. Whether we are discussing the meaning of Tiny Tim's limp or Ahab's missing leg, or the works of disabled artists, the question of what bodies mean for a social covenant is paramount. Noting how much Rawls's definition of justice relies on ideas of social normalcy, Nussbaum poses what she calls, following Amartya Sen, a "capabilities approach" that recognizes the inherent rights

unequal distribution of property, goods, and services. abled individuals are citizens, they require "atypical social arrangements over one's environment, among others (76-78). Although this open-ended one's senses and emotions, practical reason, affiliation, play, and contro If Rawls hopes to create a universal theory of justice, he must account for derdeveloped nations that cannot afford repairs to existing infrastructure goal in architecture and city planning, but it cannot easily translate to un including varieties of care, if they are to live fully integrated and producdisability, unequal economic status, or species membership—human recial justice. For Nussbaum a rational society, based on shared rights, must individual as dependent and vulnerable challenges a liberal model of so-"health" as an inalienable right), its stress on a political conception of the stood (it would be hard to imagine Hegel or Kant including "play"or catalog of capabilities may not resemble rights as conventionally undersocial entitlements" include access to health, bodily integrity, ability to use of all persons, regardless of income or property. These capabilities or "core tive lives" (99). As my chapter 7 suggests, universal design is an admirable disabilities is important because it recognizes that although severely dis lationships to animals. Nussbaum's emphasis on cognitive and physica imagine forms of mutuality that take into consideration impairment and

a consideration of works written for a one-armed pianist resituate both prise an exclusionary discourse" (Extraordinary Bodies 6). As she says, the grained attitudes about embodiment, Rosemarie Garland Thomson notes music and disabled performer? Unfortunately, the most convenient terms way of legal, medical, political, cultural, and literary narratives that comthat identity categories like "able-bodied" and "disabled" are "produced by thought and usage to speak of the ways that disability challenges informalist idea that works of art defamiliarize routinized patterns of ing of art is seldom confronted. Throughout this book I adapt the Russian modifications and adaptations do to the structure and, indeed, the meancial needs, affording compensation for a handicap. What those ine alternative access to the public pool? Or to invoke my title, how might tion—not only of goods and services but of ideas and images—to imagthe process expose the cultural logic of their production. But a critical distask of a critical disability studies is to problematize such categories and in for such consideration stress the modification of a norm, adapted to spe-How might a disability aesthetics intervene in such unequal distribu-

> ing the materiality of the artwork, both as form and practice, into the masimply a more humanized perspective on suffering but a way of translatworth living and those that are not, a division that has provoked an exwork of those who have lived with a disability all their lives or who have disability aesthetics defamiliarizes such entrenched binaries to offer not tremely shrill debate recently over physician-assisted suicide. A critical helps to reinforce a Manichaean binary that divides the world into lives shore up a fragile sense of embodiment, on the one hand, and to erase the are celebrated as exemplars. This ideology of ableism works in part to and figures who do—Helen Keller, Christopher Reeve, Steven Hawking ternality of the different body. struggled for changes in public policy and social attitudes. Ableism also been written to explain how to "endure" or "triumph over" such adversity, policy) have been spared distress. A good deal of self-help literature has such trauma and by the grace of some higher power (or better insurance that provides a redemptive meaning for those who have not undergone narrative that does not reduce the entire life course to the disability, one becomes within medical care). Such life-changing experiences demand a how unstable terms like healthy and normal are (and how inert the body from a spinal cord injury or who undergoes chemotherapy understands practices. The presumably healthy individual who becomes paralyzed itself, the ways that physical or cognitive impairment affects everyday life ability perspective also attends to the impact of disability on the life course

malcy are a relatively recent invention, coinciding with the rise in statistics, comparative anatomy, and racial science (see Enforcing Normalcy 23–49). I would add that these technologies parallel the emergence of modernist art, which, at the moment the body was being regularized and quantified, shattered ideas of sculptural integrity, single-point perspective, narrative coherence, and tonal harmony. The aesthetic values of modernism that art historians and literary scholars use to explain these changes are seen differently through a disability optic. The ocularcentrism of modernist painting is "seen" differently if we imagine it through an artist who is sight-impaired, such as the late Monet. The values of orality, rhythm, and voice in poetry "sound" differently when viewed through the works of deaf poets who use sign language. The rigorous formal athleticism of modern dance receives new meaning when accomplished through

the body of a dancer with one leg such as Homer Avila. Feminists have long argued that considerations of gender and power lie behind genre and canonicity, an observation that applies as well to works whose apprehension demands precisely what Kant said acts of aesthetic appreciation do: imagine that others are similarly moved. If we imagine that those "others" (whom Kant never specified) are moved through identification with a disabled body, then the apprehension of beauty in works of art means something rather different from disinterested appreciation. Returning the body to the aesthetic, then, becomes one of this book's primary concerns. Returning the aesthetic to issues of bodily impairment becomes its second priority.

disability as discursively produced. dition or medical diagnosis, I hope to complicate its presumed location in played. By emphasizing disability as a series of locations rather than a condiagnosed and rehabilitated to the art venues in which disability is disneonatal screening to the clinic and asylum where the medicalized body is of site, the spaces in which disability is defined and the multiple locations of everyday life but also in artworks that utilize performance as a venue the body and, at the same time, question social constructionist views of in which it occurs-from the interior of the body exposed through for bringing disability to the foreground. The problem of sight is also one and the various ways that disability is performed, both on the social stage development. Among the most salient of these is the question of visibility disability and cultural production exchange terms, methods, and interwithin disability studies as it moves into its second (or even third) stage of pretations. In my introduction I define some of the key issues raised In Concerto for the Left Hand I present various frames through which

As a test case for studying the intersection of disability and cultural studies, I look in chapter 1 at the case of hemophilia during the AIDS pandemic of the 1980s. This was a time when a blood-born disease was spread as much by discourses about sexuality and deviance as by a virus. I study two homosocial communities, gay men and hemophilic males, who became infected with HIV through pooled blood products in the early 1980s. I am interested in the ways that a chronic disease, carried in the blood, becomes a syndrome carried by homophobia and racial anxiety, a "disease of signification," as Paula Treichler calls it. In order to understand the discursive meaning of the disease, I survey the long cultural history of the

bleeder and the threat that such figures present to U.S. national narratives from the early days of the Republic. My example of a modern text that brings these various strands together is William Faulkner's Absalom, Absalom!, a novel that frames blood culture through the triangulated relations between sex, race and the Caribbean as they overlap in the rural South following Reconstruction. By studying narratives about fears of racial amalgamation, I notice the degree to which national identity is linked to fears of shared blood and bodily fluids.

The relationship between disabled and queer bodies forms the basis of chapter 2, which looks at representations of disability in film noir of the late 1940s and 1950s. In films such as Double Indemnity or The Lady from Shanghai or Walk on the Wild Side, a figure with a disability often displaces the representation of homosexual or lesbian relationships that must be contained or monitored. My concern here is not only to understand disability as a prosthesis for the film's narrative of sexual normalcy but to show the historical specificity of this prosthetic function in relation to cold war anxieties about bodies in general. At the same time, I want to survey the limits of feminist film theory's psychoanalytic treatment of film noir through its study of the male gaze. I see the emphasis on psychoanalytic models of castration anxiety among male viewers as drawing on disability as "lack" or "absence" that links woman as object with impairment as bodily limit.

In chapters 3 and 4, I focus on deaf poets and the challenge that American Sign Language (ASL) poses for traditional theories of poetics. In the first of these chapters, I diagnose the "scandal of speech" when it appears in the work of poets for whom the use of vocalization or English translation is often considered a violation of culturally Deaf positions. The tendency among many ASL poets to repudiate English translation as a residual sign of hegemonic oralism is modified in the work of of Peter Cook and Kenny Lerner (the Flying Words Project), the British performance artist Aaron Williamson, and Joseph Grigely, an artist and critical theorist. In their work, the eruption of speech into works that deal critically with the position of the Deaf person in hearing society appears not as a concession to that culture but as a critique of its assumption about the authority of orality.

In chapter 4 I continue this investigation of signed poetry, this time by looking at the ways it resites the ocular character of much modernist liter-

volving disability, it joins with a larger medical ethical debate about bodily integrity and vulnerability in the long shadows cast by in vitro fertilization, physician-assisted suicide, and genetic engineering. So dominant has the organ sale narrative become in recent fiction that it often serves as a cultural sign of globalization itself, much as hysteria, neurasthenia, or tuberculosis served to mark the effects of industrialized society in the nineteenth century. Moreover, the organ sale narrative links disability with sexuality insofar as it revises a nineteenth-century focus, exemplified in Baudelaire and Marx, on the prostitute as the epitome of the body as commodity form. In these twentieth- and twenty-first-century narratives, the commodity is less the prostitute's body than the body as a series of replaceable parts whose commercialization epitomizes unequal economic relations between donor (seller) and recipient (purchaser).

B in Rough for Theater—who are variously blind, deaf, crippled, bedridand Nell have lost their limbs in an accident. They join dozens of othersabled-Hamm is blind and lacks the use of his legs; Clov is crippled; Nagg mobility. Winnie is one of many characters in Beckett's novels and plays someone is looking at me" allows her to see beyond a condition of limited atory possibility. However insufficient, Winnie's "strange feeling that contract reduced to its most naked form: two persons who sustain life by death—we might see their codependence as a means of survival, the social alienation and solitude in the modern world—Heidegger's being-toward den, and while it would be easy to see their impairments as metaphors for Molloy, Malone, Vladimir, Estragon, and Lucky in Waiting for Godot, A 8 who are, in some way, disabled. All of the characters in Endgame are diswinian evolutionary imperative and substitutes interdependence as liberfrom her disabled perspective, however, Winnie denaturalizes the Darfault, the position from which agency proceeds. By looking at the world What a curse, mobility!" For the able-bodied world, mobility is the delove, try the hands and knees. (Pause.) The knees! The knees! (Pause). cave. Seeing his difficulty, Winnie tries to help: "The hands and knees, she turns to watch her husband, Willie, attempt to crawl backward into his sand, and she spends her day sorting through objects in her purse, hopgraph. The main character of the play, Winnie, is buried up to her waist in ing—sometimes desperately—that someone is watching her. At one point the brief quote from Samuel Beckett's Happy Days that serves as my epi-One theme that knits many of these chapters together is embodied in

prelude

telling stories to each other. In a world where dependence implies a hated subservience, in Beckett's work it resembles the human condition itself stripped of its humanist trappings. That Beckett chose to represent his human comedy by disabled figures who stay alive by telling stories offers a parable about the work—the practice—of disability in making normal life strange.

## Introduction

Concerto for the Left Hand

# Disability Aesthetics

only staring back, but also talking back, insisting that 'this body has a this body speaks and the constituencies it enlists in the process. mouth" ("Ahhhh Freak Out!" 13). This book studies the forms in which gaze of an ableist society and reassigning the meanings of disability in pearances in such theaters back upon the audience, refusing the crippling activists have attempted to reverse this pattern, turning their cameo apstep offstage once the metaphoric exchange is made. Disabled artists and social norms to be written on the body of a person who is politely asked to queer, subaltern, or marginal. A common recent criticism among disabiltheir own terms. As Carrie Sandahl says, people with disabilities are "not the material conditions of actual disabled persons, permitting dominant ity scholars is that metaphoric treatments of impairment seldom confront person with a cognitive or physical impairment as a metaphor for the inforce regimes of national, racial, and sexual normalcy while using the ied the ways that cultural forms depend on a putatively normal body to revisual arts, literature, theater, and public life. Disability scholars have studvoted significant attention to the representation of disabled persons in the In its short existence as an academic discipline, disability studies has deposer's most popular works.3 despite his cool response to the Ravel concerto, it became one of the comporarily lose the functioning of one or another hand. In the case of these disabled artists, but most pianists at some point in their career temstein, Leon Fleisher, and Gary Graffman are among the best known of injury, lost the use of one hand. Pianists such as Geza Zichy, Paul Wittgenmissioned by pianists who, through repetitive stress disorders, arthritis, or strengthen a hand that commonly accompanies more difficult material in and artisinal closure?2 Consider Ravel's D major Concerto for the Left into aesthetic discourse complicate the latter's criteria of disinterestedness Wittgenstein, at least forty compositions were written at his request, and the right. It is less often recognized that many of these works were comwrote significant compositions in this vein, often to showcase or Brahms, Saint-Saëns, Strauss, Janáček, Prokofiev, Scriabin, and Bartók all known work in a surprisingly large repertoire of works for the left hand. his right arm during World War I, the Ravel concerto is perhaps the best-Hand. Commissioned in 1930 by the pianist Paul Wittgenstein, who lost beyond the mimetic? How might the introduction of the disabled body thetics largely to thematic matters, leaving formal questions untheorized on the one hand, and advocacy, on the other, we may limit disability aes How might the aesthetic itself be a frame for engaging disability at levels By framing disability in the arts exclusively in terms of social stigma

The one-hand piano repertoire offers us an interesting site for considering the status of disability in the arts. On one level these compositions might seem to propose a kind of aesthetic prosthesis, akin to that which David Mitchell and Sharon Snyder see operative in narrative works in which the presence of a one-legged Ahab or a hunchbacked Richard III serves as a "crutch" in the representation of normalcy. Adapted to music, this prosthetic interpretation could explain the technical difficulty of one-hand playing as a compensatory response for the missing hand, one that requires the pianist to imitate the full pianistic range, coloration, and dynamics of the nineteenth-century virtuoso style. If we treat Ravel's concerto as an able-bodied response to Wittgenstein's impairment, then the latter's performance becomes a triumph over adversity that has rightly been the subject of much disability studies critique.

We might, on the other hand, read this concerto from a different angle, understanding that by enabling Wittgenstein, Ravel disables Ravel,

imposing formal demands upon composition that he might not have imagined had he not had to think through limits imposed by writing for one hand. Indeed, the *Concerto for the Left Hand* is a considerably leaner, less bombastic work than most of Ravel's orchestral music. In this regard, Ravel's concerto could be linked to the work of artists whose disability, far from limiting possibilities of design or performance, liberates and changes the terms for composition. One thinks of the late works of Goya, Milton, Beethoven, Nerval, Schumann, Monet, de Kooning, Close, and others, composed when the artists were becoming physically or cognitively impaired. I do not mean to substitute for a politically self-conscious disability arts a canon of well-known artists who happened to be disabled, but to broaden the focus of cultural production to include the larger implications of corporeality in the arts.

only be validated when it appears to elicit a reciprocal response in others: bodies for confirmation. The body I escape in my endistanced apprecia to say, "My appreciation of that which exceeds my body depends on other makes a claim to everyone's assent, as if it were an objective judgment' "A judgment of taste determines its object in respect of our liking . . . but states as well as instrumental reason. Yet disinterestedness in Kant can objectivism by which the aesthetic provides an alternative to passional (16). Here, the specter of social consensus haunts the aesthetic—as though servation is the cornerstone for modernist theories of impersonality and sation and desire. To some extent Kant's privileging of disinterested obthetics formed a cordon sanitaire against the encroachments of gross senas in Kant, the body is most conspicuous by its absence, as though aesin National Socialism's vaunting of the ideal Aryan body.<sup>5</sup> In other cases, becomes the site of human perfection, an ideal that found its dystopic end ception and that "[there] is no perception in the absence of the body" Siebers notes that the term aesthetics is based on the Greek word for pertrast to the more rarefied domain of conceptual thought" (13). Tobin to measure "the whole region of human perception and sensation, in conpoints out that "aesthetics is born as a discourse of the body," an attempt created by incorporating its limits into composition.4 Terry Eagleton performance art, is a matter of the body and of epistemological claims (Body Aesthetic 1). In some cases, as in Wincklemann, the physical body the extent to which the aesthetic, from Baumgarten and Kant to recent By bringing such matters to bear on disability I want to foreground

tion is reconstituted in my feeling that others must feel the same way." It is this spectral body of the other that disability brings to the fore, reminding us of the contingent, interdependent nature of bodies and their situated relationship to physical ideals. Disability aesthetics foregrounds the extent to which the body becomes thinkable when its totality can no longer be taken for granted, when the social meanings attached to sensory and cognitive values cannot be assumed.

ory's treatment of filmic gaze predicated on an able-bodied male viewer objects. As I say in chapter 2, disability also complicates feminist film the photographers such as John Dugdale, Alice Wingwall, or Evgen Bavcar sumed link between text and voice. The same could be said of much modof bodily normalcy by working within the very terms of opprobrium and loss of limb or eyesight is a necessary adjunct to masculine specular plea between the objectified woman and a disabled male, the latter of whose the female protagonist. Laura Mulvey's influential essay avoids the alliance whose castration anxiety is finessed by the director's specular control over who create images as much inspired by sound and memory as by visual ernist art whose ocularcentrism is made problematic by bline cated in the case of deaf poets whose manual signing challenges the preear and page-voice, orality, meter, line, consonance-that are complimadwoman in the attic? The field of poetics is dominated by tropes of the ideas" but through an armless Venus de Milo, a crippled Oedipus, or a through the body and reimagine curricula not around the "history of McRuer, Crip Theory). What would it mean for the humanities to think stigma to which disabled persons and queers have been subject (see claims. Crip theory, like queer theory, promises an oppositional critique courses of compulsory able-bodiedness that underwrite epistemological and verify. As such, considerations of disability deconstruct or "crip" disjects represented than on the knowledge that such phenomena produce phenomenological world invoked by these forms depends less on the obity (the spaces of disability). My attempt in each case is to show how the edge), performing visibility (ocularcentrism and disability), siting disabilmy concerns in this book: thinking through the body (embodied knowlthis introduction I want to focus on three interrelated issues that govern by looking at a variety of cultural arenas—poetics, narrative, film and film theory, performance, and photography—through a disability optic. In In chapters that follow I trace some of the implications of this claim

sure. In each case, aesthetic discourse is underwritten by bodies whose imperfections become the limping meters, fatal flaws, castration complexes, and nervous disorders by which literature is known. The images that often epitomize bodily perfection and that adorn brochures for humanities programs and colloquia often feature Leonardo's Vitruvian Man, who has, after all, four arms and four legs.

social insofar as it exposes cultural assumptions about the corporeality of with embodiment, we might say that disability becomes the ethos of the the social body. perates a world too familiar to notice. Adapting this view to our concerns familiarization as the ethos of the aesthetic, a "making strange" that recuof art "is to make objects 'unfamiliar,' to make forms difficult, to increase ists to make one feel things, to make the stone stony" (12). The technique come automatic, devouring individual objects and turning signification the difficulty and length of perception" (12). The formalists designate deinto an algebraic function. Art exists to "recover the sensation of life; it exhabitual acts such as holding a pen or speaking in a foreign language be-"constructed") character of daily existence. Victor Shklovsky notes that the device" exposes the routinized, conventional (today we might say saw as art's primary function. In their various formulations, "laying bare sion of modernist ostrenenie, which the Russian formalists and futurists The estrangement posed by disability is a corporeal and sensory ver-

A good example of such defamiliarization can be seen in a recent French ad for Electricité de France (EDF) that shows a series of able-bodied persons negotiating an urban landscape designed entirely for persons in wheelchairs or who are deaf and blind. A woman is jostled on a side-walk by persons speeding by in wheelchairs; a man stoops in the rain while attempting to use a payphone at wheelchair height; a woman asks directions from an attendant who only speaks sign language; a sighted man becomes frustrated by attempting to read library books entirely written in Braille. The concluding epigraph, *Le monde est plus dur quand il n'est pas conçu pour vous* (the world is harder when it is not conceived for you) offers a nice variation on commercials promising greater access and accommodation by making the able-bodied consumer the minority figure. EDF's claim, *Désormais*, *le monde les espaces EDF sont accessible à tous*. Quand votre monde s'éclaire (From now on, the spaces of EDF are accessible to all. When your world lights up: EDF), resonates powerfully for dis-

abled persons who have benefited from new electronic technologies such as Internet, TDY telephones, and voice-recognition software. Unlike ads that signal inclusiveness by adding a person in a wheelchair to a crowd of able-bodied models, EDF's ad imagines a world where change is not supplemental but structural.

bilitation, and social services, then the disabled subject is reduced to his or strictly as a medical matter, confined to specific regimes of therapy, rehatural barriers to full participation in social life.<sup>6</sup> If disability is treated as a medical condition or bodily infirmity but as a set of social and culcommon thread within disability studies that understands disability not and formal genres in which disability is framed. pend less on the impairment than on adjustments made to social rhetorics Clayton Valli suggests that what it means to be disabled or deaf may dening, Larry Eigner, Carrie Sandahl, Derek Jarman, Evgen Bavcar, and bodies as a product of cultural rules about what bodies should be or do" cognitive ability in general, located not in the body but in society itself. As model, disability becomes a marker of social attitudes about bodies and viduals around phenotype and pigmentation. Viewed through a social her impairment, not unlike the ways that racialist discourse reifies inditive of cultural productions in general. In this respect, I am following a from occupying roles at the margins of aesthetic discourse, are constitu-Terry Galloway, Peter Cook, Aaron Williamson, Homer Avila, Lynn Man-Rosemarie Garland Thomson says, disability is "not so much a property of (Extraordinary Bodies 6). The work of disabled and Deaf artists such as Essays in this book define various ways in which disabled bodies, far

Despite the recognition of disability as embedded in social attitudes, reception of disability in the arts has never been easy, despite the presence of blind, deaf, or disabled figures (Homer, Milton, Beethoven, Kahlo) as signifiers for artistic genius. Even when a critic approaches a disabled artist with sympathy, there is often the anxiety that such art must, at some level, be a form of advocacy rather than a productive element of innovation. In a review of a dance performance by Homer Avila at the Merce Cunningham Studio in February 2002, dance critic Jennifer Dunning acknowledges her "considerable trepidation" at seeing Avila's performance in his inaugural program following the loss of a leg and part of a hip to cancer. Her review is devoted not to what Avila does in the program but to

abled body must not speak of (or by means of) its condition. dance, but exhibit a righteousness of their own by assuming that the disdance, one curiously divested of bodies. They criticize "righteousness" in skills of Richard Wright or Gwendolyn Brooks only to qualify their praise of intellectuals of the 1940s and 1950s who acknowledged the technical ning 8). Dunning agrees: "I'm with her there." Such attitudes remind me sistence on lifting the dancer who had just lifted him in the improvisasuggested that he means to make a point, as he did with his charming inmain merely "Negro artists." Dunning and Croce ask for a disinterested with the caution that by writing about black experience they would rethe scoliotic. But with the righteous I cannot function at all" (qtd. in Dunnevertheless felt obliged to complain, "I can live with the flabby, the feeble deals with chronic illness. Croce, who did not see Jones's performance Croce's similar judgment of Bill T. Jones's Still/Here, a performance that rhetoric ("charming insistence") and faint praise is reminiscent of Arlene tional audience participation piece that ended the evening." Such qualified abled. His use of a hearing-impaired composer for 'Not/Without Words' grettably, Mr. Avila seems intent on doubling as a spokesman for the disvocabulary." Dunning concludes with a caveat: "Understandably but reon the whole she feels that such movements "have a rightful place in his make up for the absence of Mr. Avila's leg" and that he displayed "few of sight of a paper cut," but she is reassured that the "solo did not attempt to the expected, dreaded hops" (8). Dunning does worry that his movements perience will be "rough going for anyone who, like me, feels faint at the her "trepidation" at seeing a one-legged dancer. She imagines that the ex-"veered close to the kind of tricks beloved of ballet pyrotechnicians," but

My emphasis on the aesthetic in this book is not to create a safe haven for disabled artists but to counter the prevalent view in cultural theory that views matters of form, design, and structure as inherently ahistorical and apolitical. As I will point out, matters of aesthetics are deeply implicated in social attitudes toward disabled persons. As Martin Pernick shows in *The Black Stork*, it was precisely the use of aesthetic values that drove early proponents of eugenics and racial science to argue for sterilization of mentally retarded children, and to establish "ugly laws" to protect society from unsightly physical specimens (22–24). In this sense, the aesthetic was used to validate and reinforce the so-called objective science of heredity by

Introduction

declaring what should or could be seen in public.<sup>8</sup> Artists, fully cognizant of how those values apply to them, have taken the aesthetic not as a means of transcending the body but as a means of thinking through it.

# Thinking through the Body

ity populations problematic. class of potential plaintiffs in legal cases filed under the Americans with of physical and cognitive impairment, the idea of expanding identity catracial and sexual otherness makes alliances between disability and minor identified with the institutional and carceral systems that have defined litical movements. The fact that disabled persons have historically been Disabilities Act (ADA) and worry about alliances with more militant pobroadly. Critics on the right fear the economic impact of expanding the egories to include disability may threaten an already embattled territory theorists have pointed out, such categories are deeply imbricated in ideas dominate cultural studies and minority discourse. Although as disability tionhood) that have been the centerpieces of social movements and that tance of certain identity categories (race, class, gender, sexuality, and nasuch venues. The reasons for this absence may be related to the imporcultural theory, it is surprising that disability is seldom mentioned within ence. Given the importance of nontraditional bodies and sensoriums in are constructed within narratives about deviance, abjection, and differchallenge categories of normalcy and expose the degree to which bodies racial cross-dressing. In such cases, female, queer, and racialized bodies and eugenics, and Eric Lott's, Gayle Wald's, and Michael Rogin's work on tities, Michael Omi's and Howard Winant's ideas about racialized bodies studies, from Michel Foucault's theories of bio-power to Judith Butler's rights legislation by expanding the category of oppressed persons too Critics on the left fear a dilution of hard-won political positions and civil theories of performativity, Donna Haraway's formulation of cyborg iden-Thinking through the body has been an important component of cultural

Another significant reason why disability has been left out of cultural and social theory is the problem of definition. It is difficult to forge a social movement around such a wide spectrum of impairments and conditions. The World Health Organization defines disability as "[any] restrictions.

gether by common social goals and shared beliefs. problem of trying to define a unified "disability community" held toconsidered a member of Deaf culture. Such differences exemplify the comes profoundly deaf late in life, however fluent in ASL, will never be turally Deaf through his or her fluency in ASL, whereas a person who beis complex. A hearing child of deaf adults (CODA) may be considered culthink of themselves as a linguistic minority, but even here, the definition illness. Deaf persons often repudiate the disability label, preferring to quadriplegic or person with cerebral palsy is? Early activists often accomsuch as kidney disease? What about mental illness? Are persons with "We're not sick," thus alienating persons with chronic diseases or mental panied political protests that led to the passage of the ADA with the chant Down syndrome or bipolar disorders disabled in the same way that a blood-borne diseases such as hemophilia or other autoimmune disorders Do persons who are HIV-positive fall into the same category as other whose disabilities are controlled by medication, dialysis, or pacemakers? an invisible impairment or chronic disease qualify? What about persons being" (Barnes and Mercer 13). Under this definition, does a person with tivity in the manner or within the range considered normal for a human tion or lack (resulting from an impairment) of ability to perform an ac-

To some extent the very diversity and pervasiveness of disability argues for its centrality as an identity position that destabilizes identity categories altogether. In Lennard Davis's terms, disability "dismodernizes" modern narratives of genetic improvement and social normalization that were formed in the nineteenth century. If postmodernism dissolves the grand narratives of modernity and its humanist core through an emphasis on social construction and performance, it may, in Davis's view, leave nothing upon which to build a social movement. Dismodernism deconstructs modernist narratives based on the body and negotiates the wandering rocks of essentialism and social constructionism by calling attention to the "differences" we share:

What dismodernism signals is a new kind of universalism and cosmpolitanism that is reacting to the localization of identity. It reflects a global view of the world. To accomplish a dismodernist view of the body we need to consider a new ethics of the body. (*Bending* 27)

I flesh out some of the implications of Davis's thesis in the chapters that follow, but I would observe here that what Stuart Hall sees as a need for "conjunctural knowledge"—situated, historically specific understandings of phenomena—in cultural studies complicates a dismodernist view that might collapse identities into some global category.9

during modernization. A class analysis of disability discourse, for example hesion. What such connections suggest is not a universal category of disgestures of separatism—such as the use of ASL—a threat to national coattempts to naturalize deaf individuals into U.S. citizenship by making any tion. Oral pedagogies in the postbellum period were often motivated by ing culture that repudiates manual sign language in favor of oralist educater 3, Deaf persons often find themselves in a colonial relationship to heargene pool by immigrations coming from East Asia. As I point out in chapder to equate persons with mental illness with the weakening of the Aryan now known as Down syndrome was originally called "mongolism" in orwere often linked to persons with cognitive impairments. The condition and comparative anatomy in which minority populations and immigrants said for late-nineteenth-century constructions of race through eugenics ilization, and, in times of ethnic cleansing, genocide. The same could be tal illness, a proximity that has led to various forms of incarceration, sterilarly, one could point to the historical linkage of homosexuality and menare the product of a male medical and psychoanalytic establishment. Simpsychological categories (neurasthenia, castration anxiety, hysteria) that to which gender has been defined through various nervous disorders and place accidents. Feminist disability scholarship has pointed out the degree ance, accessible workstations, medical care, and protection from workaround struggles for a workplace that provides adequate disability insurels of the workforce. The labor movement in the United States is written the poverty line), are often diseducated, and can be found at the lowest levpoor (it is estimated that worldwide, two-thirds of the disabled live below would observe that the great majority of disabled persons in the world are taxonomic and scientific attempts to contain and control certain subjects emergence of class, race, gender, sexuality, or nationality coincides with coefficient in some aspect of disability discourse and that the historical gories I have mentioned as central to cultural studies has a specific It would be relatively easy to point out that each of the identity cate-

> ability so much as a spectrum of discrete convergences of hegemonic social attitudes and discrepant psychological and somatic conditions.

Some sense of the imbricated relationship between cultural production and disability can be seen in recent controversies over assisted suicide. The case of Theresa Schiavo, a young Florida woman, who suffered severe neurological damage in 1990 and who remained in a coma for fifteen years, became the site of a culture war between civil libertarians, on the one hand, and an unlikely coalition of religious conservatives and disability rights advocates on the other. Similarly, critical response to films such as Clint Eastwood's Million Dollar Baby and Alejandro Amenabar's The Sea Inside has brought issues surrounding euthanasia and medical ethics into conflict with disability activists. In each case, basic questions about the "quality of life" and the "right to die" have been debated through representations of a disabled body and its ability to respond to social agendas formed around it.<sup>10</sup>

interacting with her parents and doctors, and these pictures were subwho fought to maintain life supports. Television images showed Schiavo and to her fundamentalist Catholic parents, Robert and Mary Schindler, extreme measures and who therefore wanted her feeding tube removed, wishes was left to her husband, who claimed she would have rejected any Schiavo had not indicated what special procedures should be implemented in the case of extreme trauma. As a result, interpretation of her what is going on in America." Prior to her cardiac arrest in February 1990. that God has brought to us is Terri Schiavo, to help elevate the visibility of Washington, saw a redemptive message in the Schiavo case; the "one thing alism" (1). And Tom DeLay, speaking at the Family Research Council in unhinged, such a brew of religiosity and hypocrisy and tabloid sensationscrutable silence has become a canvas for projected social anxieties" (1). ability of others to interpret them. Critics and pundits on the left and right Katha Pollitt described the "Terri Schiavo freak show [as] deeply crazy, so doche for tensions in the culture over the question of "quality of life." have understood that the meaning of Schiavo's condition was a syneccontroversy surrounding her concerned the question of images and the Feminist legal scholar Patricia J. Williams noted that Schiavo's "inwith aesthetics or cultural production, until one realizes that much of the The case of Theresa Schiavo may not appear to have anything to do

sponding to stimuli or whether her eye movements and facial expressions were simply reflexes. The fact that she maintained normal sleep-waking cycles, breathed on her own, and occasionally smiled and blinked her eyes made it seem as though she was responding to her parents' presence. Furthermore, there was the matter of terminology. Doctors and Florida circuit judge George Greer (the primary judge in her case), for the most part, described her as "brain dead," living in a "persistent vegetative state," and recommended the removal of life-prolonging feeding tubes. Michael Schiavo, on the other hand, sought to end her "suffering with dignity."

a clear diagnosis of Schiavo's physical condition, public opinion tended to actions in the intervening years, Right-to-life advocates accused Michael diac arrest, critics began to look at her early life as well as at her husband's one in which the disabled body was interpreted by others. die" issue, or, more subtly, a "personal choice" matter," yet in the absence of demise, meaning that what happened to her need not happen to us" (61). construct [Schiavo] had for whatever reason played a role in her own scribe as a 'persistent vegetative' state" (61). Didion concludes that "in this enough to induce a massive systemic crisis that left her in what doctors dein The Nation noted that Schiavo's "bulimic aversion to food was extreme search for clues in Schiavo's "bad habits." She quotes Patricia Williams, who, deliver a disinterested opinion. As Joan Didion points out, in the absence of evaluate her condition was a conservative Christian and therefore could not tody of Schiavo claimed that the neurologist from the Mayo Clinic hired to the Florida Department of Children and Families that sought to gain cusduced as evidence of his weak moral character. On the other side, critics of up with another woman with whom he had fathered two children was adgence suit for \$1.1 million that he received in 1992. The fact that he had taken Schiavo of wanting to cash in on her illness, citing an earlier medical neglilegal documents by Terri Schiavo claiming those rights, the case became Commentators defined the political importance of the case as a "right-to-In order to assign blame for the 1990 incident that caused Schiavo's car-

This latter issue is important for disability studies insofar as, once again, the body of a disabled woman was deemed a "life not worth living" and therefore disposable. Not Dead Yet, the activist group that opposes euthanasia and assisted suicide, protested alongside fundamentalist Christians at Schiavo's home, yet their rather different reasons for supporting

civil liberties, Roe v. Wade, and the Supreme Court. came obscured by secondary and tertiary narratives about the future of Whatever Schiavo may or may not have wanted for her mortal remains be-Bush's support) brought chills to many Democrats and members of the of the feeding tube—ordered by Judge Greer—and their subsequent at judges," Supreme Court nominees, and the future of the filibuster. The national debate about medical ethics, abortion, stem-cell research, "activist one "not worth living": one not like theirs. That life became the site for a munity knew very well what commentators meant by Schiavo's life being Left who saw such actions as the beginning of a conservative Armageddon. tempt to create a law pertaining only to Theresa Schiavo (with George W U.S. House of Representatives and Senate's attempts to block the removal to medical interventions, a large majority of persons in the disability comability rights advocates. As persons who have been historically vulnerable protest only in passing, thus avoiding the implications of the case for dispregnant. Theresa Schiavo becomes merely a point of departure. Even a euthanasia to abortion and to stigma leveled against "bad girls" who get the elimination of all civil rights. Pollitt segues quickly from Schiavo and as an example of a slippery slope leading to the reversal of Roe v. Wade and nores disability implications in her Nation article, seeing the Schiavo case balanced report such as that of Joan Didion mentions Not Dead Yet's Schiavo's parents were often left out of the discussion.11 Katha Pollitt ig-

My second example, Clint Eastwood's film Million Dollar Baby, offers a more obvious object for cultural analysis, having been the site of extensive media debate over its representation of euthanasia. It joins a rather large number of disability-themed movies made in recent years, including Elephant Man, A Beautiful Mind, Ray, The Sea Inside, The Station Agent, and the documentary Murderball, that have raised the presence of disability issues in the public arena. The almost unanimous positive critical acclaim (and financial success) that the film received when it was first released was countered by the almost unanimous complaint from the disability community over the film's seeming advocacy of euthanasia for persons with spinal cord injuries. The complaint was less concerned with the dramatic and cinematographic qualities of the movie than with the way it made assisted suicide seem the logical result of those qualities. As in the Schiavo case, the question of a nondisabled person's ability to speak for a disabled person generated a public debate far beyond the movie.

The film concerns a spunky, working-class woman, Maggie (Hillary Swank), who enlists an aging boxing trainer, Frankie (Clint Eastwood), to coach her so that she can win a title match. Frankie's taciturn character and lined face bespeak a checkered past whose ghosts he attempts to assuage by vigorous pursuit of his Catholic faith. He is reluctant to train a "girl" boxer, having lost his own daughter to some unexplained parental error, but when his top fighter leaves him for a more lucrative shot at a title, Frankie gives in and takes Maggie on as his charge. He is encouraged in this act by his gym manager, Eddie (Morgan Freeman), a former boxing champ who lost his eye in an early fight for which Frankie feels responsible. Eddie is the movie's Sybil, offering sage opinions on boxing and life that resonate with Maggie's dream. Eddie muses,

If there's magic in boxing, it's the magic of fighting battles beyond endurance, beyond cracked ribs, ruptured kidneys, and detached retinas. It's the magic of risking everything for a dream that nobody sees but you.

This dream of self-fulfillment, despite the odds, has implications for Eddie as a disabled African American that rhyme with Maggie's own "disability" as a poor woman from the rural South.

wishes, but in the end decides to euthanize her by injecting her with a faing with his Catholic priest, who urges him not to accede to Maggie's ports but wants Frankie to do the job. He wavers for some time, consultquire a limb to be amputated, Maggie decides that she wants to terminate time, relying on a ventilator to breathe, and sustaining bedsores that recomes a triumphalist parable about disability. After being immobile for a begins; what had been a story of triumph over class and gender limits bepionship match, Maggie sustains a serious head injury and becomes paraswitches direction dramatically when, in the women's welterweight chaming out her opponents in the first round. The final third of the film rings. Maggie's boxing improves under Frankie's careful, if cranky, tutemovie, tightly acted and brilliantly shot in dusty gyms and smoky boxing her life.13 Inexplicably, she does not ask her doctors to remove life suplyzed from the neck down. Now the "true" drama of Million Dollar Baby lage. She becomes a formidable athlete, winning fight after fight by knock-The first two-thirds of the movie constitute a straightforward boxing

tal dose of adrenaline and then disconnecting her ventilator. Maggie dies quickly, and Frankie leaves town, never to return. In the film's last scene, we see a grainy shot of a lonely rural cafe—one that Frankie in an earlier scene hinted he might buy one day—with Morgan Freeman's voice-over reciting what appears to be a letter to Frankie's absent daughter. At this moment we realize that Eddie's voice-over has been an extended epistle to the estranged daughter, trying to explain to her "what kind of man your father was." Eddie's monologue reinforces the fact that although Maggie is essential to the film's pathos, the real drama is about Frankie. In Mitchell and Snyder's terms, she serves as a prosthesis for a narrative about Frankie's tragic fate, his Lear-like burden of familial, religious, and ethical burdens.<sup>14</sup>

content that calls the terms of interestedness into question. we have already encountered, an appeal to a disinterested "art" trumps what he does know is influenced by his own personal legal difficulties about boxing and male angst, he doesn't know much about disability, and through a suit filed by one of his employees under the ADA. 15 As in cases turn Dowd's remarks around and say that although Eastwood may know what art is" (Davis, "Why Disability Studies Matters" 3). But one might of art is not always to send messages. More often, it's just to tell a story, move people and provoke ideas. Eastwood's critics don't even understand plaint, saying, as Maureen Dowd did in the New York Times, the "purpose disposable. Film critics and commentators dismissed the disability comavo's condition, Maggie's life is deemed "not worth living" and therefore college, but Maggie refuses any amelioration. As doctors reported of Schision. Frankie does offer to buy her an electric wheelchair and enroll her in did not need Frankie's assistance (see Weiss, "Boxing Flick"). Critics also tives to assisted suicide that might have modified Maggie's ultimate decipoint out that as the film's director, Eastwood, does not provide alterna-Maggie could have requested the removal of life-sustaining treatment and tion pointed out, the ending avoids the legal fact that in the United States. members of Not Dead Yet and the National Spinal Cord Injury Associamitting an identification with the able-bodied hero who survives. As the able-bodied viewer a measure of compassion for the victim while perin the end in what John Hockenberry calls a "crip ex machina," providing Like many disability-themed movies, the disabled figure is terminated

As Lennard Davis points out, had Million Dollar Baby been a film that

Concerto for the Left Hand

denigrates gays or women, the progressive community would speak out against "films, novels, plays or any artwork that demeaned people of color, gay people, or any oppressed group" ("Why Disability Studies Matters"). But because the film was viewed as a compassionate look at "personal choice," it was read as a civil rights document, in this case an appeal to an artist's right to make a movie as he sees fit and a woman's right to commit suicide. For people with disabilities, the message was viewed not as a matter of choice but one of untenable ethical alternatives. They saw that given the chance, society, reinforced by films like Million Dollar Baby, would terminate their lives as well. The fact that the film was also criticized by Christian conservatives on moral grounds helped create an alliance that blurred the differing reasons for the film's detractors.

orized as an "interactional space between embodiment and social ideolgether and focus on social and material obstacles. As Tom Shakespeare a social model of disability may jettison the physical impairment altoimpose agency on them from without. At the same time, strict reliance on on the one hand, or euthanasia on the other. By denying them agency and seems to have done-to regimes of rehabilitation and medical treatment position that organizes disability studies. A too strict reliance on impairies to think through the body, we could see the debate that surrounds the subject of my next section, disability studies needs to bring into alignthat bodily differences assume by disabled individuals (7). To anticipate ogy," and in the process needs to take into account the social meanings bodies. The divide between medical and social models needs to be retheriences and meanings that disabled people have constructed about their is a cultural approach to disability that would take into account the expe-What is missing, as Sharon Snyder and David Mitchell have pointed out proaches, that it risks implying that impairment is not a problem" (200). says, "The social model so strongly disowns individual and medical aptreating their bodies as inert, neutral elements, medical science may then conditions produce and consigns their bodies—as the popular press ment as biological rejects the social meanings that Schiavo's or Maggie's which the disabled subject sees ment what the world sees as the disabled subject and the world through Theresa Schiavo and Million Dollar Baby as a limit case for the binary op-To return to my initial concern with what it means for cultural stud-

## Performing Visibility

If everyone in the world were blind, perhaps touching would be called seeing.

—JOSEPH GRIGELY

A picture held us captive. The convergence of ethics and disability that I have been discussing depends on an image, the picture of a disabled person that, to continue my use of Wittgenstein, "lay in our language, and language scemed to repeat it to us inexorably" (48e). Theresa Schiavo's or Maggic's bodies become disabled in discourses that swirl around their public presentation and reception. Their physical bodies are forgotten in the attempt to recuperate them as metonyms for what "we" don't have or don't wish to have Visibility, as Lennard Davis points out, is the modality within which disability is constructed: "The person with disabilities is visualized, brought into a field of vision," and as such monitors (or polices) the field of embodiment for the "normal" viewer (Enforcing Normalcy 12). Because the gaze is so powerful in constructing claims of truth and reason, it is often the hardest condition to "see," provoking Joseph Grigely in his "Postcards to Sophie Calle" to wonder what would happen if everyone were blind. In that case, would "touching be called sceing"? 16

Such a condition is elaborately developed in José Saramago's Blindness, a novel in which the entire population of a city—and eventually a nation—becomes blind. Saramago may have been creating an allegory about Fascism during the Salazar regime in Portugal—the blind leading the blind, perhaps—but in the process he imagines a world no longer dependent on sight, one in which "touching becomes seeing." Characters in the novel (who have no names) begin to rely on other senses for communication, location, locomotion, and survival. Early on, the government tries to contain this contagion by incarcerating the blind in an asylum, but soon their guards also become blind and the prisoners escape, rendering the need for prisons and asylums moot. Only one character retains her sight, although there is the possibility that she could in time become a leader (or a despot) because of her sensory advantage. At the end, people gradually regain their sight, and one character seems to speak for the author: "I

don't think we did go blind, I think we are blind, Blind but seeing, Blind people who can see, but do not see" (292). The idea that we are all prisoners in Plato's Cave, seeing only shadows, suggests how powerful the connection between sight and knowledge has been from the outset. It also reminds us how convenient blindness has been as a metaphor for personal misfortune and social disorder.

perspective on it. to the difficulty of stepping outside of disability in order to gain a critical lar metaphors ("I see what you mean"; "he was blind to the facts") testifies subject is blind. The very fact that knowledge is represented through ocuaffirmed. Of course, my use of the binary opposition visibility/invisibility ness" as the condition for visibility, as that which must be asserted and McRuer's terms, a disability analysis reveals "compulsory able-bodiedzation of certain bodies through visible signs belies the extent to which the bodies that are "disfigured" or "deformed" or "freakish." But the stigmativisible marks, cut or burned into the body, continues in categorizations of and bad about the moral status of the signifier" (1). That association of stigma referred originally to bodily signs that "expose something unusual or at a sonogram monitor.17 Erving Goffman observes that the term consensus and agreement, whether the gaze is directed at a movie screen cault defines it, is a technology of power that naturalizes bodies through agents of personal and national identity. The medical gaze, as Michel Fouand wholeness. It also reminds us of the importance of scopic regimes as thetic prosthesis in which disability serves a master narrative of normalcy reveals an ableist agenda of its own that becomes problematic when the idea of a "normal" body is naturalized around those signs. In Robert We could see Saramago's novel as offering yet another example of aes-

Viewed through a Foucauldian frame, disability becomes visible historically through various medical and scientific discourses emerging in the late eighteenth century, in which power relations of the dominant are inscribed on a body rendered "docile" so that it may assist productive apparatus of capitalism. From nineteenth-century freak shows and carnival acts, through the photographic displays of eugenics textbooks to Jerry Lewis telethons, disability has been synonymous with the theatrical display of "different" bodies. At the most immediate level, disability is constructed through complex rituals of staring and avoidance that occur when people confront a person with an empty sleeve, a prosthetic limb, a

bodied viewer averts the gaze or looks clandestinely, the disabled subject "performs" invisibility—acts as though invisible or else compensates in some way to make the viewer feel comfortable. Disability memoirs are filled with descriptions of what we might call a crip double consciousness in which the individual in a wheelchair must simultaneously "act normal" while negotiating an inaccessible and sometimes hostile environment. The late actor and playwright John Belluso, who used a wheelchair, describes the theatricalization of disability as a continuous public performent, it's like I'm on a stage. Disabled people understand the world in a different way. You understand what it's like to be stared at, to be looked at, and in a sense you're always performing your disability" (Breslauer 4). 18

Performance artist Mary Duffy, who is armless, makes this performative aspect of disability the site of many of her works. She merges the act of staring with the act of aesthetic appreciation by posing nude in the posture of classical sculptures. Appearing as what Rosemaric Garland Thomson calls "the Tableau Vivant Venus" ("Dares" 36), Duffy's monologues expose the gendered character of staring, making herself into an object of scopic interest (a nude, armless woman) and then addressing—even hectoring—an art establishment that wants to keep its distance. By this act, Duffy deconstructs a pictorialist aesthetics based on the Horation formula *ut pictura poesis*, by making sculpture speak. She also deconstructs ideals of feminine beauty by exposing her own armless body as the object of the gaze through which beauty is framed.

Thomson has taken the transgressive act of staring as a key issue in her recent work. She describes the ways that social relations are created out of acts of staring, looking, and gazing that offer, to the able-bodied viewer, a form of guilty pleasure. Unlike glancing, scanning, or glimpsing, staring at a disabled person "registers the perception of strangeness and endows it with meaning" ("Dares" 30). Staring is a "potent social choreography that marks bodies by enacting a dynamic visual exchange between a spectator and a spectacle. Staring, then, enacts a drama about the people involved" (31). Although modern philosophers like Sartre and Levinas have formulated intersubjectivity around a constituting look, they do not address the politics of embodiment articulated through that look. 19 For Sartre, the constituting "look" (le regard) engages two presumably neutral

subjectivities on either side of a keyhole; for Levinas, the "face" of the other exposes the contingency and vulnerability of the one looking. Neither philosopher imagines that the encountered other is blind. As Thomson points out, cultural theory has diagnosed the social implications of such staring through scopic regimes that include feminist gaze theory, which studies the formation of the patriarchal gaze, materialist critiques of consumerism and control, and ethnographic treatments of the colonizing gaze. All three of these regimes reinforce staring as a visual practice "that materializes the disabled in social relations" ("Dares" 32).

As Thomson and others have shown, disability performance like that of Mary Duffy has made the multiple levels of staring into a primary issue, turning the disabling gaze back on the audience and forcing its members to confront their own discomfort at the sight of the disabled body. She cites the work of Cheryl Marie Wade, for example, who foregrounds her "claw hands" in the video documentary Vital Signs:

Mine are the hands of your bad dreams. Booga booga from behind the black curtain.

The ivory girl's hands after a decade of roughing it. Crinkled, puckered, sweaty, scarred,

a young woman's dwarf knobby hands

that ache for moonlight—that tremble, that struggle

Hands that make your eyes tear.

My hands. My hands. My hands

that could grace your brow, your thigh

My hands! Yeah!

(Qtd. in Thomson, "Dares" 35)

Wade stares back, acknowledging the social anxiety that her hands evoke, while reclaiming them for acts of tenderness and intimacy. Rather than perform invisibility by hiding her hands, Wade brings them forward, both physically on stage and verbally in her performative rhetoric. We are refused the passive gaze that maintains the observer's authority and forced, instead, to violate that childhood adage, "It's not polite to stare."

A further complication of the disability/visibility rhyme can be seen in Terry Galloway's performances, which often merge discourses of deaf-

ness, queerness, disability, and gender through references to popular media and film. As a performer she wonders

how to have a voice in theatre. How to be heard. How to be a poor, queered, deaf, unbeautiful girl and still make a claim on that empty space. Everything I do is autobiographical, but I'm trying to code it differently. ("Making a Claim" 51)

Deaf since a young age, Galloway creates memorable, often hysterically funny, performances that interrogate a wide range of cultural signage—from noir radio dramas and movie melodramas to celebrity telethons. As the preceding quotation implies, Galloway seeks a "voice" in a theater that has little room for deaf actors, but she also seeks a form of autobiography that uses the "empty space" of an inaccessible theater for a "queered, deaf, unbeautiful girl." She wants to "code it differently," by acknowledging limit as a constitutive force:

What if your whole performance is predicated on the fact that you are not: not the usual performer, not the usual beauty, not what is usually seen. And that you are deliberately not saying the same old things about art and life that are usually said. ("Making a Claim" 51)

One might add to this list of negatives the fact that Galloway does not present herself as a member of Deaf culture with a basis in ASL, having been mainstreamed as a child in public schools. Although her oral basis removes her from more traditional venues of Deaf culture, it permits her access to a wide range of acoustic environments, including film, radio, verbal monologue, and standup poetry, on which she draws with caustic wit and broad satire.

In her video performance Annie Dearest, Galloway takes on a sacred text of disability literature, Helen Keller's autobiography and its representation in the 1962 film The Miracle Worker. The first part of the performance features a black-and-white reenactment of the moment in the film when Keller (Patty Duke) makes the verbal connection between the word "water" and the water being pumped out of a well by Annie Sullivan (Ann Bancroft). Galloway parodies the sentimental framing of this moment—

linking Sullivan, Keller's patient teacher, to Joan Crawford as the abusive mother represented in her daughter's memoir, Mommie Dearest. In Galloway's version, Annie Sullivan, the patient, heroic, hearing teacher, is transformed into a demonic oralist instructor who forces Helen to endure various forms of water torture until she pronounces the acceptable phonemes: "wa...wa...wa...wa...water!" Annie Dearest is a parody not only of sentimental portrayals of disabled persons but an exploration of sensationalist human interest stories (of which Crawford's memoir is an example) through which disability is represented.

sons by "talking behind our backs." on others who may literally and figuratively wrest power from deaf pershe foregrounds the complex and multifaceted implications of depending Stevie if she (Stevie) has been "talking behind my back," and Stevie nods hearing ASL signer) that she deploys in Annie Dearest. At the end she asks various forms of visual translation (voice-over, closed-captioning, and sidious role that audism plays in performance itself-through the use of official hierarchies of Deaf culture, capital D, she is also aware of the ining her marginal status among deaf persons. But while she is critical of the stable becomes the claim. She "performs authenticity" while acknowledg. to both hearing and deaf worlds. Her coda is also about the fragile trust clusions of cultural nationalism by acknowledging her awkward relation Galloway's controversial critique of official Deaf culture focuses on the exfor an authentic Deaf identity marked by exclusive use of manual signing her chest. This irreverent coda has, at its heart, a serious subtext: the claim sign her remarks, but fortunately she has a stand-in-or "hand in"-in since she does not use ASL in her performances. In her faux documentary her head affirmatively. "I was afraid of that," Galloway concludes. Here for her. The more Galloway claims to be authentically deaf, the more unbetween the deaf individual and the oral world that interprets experience the form of her interpreter Stevie, who stands behind her and signs across voice, she avers that if she were an "authentically deaf person" she would deaf person." She explains that despite her hearing aids and deaf accent the cocreator of Annie Dearest and, most importantly, as an "authentically (lateral lisp), she is technically not supposed to be in a film about deafness In an afterword to the film, Galloway appears—now in full color—as

My second example of language mediated by sight is taken from the

work of deaf artist and philosopher Joseph Grigely. As I point out later in this book, Grigely creates installations in which the walls are plastered with small slips of paper that he collects from his "conversations with the hearing," as he calls one of his installations. These ephemeral texts are the written half of dialogues that the artist has had with hearing interlocutors, the other half of these conversations completed by Grigely's voice or by gestures. What we see in the gallery or museum space is a rough patchwork of tiny texts, ephemeral post-its and bar napkins that becomequite literally—a wall of words. Affixed to the walls are brief descriptions of the circumstances of each conversation that become, in themselves, metaconversations with the viewer. Grigely wants to turn the docent commentary on the artist's work into the work itself, while debunking the "authoritative" art commentary on the work's origins.

Grigely's interest in the interface between the visible and the textual can be seen in his "Postcards to Sophie Calle," a series of responses to the French photographer from 1991. Calle's exhibition Les Aveugles was featured at the Luhring Augustine Gallery in New York and involved photographs of blind people who had been asked to respond to the question of "what their image of beauty was" (31). In addition to printed responses to this question, the exhibition featured photographs of the blind respondents and the "beautiful" objects to which they refer. Grigely's postcards are an extended meditation on the sighted viewer's gaze at the blind face and on the sighted artist's ability to render the blind experience. Grigely admits to being "taken in" by the written responses as they describe touching bodies and sculpture, but his pleasure is "mitigated by something troubling" about them:

They [the blind subjects] do not apologize for the fact that it is the body, the engendered body particularly, that must be touched to be seen. This is the tactile gaze of the blind. It is a gaze unconditioned by whatever feminism and sexual politics have taught us about touching. The terms and conditions by which this tactile gaze exists thus cannot be judged by our own standard, where the actions of the blind become rendered . . . into *our* vocabulary of tactile violence. This touching is not about feeling, not about touching even, but about seeing. Touching itself is elided; it is a semantic projection of our own physiology, not that of the blind. If everyone in the world were blind, perhaps touching would be called seeing. (33)

I will return to the idea of tactile seeing in chapter 6, but here I would observe that Grigely questions the presumed erotics of tactility—and the sexual politics that limits its meaning. He compares the blind person's touching of sculpture in the museum to the deaf person's use of sign language, the latter of which is not a "pretty way of communicating—it's language, language pure and simple" (33). Of course language is never "pure and simple," as his epistolary pretense makes clear, but he wants to differentiate a romantic view of the blind—as simple, naive, sensual—from an ontological view—as subjects for whom touch is a form of communication. Our inability to imagine alternate configurations of sensation stems from ingrained attitudes about what constitutes perception, the "inevitable effect of an imposed transmodality: it reconfigures our physiological conventions and the language with which we describe those conventions" (33).

This "transmodality"—what I have been calling defamiliarization or what Terry Galloway calls "coding it differently"—lies at the heart of a critical disability aesthetics in which practice—performance, textuality, visuality—redirects epistemological questions onto the body. If classical aesthetics has been based on a theory of disinterested contemplation, what does this disinterest mean for those who do not see and who become the objects of another's contemplation? Grigely admits to feeling profoundly disturbed by such questions because Calle has permitted no reciprocity to the gaze. The blind are asked to respond to beauty as subjects, but their faces become the objects of the sighted gaze:

I am arrested by the fact that these images do not, because of their visual modality, return themselves to the blind. Since your face is not available to me, why should my face be available to you? (34)

Sophie Calle's camera captures the face of the blind but leaves her own face out. The panoptical gaze of the camera keeps everyone in sight, yet protects the seer from view. As a deaf viewer, Grigely understands what it means to be looked at strangely and provides his own disability perspective on the act of looking: "I am able to gaze, look, stare into the faces, into the eyes, of faces... I feel I am in the presence of a social experiment. I feel I am being watched, feel as if I am a part of this experiment. Alone and not alone, I am uncomfortable" (34).

your eyes over theirs" (58). the blind, and let them run their fingers over your body as you have run have taken, might some day undress your psyche in a room frequented by hibit, Grigely does not represent himself, but in his final postcard, he ofnacular, exploratory form. Since she does not include her face in the exdialogue about the aesthetic use of disability. By writing his response to ome of disregard in an exhibit designed to represent blindness. Grigely's oxymoron of display-a text meant to be touched that has been flattened exhibit, a photograph of a Braille text by Claude Jaunière. It is the ultimate fers a solution: "Perhaps, Sophie, you might some day return what you the image, he realizes that the text has been printed upside down, the epitinto a photograph, encased in plexiglass. As Grigely looks more closely at blindness is reinforced by an image that Grigely finds most arresting in the though these same critics are acutely sensitive to the ways that sexism or 36). He notes that progressive critics often use negative stereotypes of distween gazing at the eyes of the blind or the labia of the Hottentot Venus?" ernist's fascination with non-Western art ("What difference is there benot an exhibit for the blind but for the seeing viewer, a form of coloniza-Les Aveugles in the form of postcards, Grigely signifies on Calle's own ver-"monospondence" (as he calls it) with Sophie Calle engages the artist in a racism are encoded in language. Such obtuseness to the material reality of ability to refer to those who are "blind" or "deaf" to cultural diversity, even tion similar to ethnographic scrutiny of primitive peoples or the modthe ordinary details of blind response.21 The problem, however, is that it is blindness visitors to the museum, her interest as "social archaeologist" in theless recognize the compelling nature of her attempt to give a place to Although Grigely's postcards are critical of Calle's project, they never-

#### Siting Disability

As the work of Terry Galloway and Joseph Grigely indicates, disability may be a theater or a museum, a place where something is seen. If disability is a matter of sight, it is no less one of site, a series of locations and spaces where political economy, bioregional differences, cultural representations, and medical bureaucracies converge. I am not speaking metaphorically here. Anyone who has gone to a hospital for even a minor procedure

knows what it means to enter the labyrinth of waiting rooms, doctors offices, pre-op wards, and operating theaters while wearing a hospital gown that ties badly in the back. And there are other kinds of spaces—the chilly warren of insurance documents, liability waivers, and postoperation directives that map the body's passage through the new HMO-driven medical bureaucracy. The site itself—doctors in green scrubs, clots of medical residents appearing at any hour of the day, strange noises in the hallway, and lights turned on in the middle of the might—creates a phantasmagoria that is unnerving for an adult patient and terrifying to a child. Whatever physical ailment brings one to the hospital is quickly displaced onto regimes of diagnosis, cure, and analysis. These are the sites through which the body becomes medicalized, the subject becomes object.

called "hysteria" may be the prisonlike nursery in which the female narra-Mitchell's cure on one woman demonstrates, the space of what used to be nomically emancipated "new woman" as it was to solve her nervous disormuch an attempt to impose a spatial constraint on the sexually and ecowho in the late nineteenth century suffered from nervous disorders was as scholars have noted that S. Weir Mitchell's famous "rest cure" for women environments where these conditions have historically emerged? Feminist drome, or "Gulf War syndrome" exist in patients or in the workplace placement therapy?<sup>22</sup> Do diseases such as silicosis, carpel tunnel synease, invented by pharmaceutical companies to promote hormone re-Is menopause a natural hormonal change in middle-aged women or a diswhite male in the United States as it is for an African woman in Botswanar compromised immune system or in the social attitudes toward persons ment? Does HIV exist in the individual's cell structure or in the ability," and how does consideration of space alter the meaning of impairscan or platelet count. In terms that Homi Bhabha uses to describe the hyalways driven by factors beyond the information contained in an MRI tor of "The Yellow Wall Paper" is incarcerated. deemed at risk in a given cultural frame? Is HIV-positive the same for a bridic nature of global culture, we might ask, what is the "location of disin society, rather than individual pathology, the diagnosis of a condition is in such phantasmagoric spaces, observing that when disability is located Medical anthropologists have begun to study the etiology of disability As Charlotte Perkins Gilman's short story about the ill effects of

In one of his talk performances, David Antin describes the patient's interaction with a doctor as a kind potlatch:

with a disease and what the doctor offers him is a disease a disease is the doctors prospective gift to the patient which is then followed by other gifts since one gift leads to another a course of therapy drugs surgery who knows (281)

The idea that a patient is "given" a disease and that such a "giff" leads to further exchanges reinforces medicine's anthropological associations with myth and ritual. This counternarrative complicates the usual model of disease as something that one "has" and resites it onto something that others interpret. This hermeneutic activity, as I point out in chapter 1, has been especially important to hematological diseases because of the symbolism that attends blood within national narratives of patriotism and sacrifice. In his study of the blood distribution industry, Richard Titmuss notes that in the early days of transfusions, blood donations were thought to be a "gift relationship" since they were given without thought of payment. When blood could be sold for profit, the "gift" of blood was no longer an appropriate model and was replaced by a commodity relationship between seller and client. The ill effects of the commodity model were evident in the infection of thousands of individuals with HIV/AIDS in the early 1980s through transfusions from pooled blood products.

In a similar vein, Keith Wailoo has pointed out that the diagnosis of certain diseases such as sickle-cell disease or Tay-Sachs, by their identification with African American and Jewish populations, become visible less by the particular biological features of the disease and more by questions of race and heritage. He notes that such racialized diseases "are not so much new inventions of the science of genetics; they are rather, reinterpretations that draw on particular notions of group history, identity, and memory" ("Inventing the Heterozygote" 236). Wailoo points out that early studies of sickle-cell disease emphasized the recessive traits inherited from the parents. The heterozygote or carrier of the recessive gene became as important as the one afflicted; the focus of medical research was redirected from curing the afflicted patient to containing of the spread of

disease and contamination of others. The importance of the carrier "highlights how new technologies gave rise to new forms of identity, and to widespread anxieties about social interaction and new methods of surveil-lance" (237). The biological definition of the heterozygote and the carrier's identification with the diseased population became a social issue that often attends the arrival of new migrant populations. In such examples, the siting of blood extends to the economic and spatial forces of modernity in which racial identity was often measured by the "one-drop rule."

If we think of disability only in terms of an unitary physiological or sensory limit, we will fail to understand the complex matrix of sites that are brought into play, making it impossible to say where a disability ends and the social order begins. As I say in my final chapter, if we imagine that disability is something pertaining only to bodies, then we restrict the term to a medical frame, but if we imagine that disability is defined within pharmaceutical exchange, blood donation centers, labor migration, ethnic displacement, epidemiology, genomic research, and trade wars, then the question of the location of disability must be asked differently. Does disability exist within a cell structure, a caregiver, a trade agreement, an insurance claim, a special education program, or, as the recent devastation caused by hurricane Katrina exemplifies, a disaster preparedness plan?

a site for their assisted living and ultimately in launching a social moveof such educational venues for Deaf people is reflected in two ASL signs around which thriving Deaf communities have emerged. The importance camps where deaf families have historically placed their children and of Deaf culture, which is written around residential schools, clubs, and communal, self-sufficient living. The same might be said for the history independent-living movement often charts its origins to the ward at the "Where are you currently living?" (WHERE-LIVE-YOU?) and another means that could be translated, "Where do you live?" One version means ment that shifted the rehabilitation model of impairment to one based on previously deemed inaccessible.24 Their relationship to the campus's "handicapped" population becomes empowered as a political entity. The clude those places in which awareness of disability emerges, in which the then-active antiwar and free speech protests was instrumental in gaining Ed Roberts and other disabled students lived and pursued an education Cowell Hospital on the University of California, Berkeley, campus where If we consider disability as a series of sites, then we would have to in-

"What residential school did you attend?" (WHERE-FROM-YOU?) (see Padden and Humphries, *Learning 7*). In this sense, Deaf identity is linked as intimately to the sites of instruction as the site of local habitation.

Manichaean poles is fraught. choice" and "pro-life" positions, although the passage between these ceptance of his idiosyncrasies challenge easy ethical choices around "profour-year-old child. His parents' obvious pleasure in Jamie and their acbook chronicles, he gradually emerges into a curious, funny, and engaging boot" (46). Jamie's birth and early life were admittedly difficult, but, as the didn't think we wanted caught, and that might induce a miscarriage to believing that it is an "invasive procedure that would only 'catch' things we gage major bioethical problems of the current period. When they became whom and by what definition? Answering this question turns out to enscribed as "birth defects." The primary question he asks is "defects" amniocentesis and chancing the birth of a child with what are usually deof his son Jamie, who was born with Down syndrome, a chromosomal imwithin multiple locations and discourses. Life as We Know It is a memoir pregnant, Bérubé and his wife, Janet, decided against prenatal screening, Bérubé surveys the ethical and philosophical challenges raised by forgoing balance in the fetus that leads to delayed mental and physical growth Michael Bérubé has provided a case study of how disability exfoliates

a 'normal' life" or that he would never be a "conscious being, never learn to talk, read or recognize his parents," the sorts of things that parents have difficult it would have been if, upon receiving the results of amniocentesis, to his child's status as a medicalized entity, subject to stories around which velopment that he delivers to friends and relatives, but he is also referring coming a narrative" (40). He is referring to the daily reports on Jamie's de-"[After] Jamie had been in the ICU for two weeks or so, he started behistorically been told about Down's children (47). Such attitudes from he and his wife had been told that their baby would "never be able to live Parental choices and public policy are made. Bérubé recounts how cedures will be considered inevitable for parents. As Bérubé observes chromosome that is its genetic cause since it marks in advance what procomes as much a part of Down syndrome as the undivided twenty-first benign "special needs" or "differently abled" children. Such labeling besons variously labeled "mongoloid idiots" or "retards" as well as the more The memoir is also a bioethical study of social attitudes about per-

medical professionals often leave parents with few alternatives to abortion. The fact that Bérubé is writing his memoir some years after Jamie has been born at a moment when the (now) four-year-old boy does talk, does read, and does recognize his parents makes this book a cautionary tale about the wonders of genetic engineering.

Among the narratives that Berube wants to redirect is the American individualist version that says that having a "defective" child is a form of narcissism, that bringing a "less than normal child" into the world is a social burden. He worries about a functionalist society that bases childbearing decisions on eugenicist ideas about nontraditional fetuses combined with cost-accounting criteria. But he is no less critical of pro-life constituencies who lump all matters of childbirth into a single, all-purpose definition of "life" without making distinctions around who best to define that life. Social libertarians and conservatives who oppose special programs, parental leave laws, and school lunch programs may be willing to defend the rights of the unborn, but they pay little heed to life beyond the womb. Bérubé feels that his decision not to have prenatal testing in no way contradicts his and his wife's pro-choice beliefs but is, in fact, an extension of them insofar as both are based on a woman's right to control what happens to her body (46).

As Bérubé makes clear, issues like abortion or neonatal testing are a matter of framing. If the case is defined in terms of the state's ability to override a woman's right to choose, then the issue is about the right to privacy protected by legal precedent. This means something very different than if the case is framed in terms of whether a woman has a right to kill an unborn child. As the Genome Project nears its goal of mapping the DNA of every individual, the question of framing becomes all the more significant as we debate the pros of biological engineering against the cons of biological determinism and neoeugenics.

A similar debate is already occurring within the Deaf community over the use of cochlear implants. These electronic devices are surgically implanted in the brain where they stimulate the cochlear nerve to receive certain sounds that have been sent by a transmitter located in the ear. While they do not restore full hearing, cochlear implants do permit a spectrum of sounds to be recognizable, permitting persons who become deaf later in life to retain a degree of connection to hearing friends and family. Persons with hereditary deafness, however, do not fare as well. They have fewer

nerve cells in the cochlea and thus receive fewer auditory signals, thereby limiting the effectiveness of the implant. <sup>25</sup> Harlan Lane describes a series of tests performed on deaf children before and after implantation showing that ability to recognize words improved only slightly and that deaf children wearing hearing aids fared better than those wearing the cochlear implant (220). In order to channel novel sounds into recognizable words, an extensive regime of speech therapy and training must follow surgery, posium, as Carol Padden and Tom Humphreys have observed, an ominous return to the days of oralist education if such therapies are predicated on prohibitions against signing (*Inside Deaf Culture* 168). <sup>26</sup>

The documentary film Sound and Fury attempts to provide a balanced overview of both sides in the debate and illustrates the importance of considering the role of space in disability. It explores the fears expressed by many Deaf people that the implant poses a kind of technological genocide designed to eliminate Deaf culture altogether. Sound and Fury shows the lives of two Long Island families in which genetic deafness extends across several generations. When the hearing son, Chris Artinian, decides to have cochlear surgery for his deaf son, his deaf brother, Peter, is profoundly upset at what he interprets as his sibling's rejection of Deaf culture and family. For the hearing brother and his wife, the decision to have surgery for their child is self-evident; why wouldn't they want their son to hear? Why would anyone, given the opportunity, choose to remain deaf? Their strident arguments in favor of surgery are accompanied by a more troubling condescension toward their deaf parents and toward deaf people in general.

Despite his anger over his brother's unqualified endorsement of the hearing world, Peter and his wife, Mari, contemplate a cochlear implant for their deaf daughter, Heather, when she tells them that she wants one in order to participate with other hearing children. Peter and Mari consult a number of families with children who have had the surgery, but they see how antipathetic the hearing world is to deaf children and how qualified the results of the device are. They also worry that their daughter will live in a cochlear implant limbo, neither a full member of Deaf world, nor of the hearing world either. They also visit an oralist school in which neither Deaf culture nor ASL are mentioned as a component of deaf experience. As a result of these forays into a hearing world, they decide against implantation and move to another city where there is a larger deaf commu-

nity and a more extensive network of deaf schools and social services. Although the two brothers deal with their deaf children in opposite ways, the rift that the film exposes between the two families goes beyond deafness to issues of family and community.

their class affiliations, and their parents two sons must negotiate a complex set of responsibilities to their children dle class and has a managerial job, permitting him a degree of movement nology to integrate their daughter into the hearing world. What is most plant as a sign of forward-looking progress, an opportunity to utilize techcultural suicide. Their more affluent, hearing son and wife see their paran operation that is both physically invasive and culturally destructive between hearing worlds (his colleagues are hearing) and deaf culture. The interesting, however, is that their deaf son has moved firmly into the midents' culturalist definitions as outmoded and think of the cochlear imlying on cultural traditions and heritage as hedges against what they see as tensive network of deaf families that we meet in the course of the film they experience the operation as a personal rejection of them and the exand education. The deaf parents of the sons are fiercely protective of their suggests that cultural deafness is very much a matter of class, generation They are clearly less affluent and less educated than either of their sons, re-Deaf heritage and cannot understand why anyone would want to perform In terms of my concern with the location of disability, Sound and Fury

These two examples suggest that the local conditions that produce Down syndrome or deafness are profoundly linked to social attitudes that are reinforced and naturalized by medical science, genetic engineering, and media. For disability studies to study disability adequately, it must situate a physical or cognitive impairment in a landscape larger than either the individual or the impairment. The ADA defines a disability as something that "limits one or more major life activities," which, of course, means defining in what environment such activity occurs. Recent challenges to the ADA have been fought precisely over whether a person with a correctable disability can claim redress under the law—whether a pilot who wears glasses can sue for being denied an opportunity to fly or whether a person with repetitive stress injury who can do some household chores is therefore ineligible for damages because she cannot work on a factory assembly line. Such examples suggest that defining disability will always include the place where disability becomes visible.

Living in the Hurricane

add that adversity made the Grosse Fugue possible. Beethoven "triumphed" over adversity, but a disability perspective might levels unimaginable in the age of Mozart and Haydn. One might say that might say, for the better), just as Beethoven's late quartets, written while created for Paul Wittgenstein is significantly different from Bolero (some the composer was becoming deaf, pushed harmonies and rhythms into barriers, could serve as the horizon of identity.28 The concerto that Ravel body a hypostatized healthy or hearing body that, were it not for social choices and remain cautious about substituting for the impaired or deat sponse to sexual or racial difference may be motivated all the more for space is the idea that disability is a matter of barriers, both physical and atformer begins with that."27 A disability politics needs to respect such hearing but rather when I gained my deafness/everything I do as a peryou lose your hearing," he responded: "I choose not to say when I lost my performance artist Aaron Williamson was asked by a student, "When did what they fail to recuperate, for what they refuse to resolve. When the deaf limiting. Political movements and aesthetic innovation that emerge in resuggests, those barriers may be as culturally productive as they are socially ing in all forms of social life. But as my example of Ravel's Concerto in D titudinal, that prevent an individual with an impairment from participat-What we might conclude from the preceding discussion of sight, site, and

While I have described the defamiliarizing effects of disability on cultural objects and genres, I realize that such a formulation presumes that there is a "familiar" body that must be validated, a "young, married, white, urban, northern, heterosexual, Protestant father of college education, fully employed, of good complexion, weight and height, and a recent record in sports," as Erving Goffman famously described him (128). Such a figure is a necessary fiction in the enforcement of normalcy. Hence, one of the key tasks of disability studies is to take the ordinary out of ordinary language, the familiar out of defamiliarization, the ability out of disability in order to understand the essentialist and ableist core to our definitions of difference. Instead of insisting on a common humanity and a healthy body as a default to difference, what about making alterity a position from which to develop an imagined community?

The familiar was not long ago dominated by sights of devastation

If Katrina was a natural disaster "waiting to happen," as the experts say, the country. And indeed, the comparison reminds us of what social critics carts-such graphic images are being compared to those in a third-world are political disasters awaiting the aging baby boom generation. anticipated destruction of social levees like Social Security and Medicare health care to infrastructure repair, to the ongoing war in the Middle East. favor of market-driven alternatives to everything from education to have been saying for a long time about the decline of social programs in ing in flooded streets, black families hauling belongings in shopping representatives, children and women looting stores, bloated bodies floatlarge, poor and black. Images of angry African Americans yelling at FEMA ulation most affected in New Orleans and surrounding areas was, by and curb's edge. The media rightly focused attention on the fact that the pop wasteland of abandoned, flooded houses and stranded refrigerators at the death that lay in its wake, the city of New Orleans transformed into a caused by Hurricane Katrina, the floods, fires, social displacement, and

care but as a matter of political economy and redistribution. At such a made strange by the eruption of an American third world into the public ural disasters of recent history. If our imagined national community is or those who drowned in their houses in New Orleans's Ninth Ward are sons with disabilities who were trapped in the World Trade Center on 9/11 join the broad quilt of social movements in pursuit of truly equal access. ginal position within cultural studies and civil rights legislation but will point, disability studies will no longer need to plead its case from a marbate about disability on a global scale, not merely as a matter of health narrative of the United States as a third-world nation will spark a new de nerable to rising water and slow-moving relief efforts. Perhaps this new consciousness, it is no less defamiliarized by the sight of bodies left vulaccess guaranteed by the ADA is hardly adequate to the human and natprotected by the most comprehensive disability law in the world, but the from lack of pure water for dialysis, resting on crutches in food lines. Perneys in hospitals, breathing through ventilators pumped by hand, dying those of white and black people in wheelchairs, lying unattended on gur-Often unmentioned among the images coming from New Orleans are

Chapter 1

# Strange Blood

Hemophobia and the Unexplored Boundaries of Queer Nation

### Nominal Queers

them a freedom that they had not enjoyed before. out immediate blood transfusions, routine tooth extractions, nosebleeds to the joints, leaving many hemophiliacs crippled. In the case of bleeding dried product, hemophiliacs could infuse themselves at home, giving Dumps, and bruises become life-threatening events. With the new freezeto the cranial or neck area, delays in treatment could result in death. Withingly painful, and over time they cause severe cartilage and tissue damage clinic. Too long a delay following a bruise could result in joint bleeding or nematoma that would take weeks to dissipate. Hematomas are excruciatten-sometimes several times a week-patients need easy access to a history and blood type. Since severe hemophiliacs require transfusions of blood products and a clinical staff well versed in each patient's bleeding were both time-consuming and expensive, necessitating a ready supply of frozen plasma) administered by a nurse or physician.<sup>2</sup> Such transfusions had relied on transfusions from whole blood or cryoprecipitate (fresh with hemophilia.1 Until this time, persons with chronic blood diseases drawn from multiple donors dramatically affected the lives of persons In the late 1970s, the development of freeze-dried blood-clotting factors